Research

Open Access

Differential gene-expression patterns in genital fibroblasts of normal males and 46,XY females with androgen insensitivity syndrome: evidence for early programming involving the androgen receptor

Paul-Martin Holterhus**, Olaf Hiort*, Janos Demeter*, Patrick O Brown§ and James D Brooks*

Addresses: *Department of Urology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, 94305, USA. †Department of Genetics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, 94305, USA. †Department of Pediatrics, University of Lübeck, 23538 Lübeck, Germany. Department of Biochemistry and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, 94305, USA.

Correspondence: James D Brooks. E-mail: jbrooks1@leland.stanford.edu. Paul-Martin Holterhus. E-mail: holterhus@paedia.ukl.mu-luebeck.de. Patrick O Brown. E-mail: pbrown@cmgm.stanford.edu.

Published: 15 May 2003
Genome Biology 2003, 4:R37

The electronic version of this article is the complete one and can be found online at http://genomebiology.com/2003/4/6/R37

Received: 11 February 2003 Revised: 31 March 2003 Accepted: 20 April 2003

© 2003 Holterhus et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article: verbatim copying and redistribution of this article are permitted in all media for any purpose, provided this notice is preserved along with the article's original URL.

Abstract

Background: Androgen insensitivity syndrome (AIS) comprises a range of phenotypes from male infertility to complete feminization. Most individuals with AIS carry germline mutations of the androgen receptor (AR) that interfere with or ablate its function. As genital fibroblasts retain expression of the AR *in vitro*, we used genital skin fibroblasts from normal males and 46,XY females with complete AIS due to known AR mutations to gain insights into the role of the AR in human genital differentiation.

Results: Using DNA microarrays representing 32,968 different genes, we identified 404 transcripts with significant differences in transcription levels between genital skin fibroblasts cultured from normal and AlS-affected individuals. Gene-cluster analyses uncovered coordinated expression of genes involved in key processes of morphogenesis. On the basis of animal studies and human genetic syndromes, several of these genes are known to have specific roles in genital differentiation. Remarkably, genital fibroblasts from both normal and AlS-affected individuals showed no transcriptional response to dihydrotestosterone treatment despite expression of the AR.

Conclusions: The results suggest that in addition to differences in the anatomic origin of the cells, androgen signaling during prenatal development contributes to setting long-lasting, androgen-independent transcriptional programs in genital fibroblasts. Our findings have broad implications in understanding the establishment and the stability of sexual dimorphism in human genital development.

Background


Development of the male genitalia is largely controlled by cells in the urogenital mesenchyme that express androgen receptors (AR) [1,2]. Germline mutations of the AR gene produce a spectrum of developmental abnormalities in 46,XY individuals ranging from infertility or mild hypospadias to complete feminization, which are collectively referred to as the androgen insensitivity syndrome (AIS). In general, the degree of genital ambiguity correlates with the level of compromise of AR function: 46,XY individuals with AR-inactivating mutations are completely feminized despite high levels of serum testosterone [3-5].

The molecular events responsible for AR-dependent male genital morphogenesis are poorly understood. We hypothesized that the AR-dependent mesenchymal programs underlying male external genitalia development might be illuminated by comparing the transcriptional profile of mesenchyme-derived stromal cells from normal males to those from individuals affected with AIS. As cultured genital fibroblasts originate from the urogenital mesenchyme and retain expression of the AR in vitro, we compared gene-expression patterns in cultured genital fibroblasts from normal 46,XY males and from 46,XY females with severe or complete AIS, using DNA microarrays representing 32,968 distinct human genes. We found striking differences in the gene expression profiles of genital fibroblasts cultured from normal and AIS patients, but no transcriptional response to androgen was detectable in any of the cultured genital fibroblasts.

Results

Baseline gene transcription in genital fibroblasts

To gain insights into the role of androgen in genital morphogenesis, we compared basal transcriptional patterns in genital fibroblasts from 46,XY individuals with either wild-type AR or germline inactivation of the AR as a result of mutation. We could not identify mutations in the AR gene in two phenotypically female individuals with complete AIS. However, genital skin fibroblasts of both subjects failed to express AR protein and did not show androgen binding (Table 1). Initially, we restricted our analysis to genital skin fibroblasts grown from the foreskin of nine normal males and from the labia majora of five AIS-affected, 46,XY individuals with female external genitalia. The AR status of all genital fibroblasts was confirmed by AR gene sequencing and hormone-binding assays (Table 1). To determine basal gene-expression patterns, mRNA was isolated from growth-arrested (Go) confluent cells and analyzed using DNA microarrays of approximately 43,000 cDNAs representing 32,968 genes. Distinct differences in the basal expression profiles of normal and AIS-derived fibroblasts allowed these two groups to be distinguished on the basis of their expression patterns by unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis (Figure 1). We then identified 404 unique transcripts (represented by 487 total cDNAs) with significant differences in expression levels between normal and



Unsupervised hierarchical cluster analysis of genes and experiments of nine normal genital skin fibroblast lines (penile foreskin) and five AIS genital skin fibroblast lines (labia majora). Only transcripts whose log2 red/ green ratio differed from the mean expression level across all experiments by at least 1.1 in at least four experiments are displayed (620 cDNAs). The dendrogram of the array experiments (above and repeated on the left) reflects the similarity of the samples with respect to their gene-expression patterns. F, female external genitalia; M, normal male external genitalia; NORM, normal male control; AIS4, AIS with predominantly female phenotype (slight enlargement of the clitoris); CAIS, complete androgeninsensitivity syndrome; GSF, genital skin fibroblast. Increasing red intensity corresponds to increased gene-expression levels compared to the mean log₂ red/green ratio for each gene; increasing green intensity corresponds to decreased gene-expression levels. The scale ranges from -8 to +8 in log₂ space.

AIS genital fibroblasts using the significance analysis of microarrays (SAM)-procedure [6], with a false discovery rate of less than 0.92% (percent of genes identified by chance alone).

We used the list of the 487 cDNAs from the SAM analysis for hierarchical clustering analysis of 24 different primary fibroblast lines from normal and AIS affected individuals (Figure 2). In addition to the 14 genital-skin fibroblast lines used to generate the SAM list, we included five gonadal fibroblast lines from 46,XY females with complete AIS, a prostate fibroblast cell line from a normal male (analyzed twice), abdominal skin fibroblasts from a normal male, forearm fibroblasts

Table I

ARD364

GSF, labia majora

Cell strains Cell strain Subtype, origin External genitalia/ Age at biopsy Androgen receptor phenotype (years; months) N-33 51;11 GSF, foreskin Normal fertile male Normal (K_d 0.08 nM, B_{max} 26.40 fmol/mg protein) 7;11 Normal (K_d 0.09 nM, B_{max} 32.44 fmol/mg protein) N-40 GSF, foreskin Normal male Normal (K_d 0.06 nM, B_{max} 35.36 fmol/mg protein) GSF, foreskin N-52 Normal male N-56 GSF, foreskin Normal fertile male 42;10 Normal (K_d 0.07 nM, B_{max} 13.35 fmol/mg protein) N-57 GSF, foreskin Normal male 5:11 Normal (K_d 0.05 nM, B_{max} 24.13 fmol/mg protein) Normal (K_d 0.10 nM, B_{max} 30.61 fmol/mg protein) N-58 GSF, foreskin Normal male 5:11 N-59 GSF, foreskin Normal male 0;8 Normal (K_d 0.07 nM, B_{max} 40.01 fmol/mg protein) N-60 GSF, foreskin Normal (K_d 0.05 nM, B_{max} 20.08 fmol/mg protein) Normal male 5:4 GSF, foreskin Normal male 2;0 Normal (K_d 0.08 nM, B_{max} 86.16 fmol/mg protein) N-62 N-AKPZF PRF, peripheral zone Normal male Adult Not investigated N-ST4 ASF, abdominal skin Normal male 46;0 Not investigated N-LS12 FSF, forearm skin Normal male 36:0 Not investigated ARD 1097 GSF, labia majora Normal female 1;3 CAIS, Pro390Ser + Arg855Gly, negative androgen binding ARD411 GSF, labia majora Normal female 0;4 CAIS, Arg855Cys, negative androgen binding ARD682 GSF, labia majora Normal female CAIS, no mutation in AR-gene, negative androgen binding, no 14:10 AR-protein in western immunoblot ARD402 GSF, labia majora Normal female 1;0 CAIS, no mutation in AR-gene, negative androgen binding, very low AR-mRNA transcription, no AR-protein in western immunoblot ARD377 GSF, labia majora **Predominantly** 1;2 AIS4, Ile841Ser, (K_d 0.55 nM; B_{max} 17.01 fmol/mg protein) female ARD842 GOF, gonad Normal female 38:0 CAIS, 26 bp deletion exon I (141-150), frameshift, premature stop codon, negative androgen binding 17:4 ARD1004 GOF, gonad Normal female CAIS, Val866Met, negative androgen binding ARD291 GOF, gonad Normal female 18;4 CAIS, Phe794Ser, negative amdrogen binding ARD531 GOF, gonad Normal female 35,2 CAIS, Ala765Thr, negative androgen binding ARD557 GOF, gonad Normal female 6;6 CAIS, donor splice site exon 2/intron 2, negative androgen binding CAIS, Glu287stop, low expression of wild-type AR (K_d 0.11 nM; B_{max} **ARD465** GSF, labia majora Normal female 5:5 3.6 fmol/mg protein), post-zygotic mutation (somatic mosaicism)

Genome Biology 2003,

ARD, strain-ID; ASF, abdominal skin fibroblast; FSF, forearm skin fibroblast; GOF, gonadal fibroblast; GSF, genital skin fibroblast; PRF, prostate fibroblast. Normal ranges for androgen (methyltrienolone) binding: B_{max} (binding capacity): 13.35-115.98 fmol/mg protein; K_d (dissociation constant): 0.03-0.13 nM.

23:0

Predominantly

female

from a normal male, and genital fibroblasts from two AIS 46,XY females who had documented AR mosaicism (ARD364, ARD 465, Table 1). The ARD364 and ARD465 lines express wild-type AR and were derived from individuals who were mosaics for wild-type AR and AR with a premature stop codon [7]. Hierarchical cluster analysis, based on the expression of 472 of the 487 previously identified transcripts with measurable expression across at least 80% of 24 experiments, separated the fibroblasts into those with gene-expression patterns resembling normal male foreskin fibroblasts and a second group with an expression pattern more similar to labial skin fibroblasts from AIS-affected individuals (Figure 2). The latter group contained all five gonadal fibroblast lines from complete AIS females as well as the fibroblast lines from

abdominal and forearm skin. The prostate fibroblasts, on the other hand, displayed expression patterns largely similar to the normal male foreskin cells. Notably, the two mosaic AIS cell lines ARD364 and ARD465 showed gene-expression patterns that most resembled normal male foreskin (Figure 2).

AIS4, Leu 172 stop, high expression of wild-type AR (K_d 0.06 nM, B_{max}

22.6 fmol/mg protein), post-zygotic mutation (somatic mosaicism) (6)

Comparison of expression patterns in genital fibroblasts from normal and AIS-affected individuals, and fibroblasts from extragenital sites, offers possible insights into the programs that underlie genital development. For instance, transcripts encoding homeobox A13 protein (HOXA13) and T-box proteins (TBX) showed striking differences in their expression levels between the 'male genital' and 'AIS/extragenital' fibroblasts. *HOXA13* was expressed at high levels in normal male foreskin




Figure 2 Hierarchical cluster analysis of genes and experiments based on cDNAs identified as being significantly different in expression between normal genital skin fibroblasts and genital skin fibroblasts of female patients with AIS. The left panel shows an overview of 472 of the total of 487 significant transcripts that showed measurable expression across at least 80% of 24 experiments. The color code of the dendrogram and the sample names represent the origin of the fibroblast strains. GSF, genital skin fibroblast; PRF, prostate fibroblast; GOF, gonadal fibroblast; FSF, forearm skin fibroblast; ASF, abdominal skin fibroblast; other abbreviations are as in Figure I and Table I. The scale ranges from -4 to +4 in log2 space. For the complete dataset, see Additional data files and [36].

fibroblasts and at low levels in all AIS and extragenital fibroblasts (Figure 2). T-box gene 3 (TBX3) was expressed at higher levels in the fibroblasts from genital skin, extragenital skin or prostate from males than in genital skin fibroblasts from AIS 46,XY females (Figure 2). TBX2 showed an almost identical expression profile to TBX3, whereas high TBX5 expression appeared to be restricted to foreskin fibroblasts from normal males (including those from the phenotypically female mosaic patient ARD364). BMP4 (bone morphogenetic protein 4) was predominantly expressed in foreskin fibroblasts from normal males and in prostate fibroblasts (Figure 2). WNT2 (wingless-type MMTV integration site family member 2) was part of a small gene cluster with high expression in normal male foreskin fibroblasts that distinguished these samples from all other fibroblasts (Figure 2).

Compared to genital and gonadal fibroblasts from 46,XY females, fibroblasts from normal male genital tissues showed pronounced differences in expression of cell adhesion and extracellular matrix genes. For example, cadherin 13 (CDH13), versican (CSPG2), collagen 8A1 (COL8A1), collagen 12A1 (COL12A1), P4HA2 (encoding a procollagen-modifying enzyme) all showed relatively low expression in the genital skin fibroblasts of normal males, whereas tenascin XB (TNXB), nidogen 2 (NID2), laminins (LAMA3, LAMA4) and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 1 (TIMP1) all showed relatively high expression levels compared to AIS-derived fibroblasts (Figure 2). Several of the differentially expressed genes, including aldo-keto reductase 1C1 (AKR1C1), aldehyde dehydrogenase 1A1 (ALDH1A1), and alcohol dehydrogenase 1B (ADH1B), function in sex steroid and retinoic acid metabolism (Figure 2). Other differentially expressed genes, such as mitogen-activated protein kinase 14 (*MAP3K14*), and STAT-induced STAT inhibitors 2 and 3 (*STATI2*, *SSI-3*), encode proteins involved in intracellular signal transduction.

Transcriptional response of cultured genital fibroblasts to androgen treatment

We tested the responses of normal and AIS genital fibroblasts to dihydrotestosterone (DHT), under culture conditions similar to those that were reported to produce aromatase induction in these cells [8]. Cells were treated with DHT (100-1,000 nM) both at confluency (Go) and during exponential growth, and transcript levels were assessed using DNA microarrays. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering did not disclose any obvious differences in gene-expression patterns between DHT-treated and ethanol-treated fibroblasts, either in normal controls or in AIS-derived cell lines. We treated LNCaP prostate cancer cells with androgen under similar conditions and readily identified nearly 500 transcripts modulated by androgen with unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis and with supervised methods ([9] and data not shown). A supervised analysis comparing gene-expression patterns of DHT-treated fibroblasts to ethanol-treated controls was carried out using the SAM procedure. Again, no genes could be identified that were significantly induced or repressed by DHT treatment. Additional experiments using physiological concentrations of androgen (for example, 0.01 - 1 nM methyltrienolone) also failed to disclose any androgen-responsive genes (data not shown). In contrast, SAM analysis identified 1,664 transcripts that differed significantly between proliferating and confluent cells, and 1,232 transcripts that differed between fibroblasts derived from AIS-affected individuals and normal male foreskin. Hierarchical cluster analysis of these experiments clearly showed the distinct differences in transcriptional profiles between AIS-derived and normal male fibroblasts and between proliferating and normal fibroblasts (Figure 3). Cells derived from the same individual and cultured under the same conditions always showed highly similar gene-expression patterns, suggesting that the differences in expression between individuals are stable and reproducible despite passage in vitro (Figure 3).

Discussion

We found consistent, characteristic differences in baseline gene expression patterns between genital skin fibroblasts from normal males and 46,XY female patients with AIS. Many of these differences between normal and AIS-derived fibroblasts were also observed in gonadal fibroblasts, suggesting that these differences are not purely due to differences in the anatomical site of origin of the fibroblasts. Interestingly, fibroblasts derived from abdominal and forearm skin, regions with relatively little sexual dimorphism, showed gene-expression patterns similar to the labial skin fibroblasts of AIS patients. Together, these data suggest that the AR is involved in determining a stable and stereotypical

program of gene expression in genital fibroblasts that does not require the continuing presence of androgen for its maintenance.

A critical question raised by these results is whether the observed differences between genital fibroblasts from males and AIS females reflect cell-autonomous effects of androgen exposure during development, or indirect effects of the AR-dependent genital morphogenetic program. One possible interpretation of these data is that the distinct patterns of expression could have been due to differences in the origin or the developmental milieu of foreskin fibroblasts, derived from the genital tubercle, as opposed to the labial fibroblasts, derived from the genital swellings [10]. The differences in gene expression we observed in AIS fibroblasts of gonadal origin compared to those of labial origin support this view (Figure 3). We have observed consistent and characteristic differences in the gene-expression patterns of skin fibroblasts derived from different locations on the body [11]. However, the current set of experiments suggests that the androgen receptor has a cell-autonomous role which contributes to a stable androgen-independent gene-expression pattern in genital fibroblasts. Expression patterns in cultured labial skin fibroblasts derived from two different individuals with AR mosaicism suggested that the cell-autonomous AR status was a relevant determinant of baseline gene expression in genital skin fibroblasts. Both these fibroblast lines, although derived from morphologically female genitalia in phenotypically female 46,XY individuals mosaic for AR-inactivating mutations, expressed wild-type AR in the cultured cells. These female AIS-affected individuals are thought to have acquired their AR gene mutations post-zygotically [12]. ARD364, which showed AR protein expression and binding in the range of normal male foreskin fibroblasts ([7] and see Table 1), despite its origin from anatomically female genitalia, had a gene-expression pattern indistinguishable from foreskin fibroblasts of normal males (Figure 2). The second fibroblast line from an AR mosaic patient, ARD465 (Table 1), had very low wild-type AR expression and showed baseline gene-expression patterns that were nevertheless more similar to normal male foreskin and prostate fibroblasts than to any of the AIS-derived cell lines (Figure 2).

The discrepancy between the female phenotype of these mosaic individuals despite expression of the wild-type AR in cultured genital skin fibroblasts is not resolved to date [7]. It may be explained by a time-dependent rise of an originally small fraction of cells containing the wild-type AR allele in the mosaic genital mesenchyme during prenatal and postnatal development, or by differences between *in vivo* and *in vitro* conditions. Yet, the documented expression of the wild-type AR in cultures of these labial cells supports the idea that the AR status of the fibroblast was an important intrinsic determinant of the basal transcription patterns we identified. Therefore, the AR appears to be involved in setting long-lasting gene-expression patterns in genital skin fibroblasts.




Figure 3 Hierarchical cluster analysis of genes and experiments with different DHT-treatment regimens. Shown are 686 transcripts whose log2 red/green ratio differed from the mean expression level across all experiments by at least 1.5 in at least three experiments. The analysis is based on 2,862 transcripts identified by SAM analysis that distinguish between normal genital skin fibroblasts and gonadal fibroblasts from 46,XY female AIS patients, and between proliferating and confluent fibroblasts. The color code in the dendrogram depicts the origin of the fibroblast cultures. The gray and white bars at the top of the cluster indicate the proliferation state of the samples. On the right, the regions of the cluster diagram that differentiate between normal and AISderived fibroblasts, and proliferating and confluent cells, respectively, are indicated. No differences in transcript levels could be discerned between DHTtreated and control cells in either normal foreskin fibroblasts or fibroblasts from AIS-affected 46.XY females. Abbreviations are as in Figure 2 and Table 1. The scale ranges from -8 to +8 in log₂ space. For the dataset of 686 genes used for the cluster analysis and the complete dataset of 2,862 genes, see Additional data files and [36].

Comparison of gene-expression patterns in genital fibroblasts from normal and AIS-affected individuals, and in fibroblasts from extragenital sites, may offer clues to the programs that underlie external genital development. Both cell adhesion and connective tissue remodeling are indispensable for normal development and maintenance of tissue integrity [13-15]. The differential expression of proteoglycans, collagens and cell adhesion molecules (for example cadherin 13) might be involved in genital morphogenesis and later stability of sexually dimorphic traits of the external genitalia. Some genes expressed in wild-type AR cells could influence androgen signaling. For instance, aldo-keto reductase 1C1 is

specifically involved in cellular androgen metabolism [16] and thus may modulate the spectrum of cellular androgenic steroids available for activation of the AR. Structurally different androgens elicit different patterns of response from several androgen-responsive promoters, suggesting that the type of ligand present could affect cellular response [17]. Mitogenactivated protein kinase 14, and STAT-induced STAT inhibitors 2 and 3 were expressed at significantly higher levels in cells with wild-type AR. Both MAP kinase and STAT pathways are involved in AR-dependent regulation and in ligand-independent activation of the AR [18]. Differential expression of aldehyde dehydrogenase 1A1 and alcohol dehydrogenase 1B,

enzymes that affect retinoic acid biosynthesis, suggest that other signaling pathways may participate in the AR-initiated programs of external genital differentiation [19,20].

Several genes expressed specifically in the normal male foreskin fibroblasts have been previously implicated in male genital development, including HOXA13, the T-box genes, BMP4 and DWnt2. Mutations in HOXA13 can cause distal limb and urogenital-tract malformations such as male hypospadias in hand-foot-genital syndrome [21]. T-box genes (TBX) are essential early regulators of limb development and also appear to be involved in male genital development [22,23]. Mutations in TBX3 cause the ulnar-mammary syndrome characterized by limb, apocrine, and genital developmental abnormalities [23]. Expression of T-box genes 2, 3, and 5 was significantly higher in normal male foreskin fibroblasts than in AIS genital fibroblasts. BMP4 has been implicated in ductal budding and branching during prostate development [24] and a potential role of BMP4 in external genital development has also been postulated [25]. DWnt2 has been found to have roles in sex-specific cell determination in the gonads and genital disc of *Drosophila* [26]. Thus, mutations in genes characteristically expressed in normal male foreskin fibroblasts can, in some cases, lead to defective genital development. The data from these experiments therefore provide candidate genes for further investigation in patients with genital malformations.

As normal genital skin fibroblasts of 46,XY male individuals express the AR in vitro (see Table 1 and [4,7]), we had anticipated that androgen treatment would elicit a transcriptional response program that could provide additional insights into the role of androgen in genital development. We have previously used a similar approach to delineate the transcriptional programs activated in prostate cancer cells in response to androgen [9]. We had hoped that comparison of transcriptional responses of normal fibroblasts to those from AIS-affected individuals with varying degrees of genital ambiguity would provide still further insights into androgen's role in genital morphogenesis. However, we were unable to detect any significant changes in gene-expression patterns in cultured, ARexpressing genital fibroblasts or in AIS-derived fibroblasts in response to androgens. Although two previous reports have shown increases in aromatase enzymatic activity in genital skin fibroblasts treated with dihydrotestosterone (DHT) [8,27], others have failed to observe changes in aromatase activity in response to androgen [28]. In agreement with our findings, Elmlinger et al. found significantly different baseline expression levels of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) and insulin-like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP) between normal and AIS-derived genital skin fibroblasts, and could not detect changes in transcript levels in response to androgen treatment [29]. In normal genital fibroblasts, androgenresponsive reporter genes can only be activated by expression of co-transfected AR in the presence of ligand [30]. Therefore, endogenous AR expression itself may be insufficient in genital skin fibroblasts to elicit a transcriptional response.

Moreover, the lack of detectable changes in transcript levels for any of the 30,000 genes in the AIS-fibroblasts virtually excludes the possibility that DHT or R1881 could be acting through other steroid receptors or other signaling pathways.

The differences in androgen responsiveness we have observed between normal genital fibroblasts and prostate cancer epithelial cells in vitro might reflect the responses seen in vivo. Prostate epithelial cells retain exquisite sensitivity to androgen throughout life. Androgen deprivation produces profound involution of the prostate, particularly of the epithelial component, but little or no change in the external genitalia. It is possible that genital mesenchymal cells are only capable of responding to androgen at discrete stages in development in their specific in vivo environment. In mice, stromal androgen responsiveness is restricted to the earliest stages in prostate development, and later the epithelial compartment becomes responsive and remains so [1]. This responsiveness may be mediated through the expression of specific AR co-regulators. Compared to LNCaP cells, normal male genital fibroblasts show distinctly lower baseline expression of several AR coregulators (such as NCOA2 (GRIP-1), NCOA3 (TRAM-1), ARA54 (RNF14), data not shown). Thus, genital fibroblasts may express critical AR co-regulators at discrete times during development that allow them to respond by setting up longlasting transcriptional programs that underlie the genesis and maintenance of genital morphology.

Conclusions

Our data suggest that in addition to androgen-independent positional influences on fibroblast phenotypes, the AR is originally involved in establishing stable and reproducible patterns of gene expression in stromal cells during genital differentiation, which are reflected in the differences in global gene-expression patterns between fibroblasts cultured from the genital skin of normal individuals and females affected by AIS. Comparison of the expression patterns of genital fibroblasts from 46,XY normal males and 46,XY females with inactivated AR provides a window on the AR-dependent geneexpression programs within the urogenital mesenchyme, which contribute to the development and structural integrity of male and female genitalia. For further discrimination of androgen-independent positional effects from prenatal androgen actions on expression phenotypes of genital fibroblast strains, comparative expression profiling of homologous genital tissues is needed. The apparent lack of response of genital fibroblasts to androgen in vitro, despite expression of a normal AR, has important implications for future research in defining the role of androgen in genital development and the pathogenesis of ambiguous genitalia. Transcriptional profiling of the early stages of genital development in vivo in the presence and absence of androgen may provide further insights into the role of androgen in genital development.

Materials and methods

The study was approved by the ethical committee of the University of Lübeck, Germany. Informed consent was obtained from all normal subjects and AIS patients or their parents.

Cell strains

Primary cultures of genital fibroblasts were established from genital skin biopsies (labia majora) or gonadal biopsies in female AIS patients and from the foreskin of normal males undergoing circumcision. Abdominal skin fibroblasts were derived from the midline above the mons pubis of a fertile male during abdominal surgery. Forearm skin fibroblasts from a normal male were a gift from H. Chang (Department of Biochemistry, Stanford University). Peripheral zone prostate fibroblasts were a gift from D. Peehl (Department of Urology, Stanford University) and were established from a histologically normal region of a patient undergoing prostatectomy for prostate cancer who had not been previously treated with hormonal therapy. Hormone-binding assays using methyltrienolone (R1881, 17β-hydroxy-17α-methyl-4,9,11-estrotrien-3-one) and androgen receptor sequencing have been described previously [7].

Cell culture and hormone treatment

For determination of basal gene-expression profiles without androgen stimulation, fibroblasts were cultured on 150-mm plastic dishes at 37°C with 5% CO2. To eliminate possible artifacts due to differing states of proliferation, cells were grown to confluence, at which point they enter G_0 arrest [31]. They were maintained in phenol-red-free DMEM F12 (Dulbecco's modified Eagle Medium with the nutrient mix F12; Gibco) containing L-glutamine, 15 mM Hepes buffer, penicillin/ streptomycin (Gibco) and 12.9% of a constant lot of certified fetal calf serum (FCS; Gibco). The pH was adjusted to 7.4 with 1 N NaOH and the medium was exchanged every 48 h. At day 13 the last media exchange was carried out and 96 h later cells were scraped and mRNA harvested directly.

Androgen stimulation of genital fibroblasts was carried out under two different culture conditions similar to those previously reported to produce induction of aromatase enzymatic activity in these cell lines [8,27]. In the first, cells were grown to confluence as described above using phenol-red-free DMEM F12 containing L-glutamine, 15 mM Hepes buffer, penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco) with 12.9% charcoalstripped, steroid-free FCS (D/S-FCS) (Hyclone) to ensure androgen-depleted conditions in control cells. With every media exchange every 48 h, cells received either ethanol in a final dilution of 1:100,000 or 100 nM dihydrotestosterone (DHT) dissolved in ethanol. The last DHT treatment was administered with the last media exchange 96 h before lysate preparation. In total, six doses of either ethanol or 100 nM DHT were given.

In the second set of experiments, cells were cultured to confluence for 14 days as described above. They were then trypsinized and seeded at a density of 3,000 cells per cm² in 150-mm plates. Twenty-four hours later, medium was removed, and cells were washed three times with new media containing 12.9% D/S-FCS, then cultured for another 24-h interval in the absence of androgens. Cells were then treated with either 1:100,000 ethanol, 100 nM or 1,000 nM DHT dissolved in ethanol. After 24 h incubation, exponentially growing cells were harvested. LNCaP cells, passaged and treated under similar conditions, were used as a positive control for androgen reponsiveness.

RNA isolation and cDNA labeling

Protocols for mRNA preparation and cDNA labeling are available online [32]. mRNA (2 µg) from single experiments was reverse transcribed and labeled with Cy5 (pseudo-coloured red) and pooled reference mRNA was labeled with Cy3 (pseudo-coloured green). Reference mRNA contained equal mixtures of fibroblast mRNA (pooled from confluent and proliferating cultures of normal and AIS genital skin fibroblasts) and a 'common reference' of mRNAs isolated from 11 different proliferating cultured tumor cell lines that we have described previously [33].

Microarrays and hybridizations

Microarrays with approximately 43,000 sequence-validated PCR-amplified human cDNAs representing 32,968 UniGene clusters were manufactured as described [32]. Hybridizations were performed using equal amounts of Cy3- and Cy5-labeled cDNAs according to previously published protocols [32]. Hybridized microarrays were scanned using a GenePix4000 array scanner and analyzed with GenePix Pro 3.0 software (Axon Instruments, Union City, CA).

Microarray data analysis

Only spots with fluorescence signals 1.5-fold greater than background in either the experimental or reference samples were included in the analysis. To correct for variations in cDNA labeling efficiency, we normalized the Cy5/Cy3 fluorescence ratios for all genes in each array hybridization to obtain an average log₂ (ratio) of o. We restricted our analysis to genes with measurable expression in 80% of the samples we analyzed. We used the SAM procedure [6] to identify genes with statistically significant differences in baseline expression levels between normal and AIS genital fibroblasts. The SAM procedure computes a two-sample T-statistic (for example for normal vs AIS cell lines) for the normalized log ratios of geneexpression levels for each gene. It thresholds the T-statistics to provide a 'significant' gene list and provides an estimate of the false discovery rate (the percent of genes identified by chance alone) from randomly permuted data. Gene-expression data were clustered [34,35] and results were visualized using TreeView software [35].

To identify the effects of androgen treatment on gene expression in genital fibroblasts, we carried out a set of 21 DNA microarray analyses of mRNA from normal and AIS genital

Holterhus et al. R37.9

fibroblasts. This dataset included cells treated at confluence (G_0) or during exponential proliferation as described above. Raw data were filtered, normalized and centered as described above. We used the SAM procedure to identify transcripts with significant differences in expression with reference to the origin of the fibroblast lines, whether the cells were confluent or proliferating, and whether they had been treated with androgen.

Additional data files

http://genomebiology.com/2003/4/6/R37

The following files are available with the online version of this paper: a figure (Additional data file 1) showing the complete dataset for Figure 1, with associated array tree correlations (atr), complete data table (cdt) and gene tree correlations (gtr) files (Additional data files 2, 3 and 4); a figure (Additional data file 5) showing the complete dataset for Figure 2, with associated atr, cdt and gtr files (Additional data files 6, 7 and 8); a figure (Additional data file 9) showing the complete dataset for Figure 3, with associated atr, cdt and gtr files (Additional data files 10, 11 and 12). Figure 3 contains 686 transcripts whose log, red/green ratio differed from the mean expression level across all experiments by at least 1.5 in at least three experiments of the treatment series. The analysis was based on 2,862 transcripts that differed significantly between proliferating and confluent cells and between fibroblasts derived from AIS-affected individuals and normal male foreskin, respectively, as identified by SAM analysis of the treatment series. The complete 2,862 genes are displayed in two further figures (Additional data files 13 and 14) with associated atr, cdt and gtr files (Additional data files 15, 16 and 17). All files are also available online at [36].

Acknowledgements

The study was supported by a grant from the National Cancer Institute (P.O.B.), the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (P.O.B.), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (grants Ho 2073 / 2-1, 2-2 and KFO 111 / 1-C to P.M.H.) and the Doris Duke Charitable Foundation (J.D.B.). We thank Genevieve Vidanes, Nicole Homburg, Christine Marschke, and Dagmar Struve for excellent technical assistance, Michael Whitfield and Samuel DePrimo for expert advice, and Rob Tibshirani, Orly Alter and Jonathan Pollack for discussions of microarray data analysis. We thank the scientists and staff of the Stanford Microarray Facility and the Stanford Microarray Database. We also thank all physicians and members of the German Collaborative Intersex Study Group for contributing genital fibroblast samples and clinical information, especially N. Albers, H. Brämswig, K. Bull, W. Hoepffner, D. Jocham, A. Kleinkauf-Houcken, E. Korsch, H.P. Schwarz, K. Schölermann and H.A. Wollmann. P.O.B. is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

References

- Cunha GR, Chung LWK, Shannon JM, Reese BA: Stromal-epithelial interactions in sex differentiation. Biol Reprod 1980, 22:19-42.
- Takeda H, Mizuno T, Lasnitzki I: Autoradiographic studies of androgen-binding sites in the rat urogenital sinus and postnatal prostate. J Endocrinol 1985, 104:87-92
- McPhaul MJ, Marcelli M, Zoppi S, Griffin JE, Wilson JD: Genetic basis of endocrine disease. 4. The spectrum of mutations in the androgen receptor gene that causes androgen resistance. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1993, 76:17-23.

- Quigley CA, De Bellis A, Marschke KB, el-Awady MK, Wilson EM, French FS: Androgen receptor defects: historical, clinical, and molecular perspectives. Endocr Rev 1995, 16:271-321.
- Hiort O, Holterhus PM, Nitsche EM: Physiology and pathophysiology of androgen action. Baillières Clin Endocrinol Metab 1998, 12:115-132.
- Tusher VG, Tibshirani R, Chu G: Significance analysis of microarrays applied to the ionizing radiation response. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001, 98:5116-5121
- Holterhus PM, Brüggenwirth HT, Hiort O, Kleinkauf-Houcken A, Kruse K, Sinnecker GH, Brinkmann AO: Mosaicism due to a somatic mutation of the androgen receptor gene determines phenotype in androgen insensitivity syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1997, 82:3584-3589.
- Stillman SC, Evans BA, Hughes IA: Androgen dependent stimulation of aromatase activity in genital skin fibroblasts from normals and patients with androgen insensitivity. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 1991, 35:533-538.
- DePrimo SE, Diehn M, Nelson JB, Reiter RE, Matese J, Fero M, Tibshirani R, Brown PO, Brooks JD: Transcriptional programs activated by exposure of human prostate cancer cells to androgen. Genome Biol 2002, 3:research0032.1-0032.12.
- Rey R, Picard J-Y: Embryology and endocrinology of genital development. Baillières Clin Endocrinol Metab 1998, 12:17-33
- Chang H, Chi JT, Dudoit S, Bondre C, van de Rijn M, Botstein D, Brown PO: Diversity, topographic differentiation, and positional memory in human fibroblasts. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002, 99:12877-12882.
- Holterhus PM, Brüggenwirth HT, Brinkmann AO, Hiort O: Post-zygotic mutations and somatic mosaicism in androgen insensitivity syndrome. Trends Genet 2001, 17:627-628.
- Gumbiner BM: Cell adhesion: the molecular basis of tissue architecture and morphogenesis. Cell 1996, 84:345-357.
- 14. McNeill H: Sticking together and sorting things out: adhesion as a force in development. Nat Rev Genet 2000, 1:100-108
- Woods A: Syndecans: transmembrane modulators of adhesion and matrix assembly. J Clin Invest 2001, 107:935-941.
- Penning TM, Burczynski ME, Jez JM, Hung CF, Lin HK, Ma H, Moore M, Palackal N, Ratnam K: Human 3alpha-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase isoforms (AKRICI-AKRIC4) of the aldo-keto reductase superfamily: functional plasticity and tissue distribution reveals roles in the inactivation and formation of male and female sex hormones. Biochem J 2000, 351:67-77.
- Holterhus PM, Piefke S, Hiort O: Anabolic steroids, testosterone-precursors and virilizing androgens induce distinct activation profiles of androgen responsive promoter constructs. I Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2002, 82:269-275.
- 18. Ueda T, Bruchovsky N, Sadar MD: Activation of the androgen receptor N-terminal domain by interleukin-6 via MAPK and STAT3 signal transduction pathways. J Biol Chem 2002, **277:**7076-7085.
- Vonesch JL, Nakshatri H, Philippe M, Chambon P, Dolle P: Stage and tissue-specific expression of the alcohol dehydrogenase I (Adh-I) gene during mouse development. Dev Dyn 1994, 199:199-213.
- 20. Ang HL, Duester G: Initiation of retinoid signaling in primitive streak mouse embryos: spatiotemporal expression patterns of receptors and metabolic enzymes for ligand synthesis. Dev Dyn 1997, 208:536-543.
- 21. Mortlock DP, Innis JW: Mutation of HOXA13 in hand-foot-genital syndrome. Nat Genet 1997, 15:179-180.
- Rodriguez-Esteban C, Tsukui T, Yonei S, Magallon J, Tamura K, Izpisua Belmonte JC: The T-box genes Tbx4 and Tbx5 regulate limb outgrowth and identity. Nature 1999, 398:814-818.
- Bamshad M, Lin RC, Law DJ, Watkins WC, Krakowiak PA, Moore ME, Franceschini P, Lala R, Holmes LB, Gebuhr TC, et al.: Mutations in human TBX3 alter limb, apocrine and genital development in ulnar-mammary syndrome. Nat Genet 1997, 16:311-315.
- Lamm ML, Podlasek CA, Barnett DH, Lee J, Clemens JQ, Hebner CM, Bushman W: Mesenchymal factor bone morphogenetic protein 4 restricts ductal budding and branching morphogenesis in the developing prostate. Dev Biol 2001, 232:301-314.
- Haraguchi R, Mo R, Hui C, Motoyama J, Makino S, Shiroishi T, Gaffield W, Yamada G: Unique functions of Sonic hedgehog signaling during external genitalia development. Development 2001, 128:4241-4250.
- Kozopas KM, Samos CH, Nusse R: DWnt-2, a Drosophila Wnt

- gene required for the development of the male reproductive tract, specifies a sexually dimorphic cell fate. Genes Dev 1998, 12:1155-1165.
- Chabab A, Sultan C, Fenart O, Descomps B: Stimulation of aromatase activity by dihydrotestosterone in human skin fibroblasts. J Steroid Biochem 1986, 25:165-169.
 Berkovitz GD, Carter KM, Brown TR, Migeon CJ: Testosterone
- Berkovitz GD, Carter KM, Brown TR, Migeon CJ: Testosterone lowers aromatase activity in cultured human genital skin fibroblasts. Mol Cell Endocrinol 1990, 69:187-197.
- Elmlinger MW, Mayer I, Schnabel D, Schuett BS, Diesing D, Romalo G, Wollmann HA, Weidemann W, Spindler KD, Ranke MB, et al.: Decreased expression of IGF-II and its binding protein, IGF-binding protein-2, in genital skin fibroblasts of patients with complete androgen insensitivity syndrome compared with normally virilized males. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2001, 86:4741-4746.
- Holterhus PM, Salzburg J, Hiort O: Transactivation properties of natural androgen receptor gene mutations in transfected human genital fibroblasts. Horm Res 2002, 58, Suppl 2:17.
- Tobey RA, Valdez JG, Crissman HA: Synchronization of human diploid fibroblasts at multiple stages of the cell cycle. Exp Cell Res 1988, 179:400-416.
- 32. The Brown lab [http://cmgm.stanford.edu/pbrown/]
- Perou CM, Sorlie T, Eisen MB, van de Rijn M, Jeffrey SS, Rees CA, Pollack JR, Ross DT, Johnsen H, Akslen LA, et al.: Molecular portraits of human breast tumours. Nature 2000, 406:747-752.
- Eisen MB, Spellman PT, Brown PO, Botstein D: Cluster analysis and display of genome-wide expression patterns. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998, 95:14863-14868.
- 35. Eisen lab [http://rana.lbl.gov/index.htm]
- Androgen Insensitivity Syndrome Homepage [http://genomewww.stanford.edu/AIS]