# RESEARCH





# An integrated single-cell RNA-seq map of human neuroblastoma tumors and preclinical models uncovers divergent mesenchymal-like gene expression programs

Richard H. Chapple<sup>1</sup>, Xueying Liu<sup>1</sup>, Sivaraman Natarajan<sup>1</sup>, Margaret I. M. Alexander<sup>1</sup>, Yuna Kim<sup>1</sup>, Anand G. Patel<sup>2,3</sup>, Christy W. LaFlamme<sup>4,5</sup>, Min Pan<sup>1</sup>, William C. Wright<sup>1</sup>, Hyeong-Min Lee<sup>1</sup>, Yinwen Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Meifen Lu<sup>6</sup>, Selene C. Koo<sup>6</sup>, Courtney Long<sup>7</sup>, John Harper<sup>7</sup>, Chandra Savage<sup>7</sup>, Melissa D. Johnson<sup>8</sup>, Thomas Confer<sup>8</sup>, Walter J. Akers<sup>9</sup>, Michael A. Dyer<sup>2,10</sup>, Heather Sheppard<sup>6</sup>, John Easton<sup>1</sup> and Paul Geeleher<sup>1,3\*</sup>

\*Correspondence: paul.geeleher@stjude.org

<sup>1</sup> Department of Computational Biology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN 38105, USA Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

# Abstract

**Background:** Neuroblastoma is a common pediatric cancer, where preclinical studies suggest that a mesenchymal-like gene expression program contributes to chemotherapy resistance. However, clinical outcomes remain poor, implying we need a better understanding of the relationship between patient tumor heterogeneity and preclinical models.

**Results:** Here, we generate single-cell RNA-seg maps of neuroblastoma cell lines, patient-derived xenograft models (PDX), and a genetically engineered mouse model (GEMM). We develop an unsupervised machine learning approach ("automatic consensus nonnegative matrix factorization" (acNMF)) to compare the gene expression programs found in preclinical models to a large cohort of patient tumors. We confirm a weakly expressed, mesenchymal-like program in otherwise adrenergic cancer cells in some pre-treated high-risk patient tumors, but this appears distinct from the presumptive drug-resistance mesenchymal programs evident in cell lines. Surprisingly, however, this weak-mesenchymal-like program is maintained in PDX and could be chemotherapy-induced in our GEMM after only 24 h, suggesting an uncharacterized therapy-escape mechanism.

**Conclusions:** Collectively, our findings improve the understanding of how neuroblastoma patient tumor heterogeneity is reflected in preclinical models, provides a comprehensive integrated resource, and a generalizable set of computational methodologies for the joint analysis of clinical and pre-clinical single-cell RNA-seg datasets.



© The Author(s) 2024. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

### Background

Neuroblastoma is a neuroendocrine tumor, typically arising in the adrenal gland, and is the most common extracranial solid tumor in children [1]. Survival in highrisk neuroblastoma is approximately 50%, with survivors suffering long-term consequences of chemotherapy [2]. This is despite new therapies showing clear promise in cell lines and mouse models [3], indicating that we have an incomplete understanding of how neuroblastoma patient tumor heterogeneity is reflected in preclinical models.

Pediatric tumors, including neuroblastoma, exhibit very few recurrent somatic mutations compared to adult tumors [4] and heterogeneity at the level of cell state [5] is thought to contribute to therapy resistance [6–10]. For example, it was recently shown that many neuroblastoma cell lines exist in an admixed state, expressing two discrete super-enhancer-driven gene expression programs, referred to as the "adrenergic" (expressing sympathoadrenal features) and the "mesenchymal" (comparatively chemoresistant) programs [6, 10]. These programs exhibited mutually exclusive expression, where cells expressing one program could interconvert to the other in vitro [11, 12].

Single-cell RNA-seq represents the ideal tool to study this non-genetic tumor heterogeneity. Indeed, in the past three years, at least seven different studies [13–19] have reported single-cell RNA-seq maps of neuroblastoma patient tumors. However, there were disagreements among some of these studies, e.g., with multiple different cell types posited as the neuroblastoma cell-of-origin (chromaffin cell [16], sympathoblast/neuroblast [15, 17, 19], Schwann cell precursors [13], "sympatho- and chromaffin cells" [18] and "a subtype of TRKB + cholinergic progenitor" [18]) [15, 17–19]. Additionally, arguments were made both in favor of [13–15, 18] and against [16, 17, 19] the in vivo relevance of the cell-line-derived, [6, 10] putatively-chemoresistant mesenchymal-like gene expression program. Thus, the gene expression programs contributing to therapy resistance in neuroblastoma—and the preclinical models that can be used to study these—remains unclear.

Here, we generated single-cell RNA-seq data from neuroblastoma cell lines, PDXs, and genetic mouse models, using these data to create the first integrated map of human neuroblastoma patient tumors and preclinical models. To achieve this, we developed a novel computational method called "automatic consensus nonnegative matrix factorization" (acNMF; details below). Using acNMF, we determined that the dominant adrenergic gene expression programs were preserved across human tumors and preclinical models. In contrast, the presumptive drug-resistant mesen-chymal program demonstrated less consistent behavior, and while clearly expressed in cell lines, exhibited high expression primarily in cancer-associated normal cells in vivo. Notably, we confirmed a distinct weak-mesenchymal program in predominantly adrenergic cells of some high-risk patient tumors, which we showed could be elicited 24 h after administering chemotherapy in vivo. Overall, our findings provide a generalizable set of computational tools that we have used to improve the understanding of neuroblastoma patient tumor heterogeneity and preclinical models, which will help inform drug development for this catastrophic disease.

### Results

# Automatic consensus non-negative matrix factorization (acNMF) can accurately recover gene expression programs in single-cell RNA-seq data

NMF is an unsupervised learning approach often used to estimate gene expression programs in single-cell RNA-seq datasets. Using this method, each program is represented as a vector of weights (or "loadings") assigned to each gene (see, e.g., Fig. 3e). The activity of each program is estimated in individual cells, scoring high if genes with high weights are highly expressed in that cell (see, e.g., Fig. 3g). Like other methods, NMF can discover discrete cell types, but also, potentially, biological processes and cell state programs factors believed to influence therapy resistance in cancers including neuroblastoma. However, there is no existing method to determine a priori the number of gene expression programs in a single-cell RNA-seq dataset, which can lead to inconsistent NMFbased representations of similar data. This makes it difficult to compare tumors and preclinical models across multiple datasets. To overcome this, we first aimed to create a method for identifying the number of gene expression programs (typically called "*k*") in our datasets. We eventually developed a generalizable method called acNMF (Fig. 1a).

Briefly (see Methods for complete details), we initially developed acNMF using a simulated single-cell RNA-seq dataset with 13 cell types and one shared "activity program" (simulating, e.g., a biological process). Our inspiration came from observing how the existing cNMF algorithm [20] behaved on this dataset. We noticed that the 14 ground truth gene expression programs could be identified at most values of k, with other programs fitting noise (Fig. 1b). By plotting the value of k against the number of reproducibly identified gene expression programs in independent splits of the data, we could find an inflection point (Fig. 1c, Additional file 1: Figs. S1a-d) where all ground truth programs were recovered and noise-filtered (Fig. 1d-h; Additional file 1: Figs. S1e-r). In the simulated data, the 14 ground truth gene expression programs were recovered at a k value of 22. At k=14, only 13 of the 14 programs were recovered (Additional file 1: Figs. S1s-v). This finding implies that recovering ground truth gene expression programs using NMF-based methods should be decoupled from selecting the appropriate k. This is an important general consideration when applying NMF to single-cell RNA-seq data, challenging the idea that finding an optimal k value necessarily recovers k biologically meaningful programs. We used this method to estimate gene expression programs throughout this manuscript (Fig. 1i, Additional file 1: Fig. S1t; see subsequent sections).

## The acNMF approach produces an interpretable representation of a human neuroblastoma single-cell RNA-seq dataset

Given the range of existing conclusions in the neuroblastoma literature, we decided to first establish an initial baseline set of gene expression programs in patient tumors before comparisons against preclinical models. To that end, we first applied acNMF to the published "GOSH" dataset from Kildisiute et al. [17]. The five samples therein cover low-to-high-risk neuroblastoma and included one sample from normal adrenal gland. Previously, the insights derived from this dataset were confined to the identification of six cell types. However, the acNMF approach identified 41 gene expression programs (at k=80; Fig. 1i), suggesting possible new insights (Additional file 1: Fig. S1v). Two



Fig. 1 Overview of acNMF methodology and performance in simulated data. a Schematic representation of acNMF approach for recovering gene expression programs in a single-cell RNA-seg dataset denoted Y. The dataset is (i) randomly split 50/50, then (ii) each split is factorized independently into a basis matrix of gene expression programs (GEPs) W and a GEP activity matrix H using cNMF at a range of values of k (e.g., 5–200). At each k the redundant GEPs from each split are (iii) reaggregated by a community detection algorithm. This community number is then (iv) determined for a range of values of k and a range of Jaccard length values, parameters which are chosen to maximize the number of GEP communities replicated in each split. Critically, the number of ground truth GEPs is typically smaller than the value of k (GEPs < k, hypothetical example highlighted in blue). **b** The number of GEPs reproducibly recovered in both splits of the simulated dataset (y-axis) plotted against the value of k from NMF (x-axis), over a range of values of the Jaccard length (color scale). The approach is repeated 200 times for each pair of values of k and Jaccard length and a loess regression curve is fit through these points, with 95% confidence intervals shown.  $\mathbf{c}$  Optimal rank k (x-axis) is determined by calculating the inflection point (i.e., maximum residual value (gray profile)) of smoothed data in **b** (blue line). **d** UMAP plot of simulated scRNA-seq data, where each dot is a cell colored by the thirteen ground-truth simulated cell types. e Like d, but with black dots highlighting cells expressing the activity program, which (akin to a biological process/pathway) is expressed across multiple simulated cell types. f UMAP plot showing a representative example of a recovered ground truth cell identity GEP by acNMF in the simulated single-cell RNA-seq dataset. Dots are colored by the activity score of the recovered gene expression program. g Like f but showing the successful recovery of the activity program. Similar plots for all other recovered programs are shown in Additional file 1: Fig. S1e-r. h Dot plot comparing Jaccard similarity index values of 14 inferred acNMF GEPs with the 14 ground truth GEPs after multiple testing correction (blue X's represent non-significant comparisons). Both the size and color of the points are scaled by Jaccard similarity. i Like b, but for the GOSH dataset. Data shown for a Jaccard length of 20, which was optimized using acNMF. 41 GEPs were reproducibly recovered in independent splits of the data, at a k = 80 (highlighted by the red lines). Similar plots for all datasets in this paper are shown in Additional file 1: Fig. S1t. GEP; Gene expression program

co-authors (Y.K. and P.G.) blindly and independently annotated these 41 programs. This was done using a web-based platform we developed, presenting each annotator with a catalog of relevant information, including the dominant gene weights (loadings) for each program, program-program co-expression patterns, inferred copy number profiles, gene ontology term enrichments, cell type annotations from SingleR [21], and 314 neuroblastoma-relevant marker gene sets that we curated from the literature [10, 13–17, 19, 22–29] (Additional file 2: Table S1). The web-based platform presented to annotators is available at http://pscb.stjude.org.

The two annotators assigned qualitatively similar annotations to 37 out of 41 programs (Fig. 2a; Additional file 3: Table S2). The annotations contained four broad groups of cell types, neuroblastoma cancer cells, immune cells, stromal cells, and endothelial cells (Fig. 2a). We also identified seven "activity" programs that captured processes such as the cell cycle and antigen presentation by MHC. A total of 32 discrete cell types or cell states were recovered, with seven assigned to the stromal group, three to endothelial, 19 to immune, and three to neuroblastoma cancer cells, aided by inferred copy number data (Additional file 1: Fig. S2a-f; see the "Methods" section; further details in subsequent subsections). We refer to these three neuroblastoma-specific programs as "Adrenergic I (sympathoblast-like)", "Adrenergic II (pre-neuronal-like)", and "Neuroblastoma-MYC" (NB-MYC). All three neuroblastoma programs were strongly enriched for the classical cell line-derived adrenergic marker genes (Fig. 3a-c;  $8.1 \times 10^{-66}$  for Adrenergic I,  $P = 1.7 \times 10^{-72}$  Adrenergic II,  $1.1 \times 10^{-23}$  for Neuroblastoma-MYC from one-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test, signature defined by Van Groningen et al. [10]), but consistent with a subset of previous studies [16, 17, 19], were not strongly enriched for mesenchymal markers.

# The dominant neuroblastoma adrenergic programs resemble distinct aspects of sympathoadrenal development

For all three adrenergic programs, the top ranked curated human cell-type signature enrichment was sympathoblast (Fig. 3d,  $P = 1 \times 10^{-6}$ ,  $3.6 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $7.6 \times 10^{-6}$  for adrenergic I, adrenergic II, NB-MYC respectively, marker genes curated from Kameneva et al. [15]). Sympathoblasts are a neural crest-derived precursor associated with the development of the sympathetic nervous system and this result is consistent with a subset of the existing neuroblastoma single-cell RNA-seq studies [15, 17, 19]. However, acNMF uncovered additional complexity beyond a clean resemblance to sympathoblasts: Specifically, the Adrenergic I (sympathoblast-like) program was defined by the expression of genes involved in the synthesis of norepinephrine in both sympathoblast-precursors and differentiating chromaffin cells (Fig. 3e–g). This includes tyrosine hydroxylase (*TH*), dopamine beta-hydroxylase (*DBH*), dopa decarboxylase (*DDC*) ("Chromaffin and progenitors" gene list curated from Jansky et al. [19]). Notably, markers specific to mature chromaffin cells (*PNMT* and *PENK*) were not enriched, consistent with the argument that neuroblastoma cells do not typically resemble differentiated chromaffin cells [26, 31, 32].

The Adrenergic II (pre-neuronal-like) program over-expressed markers of neuroblasts defined by Hanemaaijer et al. [24] and Jansky et al. [19]. The top five highly expressed marker genes included neuronal-specific genes, neurofilament light chain (*NEFL*),



**Fig. 2** Gene expression programs recovered by acNMF in the GOSH neuroblastoma single-cell RNA-seq dataset. **a** Heatmap showing the activity scores (color scale) for the 41 recovered programs (*y*-axis) across each single cell (*x*-axis) in the GOSH dataset. The final consensus annotation for each gene expression program is shown on the right, colored by the type of program. Tick marks indicate whether the two blinded annotators agreed or not, and the far-right column indicates whether the annotators reached a high, middle, or low level of confidence in the final annotation

#### (See figure on next page.)

Fig. 3 Cells expressing multiple adrenergic and mesenchymal-like gene expression programs are evident in neuroblastoma tumors. a Boxplots showing the mean expression (y-axis) of the genes from the classical Van Groningen mesenchymal (MES; orange box) or adrenergic (ADRM; purple box) signature, in cells that are highly expressing (activity h > 50) the acNMF-identified "Adrenergic I (sympathoblast-like)" program in the GOSH dataset. The yellow box shows the mean expression of all other genes in these cells. **b** Like **a** but for the "Adrenergic II (pre-neuronal-like)" program. c Like a but for the "Neuroblastoma-MYC" program. d Boxplots showing the mean gene expression (y-axis) of sympathoblast marker genes (curated from Kameneva et al.) in cells highly expressing (activity h > 50) each of the 3 acNMF recovered adrenergic programs, or in normal sympathoblasts (obtained from the Descartes Cell Atlas). e QQ-plot showing the gene weights (loading scores) (y-axis) for the "Adrenergic I (sympathoblast-like)" program. Key sympathoblast marker genes have been highlighted in green, and key neuronal marker genes in blue. **f** Like **d** but for the "Chromaffin and progenitors" marker gene set, curated from Jansky et al. g UMAP plot of the GOSH dataset; each point represents a single-cell, colored by the activity of the "Adrenergic I (sympathoblast-like)" program. h Like e but for the "Adrenergic II (pre-neuronal-like)" program. i Like g but for the "Adrenergic II (pre-neuronal-like)" program. j Boxplot showing the mean gene expression of "Chromaffin and progenitors" marker genes (curated from Jansky et al.) in the cancer cells of the 4 neuroblastoma samples profiled in the GOSH dataset. Boxes are colored by tumor risk classification. **k** Like **j** but for the "Neuroblast" marker gene set curated from Hanemaaijer et al. I Representative H&E stains of low, intermediate, and high-risk human neuroblastoma tumors, illustrating varying degrees of differentiated features. **m** Boxplots showing the mean expression (y-axis) of the genes from the classical Van Groningen mesenchymal (MES; orange box) or adrenergic (ADRM; purple box) signature, in cells that are highly expressing (activity h > 50) the acNMF-identified "Normal fibroblasts" program in the GOSH dataset. The yellow box shows the mean expression of all other genes in these cells. n QQ-plot showing the gene loading scores (y-axis) for the "Normal fibroblasts" program. A subset of genes classically used as markers of mesenchymal-like neuroblastoma cells are highlighted in red. o Like **m** but for the "IGF1 + myofibroblasts" program. **p** Like **m** but for the "POSTN + myofibroblasts" program. **q** Like **m** but for the "Inflammatory fibroblasts" program. **r** A schematic diagram overlaying the acNMF-recovered programs on normal sympathoadrenal differentiation [30]



neuronatin (*NNAT*), brain acid soluble protein 1 (*BASP1*), and neurofilament medium chain (*NEFM*). This program can be co-expressed with the Adrenergic I program (Fig. 3g and i, Additional file 1: Fig. S3a–c). Thus, these programs were independent but not mutually exclusive.

The third recovered neuroblastoma program, NB-MYC, was only expressed in the high-risk patient sample (PD43255) and was characterized by lower expression of marker genes of differentiating chromaffin and neuronal cell types (Fig. 3d, f, Additional file 1: Fig. S3a), consistent with the undifferentiated pathology of high-risk neuroblastoma [33]. The defining genes of this program were dominated by translation initiation and elongation factors (Additional file 1: Figs. S3d and S3e), consistent with high levels of transcription and translation supporting the hyper-aggressive growth of high-risk tumors. The top marker genes of the adrenergic I and II programs were expressed

2-3-fold lower in the high-risk tumor (Fig. 3j–k,  $P=6.9 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $P=5.9 \times 10^{-2}$ , respectively). This is consistent with low/intermediate-risk tumors exhibiting differentiating histology (Fig. 3l) [33]. Adrenergic marker genes were also strongly differentially expressed between high-risk tumors and other tumors in 498 bulk RNA-seq samples [34] (Additional file 1: Fig. SS3f–h;  $P=1.8 \times 10^{-16}$ ,  $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ , and  $1.1 \times 10^{-18}$  for adrenergic I, II and MYC programs, respectively), suggesting these are generalizable patterns of differential gene expression.

While our study does not aim to definitively pinpoint neuroblastoma's cell-of-origin, these results are consistent with the proposition that neuroblastoma tumors broadly resemble adrenergic neuroblasts/sympathoblasts [15, 17, 19]. However, we additionally propose that these tumors can co-express a mixture of independent adrenergic sub-programs, resembling subtly different sympathoadrenal cell types (Fig. 3g, i, Additional file 1: Fig. S3b–c) and that these activities differ between high and low/intermediate-risk disease. This suggests that the adrenergic co-expression patterns in neuroblastoma patient tumors are more nuanced than previously described. Evidence for these behaviors in other datasets and preclinical models is presented in a later subsection.

# Cancer-associated fibroblasts subpopulations in the neuroblastoma tumor microenvironment strongly express mesenchymal features, heavily resembling mesenchymal-neuroblastoma cell lines

We identified nine gene expression programs in the GOSH dataset that statistically resembled the neuroblastoma cell line-derived presumptive-drug-resistant mesenchymal gene expression program. This includes some very strong enrichments (e.g.,  $P = 1.78 \times 10^{-88}$  for Program 40 for enrichment of Van Groningen "mesenchymal" signature, Additional file 3: Table S2). This seems to imply that mesenchymal neuroblastoma cells have been identified in these tumors. However, one of these programs was expressed exclusively in fibroblasts of the reference normal adrenal sample (Fig. 3m-n;  $P = 3.75 \times 10^{-40}$  for mesenchymal enrichment) and thus this specific program cannot be expressed in cancer cells. Hence, these shared gene expression features of fibroblasts and mesenchymal-like neuroblastoma cell lines suggest a source of disagreement about whether mesenchymal-like neuroblastoma cells exist in vivo. Indeed, in the four neuroblastoma tumors, our acNMF decomposition again identified several programs strongly resembling mesenchymal-like neuroblastoma cell lines. However, in all cases, we annotated these as non-cancerous fibroblast cell populations rather than as mesenchymal-like neuroblastoma cells (Fig. 30–q; these annotations leveraged inferred copy number — details in the subsequent section). Notably, however, acNMF revealed a much more complex landscape of cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) than previously reported in neuroblastoma [28]. Specifically, we identified  $IGF1 + (P = 1.92 \times 10^{-7})$ , POSTN + ( $P = 3 \times 10^{-15}$ ), and contractile myofibroblast populations ( $P = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ ). We also identified inflammatory CAFs ( $P = 2.9 \times 10^{-9}$ ) expressing interleukin (*IL6*, *IL33*) and complement component genes (C7, C3) using marker genes from Lavie et al. [28]. Overall, our acNMF-based decomposition of the GOSH dataset yielded a conceptual model with which to describe the behavior of neuroblastoma cancer cells in patient tumors (Fig. 3r) but did not identify clear evidence for mesenchymal-like neuroblastoma cancer cells. We next explore whether these initial characteristics are recapitulated in other human datasets and the range of preclinical models.

# The fidelity of neuroblastoma gene expression programs across human tumors and preclinical models

Next, to assess the generality of the gene expression programs found in the GOSH dataset and assess their fidelity in neuroblastoma preclinical models, we assembled five more of the publicly available patient tumor datasets: from Dong et al., Jansky et al., Kameneva et al., Verhoeven et al., and the PMC dataset from Kildisuite et al. [15–19, 35], totaling 69 samples. Additionally, we performed single-cell RNA-seq profiling across 13 neuroblastoma cell lines, 17 patient-derived xenografts (PDX), and 11 samples from a genetic mouse model (GEMM) [36] (metadata for this (largest-of-its-kind) cohort is assembled in Additional file 4: Table S3, and in our web platform).

We applied the acNMF decomposition to each of these datasets independently, yielding between 17 (cell lines) and 45 (Dong et al.) gene expression programs per dataset (Additional file 1: Fig. S1t, Additional file 5: Table S4). To pairwise cross-compare the programs from each dataset, we represented the programs as nodes on a graph. We drew an edge between nodes if the program was replicated in another dataset (*FWER* < 0.01 by an asymmetric Jaccard similarity test; Fig. 4a; Additional file 1: Fig. S4a; see Methods). A force-directed algorithm facilitated the layout of the graph whereby interconnected nodes are found in closer proximity than sparsely connected nodes. The resulting graph's structure indicated that similar gene expression programs had often been recovered in multiple datasets, including preclinical models. Consensus hubs (see the "Methods" section) were evident for gene expression programs representing several immune cell types, neuroblastoma-specific programs, stromal cells such as fibroblasts, and activity programs such as the cell cycle and hypoxia (Fig. 4a–d).

The adrenergic I (sympathoblast-like) program from GOSH was directly or indirectly connected to programs in all other datasets, including all preclinical models (Fig. 4b).

<sup>(</sup>See figure on next page.)

Fig. 4 The fidelity of neuroblastoma gene expression programs across human tumors and preclinical models. a A graph of gene expression programs identified by acNMF across eight neuroblastoma single-cell RNA-seq datasets, from humans, PDX, GEMM, and cell lines. Nodes represent a single program's gene weights (i.e., loading scores), and node size is determined by the degree (i.e., number of connections). Edges connect similar nodes by a Jaccard similarity test. The colors highlight related communities of nodes. The black boxes highlight regions of interest, which are shown in detail in panels **b**-**d**. Note: unconnected nodes are not included in this figure but can be explored online at http://pscb.stjude.org. b Inset showing a detailed view of region **b** from panel **a**. This region has connected adrenergic I and adrenergic II programs. In this inset, nodes have been colored by dataset, rather than community (as in panel a). c Like b but for a dense interconnected community of "Neuroblastoma MYC" programs. d Like b but showing a region for a community of gene expression programs expressed in cancer-associated fibroblasts. A neuroblastoma program, exclusive to the GIMEN cell line, is connected to this community of nodes. e QQ-plot showing the gene weights (loading scores) (y-axis) for the "Adrenergic I (sympathoblast-like)" program recovered in the PMC dataset. Similar to Fig. 3e from the GOSH dataset key sympathoblast marker genes have been highlighted in green, and key neuronal marker genes in blue. **f** Like **e** but for the "Adrenergic II (pre-neuronal-like)" program. **g** Like **e** but for program 24 "Adrenergic I (sympathoblast-like)" in the Dong et al. dataset. **h** Like **e** but for program 19 "Adrenergic II (pre-neuronal-like)" in the Dong et al. dataset. i Like e but showing the "Neuroblastoma MYC" program from Dong et al. Top marker genes, similar to those identified in the GOSH dataset, have been highlighted in red. Note: An interactive version of the graph plot (panel **a**), and QQ-plots (panels **e**-**i**) for every program in every dataset are available in our web platform



These connected programs retained the characteristic hallmarks, including overexpression of genes like *DBH*, *TH*, and *CHGA* (Fig. 4e–h). The adrenergic II pre-neuronal-like program was also observed in multiple other datasets (Fig. 4f and h) and the "neuroblastoma-MYC" (high-risk) program formed a dense hub (Fig. 4c), with similar programs recovered in high-risk (both *MYC* and *MYCN* overexpressing) tumors in all datasets (Fig. 4i).

This cross-dataset analysis also revealed three groups of novel programs expressed in neuroblastoma cancer cells. We were able to find a potential explanation for one, which was expressed in *ALK*-activated tumors (Fig. 4a; supported by one patient tumor and two PDXs but no other preclinical model). Additionally, we found five programs unique to a single human neuroblastoma tumor, implying a possible long tail of divergent

neuroblastoma programs that currently remain unexplained and are absent in our profiled preclinical models.

The mesenchymal-like programs observed in the GOSH dataset formed a large mesenchymal/fibroblast subgraph. Interestingly, programs from cancer cell lines were also connected to this fibroblast subgraph. For example, a program expressed exclusively in the GIMEN cell line [10] was directly connected to the inflammatory fibroblasts program in the GOSH dataset (Fig. 4d), again consistent with similar gene expression features in mesenchymal-like neuroblastoma cells in culture and untransformed fibroblasts in vivo (Fig. 3o-q).

Overall, this cross-dataset-integrated map argues that, despite some disagreements in the literature, neuroblastoma single-cell RNA-seq datasets are reasonably consistent and the dominant adrenergic programs are preserved in all preclinical models. However, the status of mesenchymal-like tumor cells and cell lines requires further exploration. Note: this graph-based representation of these datasets (Fig. 4a) is available as part of our interactive, web-based platform (accessible at http://pscb.stjude.org).

# Cells highly expressing a mesenchymal-like program are consistent with non-cancerous cells in all datasets except cell lines

Our cross-dataset analysis above also revealed other candidate mesenchymal-like neuroblastoma cells, including Schwann-like cells (e.g., Dong dataset, Program 27,  $P=1 \times 10^{-9}$  for enrichment of Descartes Schwann cell markers,  $P=5.3 \times 10^{-43}$  for Van Groningen mesenchymal enrichment; Additional file 1: Fig. S5a). Indeed, mesenchymal-like cells were identified in every in vivo dataset we analyzed, and these can be explored in detail on our web-platform (http://pscb.stjude.org). We were interested in whether any of these could plausibly represent in vivo mesenchymal neuroblastoma cancer cells.

We therefore applied InferCNV [37], a computational method for inferring copy number variant (CNV) profiles from single-cell RNA-seq data, across the entire integrated largest-of-its-kind cohort (see the "Methods" section). We found that the CNV profiles of mesenchymal-like cells were always similar to the reference normal, whereas CNV aberrations in adrenergic cells were typically obvious (Additional file 1: Fig. S2, Figs. 5a–c). The exception was cell lines, where both mesenchymal and adrenergic cells always exhibited massive CNV aberrations consistent with cancer cells (Additional file 1: Fig. S5d–f).

However, because CNV-based inference tools act at the level of clustered groups of cells, InferCNV cannot rule out a small proportion of mesenchymal-like cancer cells. We therefore constructed a machine learning model that, by leveraging the inferred copy number profiles of the high-confidence-cancer and high-confidence-normal cells, could *predict* the cancer/normal status of the ambiguous mesenchymal-like cells on a per-cell basis (Additional file 1: Fig. S5g; Tables S5 and S6; see the "Methods" section). These models could predict the cancer/normal status of the held-out cancer/normal-endothelial cells with median accuracy approaching 100% (Fig. 5a, Additional file 1: Fig. S5h). We applied the trained models to the held-out ambiguous population of mesen-chymal-like cells (candidate mesenchymal neuroblastoma cells, cancer-associated fibroblasts, or Schwann-like cells). The model never classified mesenchymal cells as cancer cells beyond the model's misclassification rate estimated from normal endothelial cells

(Fig. 5b–c; Additional file 1: Fig. S5i–n). Thus, these analyses, given the scale of the data currently available, did not provide convincing evidence for subsets of neuroblastoma cells that highly express a mesenchymal-like gene expression program in vivo.

We validated these putative fibroblast and Schwann-like cells using an orthogonal assay—a highly sensitive spatial transcriptomics assay, multiplexed RNA in situ hybridization (RNA ISH) (Fig. 5d, Additional file 1: Fig. S6a-c). We applied this in our GEMM tumors, a model that develops neuroblastoma because of Cre-conditional activation of the *human* copy of *MYCN* in dopamine beta-hydroxylase (*Dbh*) expressing cells—thus, human MYCN expression should be excluded from non-cancer cells. In all tumors, the human MYCN transcript was highly expressed across most of the tissue, but absent from some large regions (Fig. 5e, Additional file 1: Fig. S7a-d) that resemble Schwannian stroma (Fig. 5f, Additional file 1: Fig. S7e). These MYCN-absent regions clearly co-expressed the myofibroblast markers Tagln and Acta2 (Fig. 5e), consistent with the idea that these mesenchymal cells are fibroblasts and not cancer cells. Regions excluding MYCN also expressed the inflammatory fibroblast marker C3 (Fig. 5e). Interestingly, we identified some cells that seemed to co-express all combinations of chosen fibroblast markers, consistent with the notion of intermediate subpopulations (Fig. 5g). Schwann cell markers Sox10 and Plp1 were also excluded from regions expressing human MYCN (Fig. 5h; Additional file 1: Fig. S7e). Collectively, the data indicate that, despite strong

#### (See figure on next page.)

Fig. 5 The cancer/normal status of ambiguous mesenchymal-like cells in neuroblastoma tumors. a Violin plots comparing the balanced accuracy (y-axis) for prediction of cancer/normal status of known cancer or immune cells for the 3 different initial models (x-axis) across 13 different tumor samples (shown for both "InferCNV" and "manual" features (see the "Methods" section)). GLM, generalized linear model; RF, random forest; SVM, support vector machine. **b** Boxplots showing the predicted probability of each cell being a cancer cell from the GLM model (y-axis) for the ambiguous-mesenchymal cells (light blue), for high confidence cancer cells held out from the initial model fitting (green), and for normal endothelial cells held out from the initial model fitting (dark blue). The predictions are shown for models using features defined based on InferCNV (left 3 boxes) or from manual bins of 50 adjacent genes (right 3 boxes). The contingency tables (right panels) estimate whether the number of mesenchymal-like cells classified as cancer cells differs statistically from the misclassification rate of normal endothelial cells, calculated by Fisher's exact test. This panel shows a representative sample PD46693 from the GOSH dataset. c Like b but for the Dong sample T200, all other samples are in Additional file 1: Fig. S5 and 8. d QQ-plot showing the Jaccard Similarity (y-axis) of other programs with Program #37 (Myofibroblastic CAF) in our GEMM dataset. e Representative RNA ISH image of CAF markers in GEMM tumors. Neuroblastoma markers (human MYCN, and Ddc) were used to define cancer cells. DAPI counterstaining confirmed the presence of cells located in regions that lack MYCN expression. The co-expression of CAF markers was identified within the MYCN-absent stromal regions (NB831 shown). **f** H&E staining of mouse tumors identifies regions of densely packed dark-stained neuroblastoma cells, and pink-staining stromal cells in our GEMM, which resemble the MYCN-absent regions of mesenchymal marker expression in our RNA ISH experiments. g Bar plot showing the co-expression of CAF markers in GEMM tumors (y-axis). All combinations of CAF marker expression were quantified in stromal cells (x-axis), and they are represented as proportions of the total cell numbers identified in each sample. **h** Representative image of GEMM tumors stained with MYCN and the Schwann cell marker Plp1 in NB839. Plp1 expression was restricted to MYCN-absent regions in these tumors. i Boxplot showing the mean expression (y-axis) of genes in neuroblastoma cancer cells from the sample NB14 from Jansky et al. [19]. Boxes are genes from the classical Van Groningen mesenchymal (MES; orange box) or adrenergic (ADRN; purple box) signatures, with the yellow box showing the expression of all other genes. The middle panel shows our PDX sample SJNBL063820 and the right panel shows the predominantly mesenchymal neuroblastoma cell line GIMEN. Immunohistochemical staining for the mesenchymal-marker gene COL1A1 in our SJNBL063820 PDX sample (#1, left) and in two other PDX samples SJNBL046145 (#2, middle) and SJNBL047443 (#3, right). The upper panel shows a UMAP plot colored by the expression of COL1A1 in our PDX cohort, with the numbers indicating the UMAP clusters corresponding to the 3 stained PDX samples



Fig. 5 (See legend on previous page.)

transcriptional resemblance to neuroblastoma mesenchymal cell lines, these in vivo cells are predominantly Schwann-like cells and previously uncharacterized fibroblast subpopulations (see the "Discussion" section).

# Rare high-risk neuroblastoma tumors express a weakly enriched mesenchymal-like signature in vivo that can be recapitulated in PDX

Interestingly, we identified some drug-pretreated tumors that seem to represent a partial exception to the above. In high-risk sample NB14 from the Jansky et al. dataset, the tumor's cancer cells were broadly (but weakly) statistically enriched for the Van Groningen cell-line-derived mesenchymal gene expression signature (Fig. 5i; Program 12  $P=1.7 \times 10^{-6}$ ; Additional file 1: Fig. S8a–b). Notably, these cells were still predominantly adrenergic (Fig. 5i, purple boxes). This is different from the behavior of cell lines, where the expression of mesenchymal-like genes corresponds to a loss of expression of adrenergic genes [6, 10] (Fig. 5i).

We initially suspected that this was artefactual, but, surprisingly, we also identified a high-risk pretreated human tumor in our PDX cohort that exhibited a similar weak enrichment of the mesenchymal signature across most cancer cells of the tumor (Fig. 5i; Program 1,  $P=1.6 \times 10^{-12}$  in SJNBL063820, Additional file 1: Fig. S8c–d). We obtained this PDX tissue [38] and used immunohistochemistry to stain for COL1A1 protein, a classical neuroblastoma mesenchymal marker [10]. Remarkably, greater than 95% of the neoplastic cells of SJNBL063820 expressed COL1A1 in the cytoplasm and membrane, but staining was not evident in two negative control PDXs (Fig. 5j, Additional file 1: Fig. S8g). Thus, this weak-mesenchymal phenotype can be recapitulated in PDX. Interestingly, two treatment-naïve high-risk *MYCN* amplified tumors (T200, T230) from the Dong dataset, while still adrenergic (Additional file 1: Figs. S8e–f), also showed broad overexpression of the transcription factor *TWIST1*, a known driver of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition [39] (ranked #9 on Program 11 (T200-specific) and #27 on Program 33 (T230-specific)). In general, these findings uncover a complex landscape of mesenchymal-like gene expression programs in vivo, where the behaviors appear different to cell line models (see the "Discussion" section) but can be maintained in PDX.

## A mesenchymal-like gene expression program can be chemotherapy induced in neuroblastoma cells in vivo

The confirmation of proto-mesenchymal features in some drug-pretreated tumors in multiple datasets (e.g., NB14, SJNBL063820)—but not in baseline tumors—led us to hypothesize that the weak mesenchymal-like programs could have been chemotherapy induced. To test this, we treated five of our GEMMs with a single pharmacologically relevant dose of cisplatin (a mainstay of neuroblastoma treatment) and treated six GEMMs with vehicle-control (see the "Methods" section). We harvested these tumors 24 h after treatment and performed single-cell RNA-seq (see the "Methods" section; Additional file 1: Fig. S9a).

Consistent with a treatment effect, the drug-treated samples clustered separately from the vehicle-treated samples (Fig. 6a). To determine which genes had been induced/ repressed, we created "pseudo bulk" expression profiles using all of the cells of each tumor and then performed functional enrichment (drug vs. vehicle) using GSEA, testing the mSigDB "Hallmark" gene sets (Fig. 6b–d) (see the "Methods" section). As expected, "Apoptosis" was among the top chemotherapy-induced gene sets (Fig. 6c-d and i, P=0.03; Additional file 8: Table S7). Remarkably, induced genes were also highly enriched for "Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition (EMT)" (Fig. 6c–d and g,  $P=7.4 \times 10^{-3}$ ). We probed this further using acNMF, where in the drug-treated samples, we identified (for the first time) clear mesenchymal-like programs expressed in cancer cells (Fig. 6e). Additionally, cisplatin-treated samples were the first where our machine learning model classified cells highly expressing a mesenchymal program as cancer cells ( $P<2.2 \times 10^{-16}$  for NB853,  $P=9.5 \times 10^{-11}$  for NB847, Additional file 1: Figs. S9b–f).

While the repressed genes were quite consistent across all drug-treated samples, e.g., the loss of the MYC target genes (Fig. 6b–d and f), the patterns of induced genes showed greater variation (Fig. 6b and f–j, Additional file 9: Table S8 for *P*-values). The EMT signal was strongest in NB839, NB847, NB849, and NB853 (Fig. 6g), where the classical Van Groningen mesenchymal signature was also enriched (Fig. 6h). These tumors also had the highest induction of apoptosis genes (Fig. 6i), with a loss of the adrenergic genes in NB847 (Fig. 6j)—reminiscent of trends we had previously

observed only in cell lines (Figs. 5i and 6k). We performed RNA-velocity analysis in NB847 and NB853, the results of which were consistent with the mesenchymal programs resulting from an acute cell state transition (Fig. 6l–n, Additional file 1: Fig. S10a–e, Additional file 1: Fig. S11a–c). Overall, these recently drug-treated tumors demonstrate that a mesenchymal-like gene expression program can be chemotherapy-induced in neuroblastoma.

Our GEMM, which expresses human *MYCN* in cancer cells, again allowed us to validate these conclusions by an orthogonal RNA ISH assay. This time we assessed the co-localization of human *MYCN* and the classical EMT marker *Twist1* across four drug- and four vehicle-treated samples. While *Twist1* expression was evident to some degree in both the drug- and vehicle-treated samples, the co-localization of *MYCN* and *Twist1* was much higher in drug-treated samples, again consistent with the induction of a mesenchymal program (Fig. 60 and q).

Overall, the landscape of mesenchymal programs in neuroblastoma differs between cell lines and other tumor models. In the in vivo setting, this involves weakly enriched expression in still predominantly adrenergic cells (Fig. 6r), which our GEMM data showed could relate to a mesenchymal transition during chemotherapy. Further

#### (See figure on next page.)

Fig. 6 An acute chemotherapy-treated neuroblastoma genetic mouse model strongly overexpresses a mesenchymal-like program in cancer cells. a UMAP plot of single-cell RNA-seg data obtained from the tumors of all 11 mice. Each point represents a single cell, colored by whether it was obtained from a cisplatin-treated or a vehicle-treated mouse. Brighter colored chroma indicates cisplatin-treated animals. b Heatmap of normalized gene expression values highlighting differentially expressed genes identified using DESeq2. c Dot plot showing GSEA enrichment scores for the top mSigDB "Hallmark" gene sets. Expression in pseudobulk cancer cells was compared between (n=6) cisplatin-treated mice and (n=5) vehicle-treated mice. A negative enrichment score implies the gene set was more highly expressed in drug-treated mice. d GSEA plots summarizing key induced and repressed gene sets. The colored tick marks show the rank of the genes in the gene set of interest and the colored curves show the running enrichment score. e Statistical enrichment of Van Groningen mesenchymal (MES) signature (y-axis) in gene expression programs (x-axis) expressed in high-confidence cancer cells in our GEMM. P-values were calculated using a Wilcoxon rank sum test (rank of MES genes vs all other genes). **f** Boxplot showing the pseudobulk expression in cancer cells from all 11 mice for genes in the Hallmark gene set "MYC targets V1." Order of samples is NB831, NB837, NB855, NB856, NB864 (vehicle treated, blue); NB839, NB847, NB849, NB853, NB883, and NB887 (cisplatin treated, red). The red line indicates the median of vehicle-treated samples. **q** Like **f** but for Hallmark gene set "Epithelial to mesenchymal transition". h Like f but for the Van Groningen mesenchymal signature (MES) genes. i Like f but for the Hallmark gene set "Apoptosis". j Like f but for the Van Groningen adrenergic (ADRN) signature. k Boxplots showing the mean expression (y-axis) of the genes from the classical Van Groningen mesenchymal (MES; orange box) or adrenergic (ADRM; purple box) signature, in cells that are highly expressing (activity h > 50) the acNMF-identified program 36, which has characteristics consistent with cancer cells and is expressed primarily in cisplatin-treated mouse NB847. The yellow box shows the mean expression of all other genes in these cells. I UMAP plot showing RNA-velocity analysis of mouse NB853. The cellular trajectory, inferred from splicing dynamics, shown by the arrows, is consistent with cancer cells actively transitioning to a mesenchymal state, which is marked by the expression of the mesenchymal-cancer Program 39 (color scale). **m** Detailed view of the box in **I**. **n** Like **I** but for the sample NB847 and mesenchymal Program 36. **o** Representative RNA ISH images for co-localization of Twist1 with human MYCN in vehicle-treated (top panels, NB855) and drug-treated samples (bottom panels, NB887). p Bar plot showing the percentage of Twist1<sup>+</sup> cells in each of our sampled mouse slides (y-axis), quantified using the HALO software. (\*, P < 0.05 by t-test, error bars represent SEM). **q** Bar plot showing the percentage of *Twist1*<sup>+</sup> cells (y-axis) in either vehicle-treated or cisplatin-treated tumors that do or do not co-express MYCN (colors). (\*, P < 0.05; \*\*, P < 0.01 by t-test). r Schematic representation of the distinct mesenchymal-like gene expression programs that can be identified across neuroblastoma datasets and preclinical models. These programs have a varying statistical resemblance to the classical cell line derived Van Groningen mesenchymal signature (MES), but despite this, may capture qualitatively different aspects of neuroblastoma cell biology



assessment of the relevance of mesenchymal programs in cell lines to the behaviors of tumors in vivo should provide a clear basis for future research (see the "Discussion"

section).

## Discussion

Multiple preclinical cancer models are commonly applied in drug discovery and mechanistic experimental work. However, few formal methods have been developed to determine how these various models represent/resemble primary patient tumors. Here, we developed a novel NMF-based computational method and a web-based front-end user interface for integrating and interpreting gene expression programs obtained from human tumors and preclinical models. We used these tools to perform the first integrative analysis of single-cell RNA-seq data from human neuroblastoma tumors and preclinical models, and we provide a new public resource for interrogating neuroblastoma biology (http://pscb.stjude.org).

Using our tools, we identified novel neuroblastoma adrenergic programs mimicking the sympathoadrenal developmental lineage and showed that neuroblastoma tumors co-express marker genes of developmental cell types. These behaviors were preserved between human tumors and preclinical models. We propose a conceptual mapping of neuroblastoma gene expression programs to sympathoadrenal development in Fig. 3r, naming these adrenergic programs "Adrenergic I (sympathoblast-like)," "Adrenergic II (pre-neuronal-like)," and "Neuroblastoma-MYC".

We also found that the classical cell-line-derived mesenchymal-like program has differences between human tumors and preclinical models. First, while the neuroblastoma mesenchymal signature was clearly identifiable in neuroblastoma cell lines, we could not identify strong evidence that a similar program is expressed in cancer cells in human tumors-neither by inferred copy number nor by RNA ISH. Regardless, it is logically impossible to conclude the non-existence of such cells. Indeed, they could be identifiable in other tumors, by profiling larger numbers of cells, or by using more sensitive technologies. For instance, our RNA ISH experiments have difficulty distinguishing cancer vs. fibroblast/Schwann-like cells at the boundaries of stromal regions, it is conceivable some cell types could have dropped out of the single-cell profiling, and tools for inferring copy number are imperfect [40]. Accordingly, a recent large spatial atlas reported evidence of malignant Schwann-like programs (enriched for mesenchymal-like features) in some neuroblastoma tumors [41], thus, as the available data continues to grow, it is likely that further nuances will continue to be discovered. However, despite the complexity, we can conclude that mesenchymal-like neuroblastoma cells are likely less abundant than fibroblasts and Schwann-like cells in vivo, which also strongly express a similar mesenchymal-like signature and thus, on the basis of marker gene expression, are easily confused with neuroblastoma cells. These analyses also identified novel subpopulations of cancerassociated fibroblasts in most datasets/tumors, which we could validate using RNA ISH in our GEMM, revealing at least inflammatory, myofibroblast, and, potentially, intermediate subpopulations. Similar cell types are critical in regulating immunosuppression, metastasis, and progression in other cancers [28], and determining the biological/therapeutic relevance of these new cell types in neuroblastoma will form the basis for future research.

Lastly, in multiple datasets, we identified rare, high-risk, drug-pretreated tumors that weakly express mesenchymal-like features in cancer cells that remain predominantly adrenergic. This contrasts with the behavior in cell lines, where cells expressing a mesenchymal state lose adrenergic features. This may be related to the concept of "high risk tumors with mesenchymal-like features," which was recently proposed by Jansky et al. [19], but in contrast to Jansky, we showed these cells at least partially resemble the classical Van Groningen mesenchymal signature and that this could be maintained in PDX. We also identified a potential source of this phenotype, showing that a mesenchymal-like program could be strongly induced in vivo in an acutely chemotherapy-treated GEMM. This is consistent with observations across several other cancers that EMT can represent an apoptosis escape mechanism that leads to drug resistance [42, 43]. It

is tempting to speculate that targeting this process could circumvent chemoresistance, and it is plausible that some mesenchymal-like cell lines may represent a good model for this behavior. Because of the very short 24-h treatment window, this finding also implies that the expression of mesenchymal-like genes need not necessarily emerge in relapsed neuroblastoma tumors by purifying selection, as was previously speculated based on patient data [6, 10, 44] and tumors obtained at later time points post-chemotherapy and -relapse in mice [44]. However, the clinical significance of these drug-induced and weakly enriched mesenchymal programs and their potential role in facilitating escape from chemotherapy-induced apoptosis remains to be determined. In general, our results argue that mesenchymal-like programs in neuroblastoma can be subdivided into at least (1) a weak mesenchymal-like signature co-expressed in still-predominantly-adrenergic cells of some high-risk tumors, some of which (2) could potentially be chemotherapy induced; (3) the classical mesenchymal-like signature in cell lines, which also strongly express features similar to (4) cancer-associated fibroblasts and Schwann-like cells found in tumors. As these represent (at least partially) qualitatively different entities, we propose naming these (1) Mes-weak, (2) Mes-EMT-like, (3) Mes-classical, and (4) Messympathoadrenal-differentiation (Fig. 6r).

Finally, our findings only touch on the biological insights that could be gained from an interpretable integrated representation of single-cell RNA-seq data from human neuroblastoma and preclinical models. For example, our NMF-based models recovered a rich representation of the immune component of neuroblastoma tumors, largely recapitulated in our GEMM. We have made all the data and models available in our interactive web-based platform (http://pscb.stjude.org), which we encourage readers to review, discuss, and use as a map for future discoveries.

### Conclusions

We have developed a set of computational methods for integrative analysis of human single-cell RNA-seq datasets and pre-clinical models. We have used these tools to identify the divergent behavior of gene expression programs in neuroblastoma tumors and preclinical models, providing insight into the behavior of putative drug resistance mesenchymal-like programs. We have provided this dataset as an integrated community resource and our computational approach is applicable in other diseases, where the fidelity of pre-clinical models remains largely unknown.

### Methods

## Establishing a neuroblastoma genetic mouse model

LSL-MYCN;Dbh-iCre mice [36] (129X1/SvJ) were obtained from Michael A. Dyer's lab (St. Jude). This study was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations of the National Institute of Health's Guide to Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at St. Jude Children's Research Hospital. All mice were housed, per approved IACUC protocols, on a 12 - 12 light cycle (light on 6 am, off 6 pm) and provided food and water ad libitum. Neuroblastoma-like tumors comparable to human neuroblastoma arose in the abdomen from either the adrenal gland or the celiac and superior cervical ganglia with a penetrance of approximately 50%, at a median age of about 6 months old. The tumor

volumes were monitored by ultrasound using the Vevo 3100 system (Fujifilm-Visualsonics, Toronto, Canada), and once an adrenal tumor had reached 500mm<sup>3</sup> in diameter, the mice were treated with either a single, pharmacologically relevant [45] 2 mg/kg dose of cisplatin (n=6) or vehicle control (n=5) by intraperitoneal injection. The mice were euthanized at 24 h, and tumors were immediately harvested and subjected to single-cell RNA-seq using the protocols described below.

### Orthotopic patient-derived xenografts

Flash-frozen fragments of orthotopic patient-derived xenografts were obtained through the St. Jude Childhood Solid Tumor Network (https://cstn.stjude.cloud/) [38, 46]. Approximately 50-100 mg of frozen tissue underwent nuclei extraction using manual disruption within a chilled Tween containing buffer [47]. To generate fresh xenograft tissue, cells were injected into the para-adrenal space of immunocompromised nude mice using ultrasound. Tumors were harvested from xenograft-bearing mice, and approximately 250-500 mg of tissue were dissociated using the Papain Dissociation System (Worthington Biochemical) [47].

# Single-cell/single-nuclear RNA-seg data generation and preprocessing

#### Mouse

Single cells from mouse tumors were obtained through dissociation using the Papain Enzymatic dissociation method following the Worthington kit (cat. No. LK003150), and final dissociated cells were suspended in the DMEM medium.

### Cell lines

The following NB cell lines were maintained in the following culture conditions (5%  $CO_{2}$ ) 37 °C, RPMI medium, 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 IU/mL penicillin, 100 mg/mL streptomycin); BE2C, BE2M17, CHP134, CHP212, GIMEN, IMR5, KELLY, MHHNB11, NGP, SKNAS, SKNFI, SKNSH, TGW. Cells were harvested, and viability was measured using Lunc Cell Counter AO/PI dye. We processed samples that showed greater than 90% viability.

### Library preparation and sequencing

The dissociated/suspended single cells were filtered and strained through a 40 µm strainer and cells were counted using the Luna cell counter. Next, they were loaded into Chromium Chips from Single-cell 3' Gene expression V3.1 kit (10X Genomics, Pleasanton, CA) with a target capture of 6000 cells. The cDNA generation, library preparation, and QC were performed following the 10X Genomics protocol. The library was sequenced in Novaseq-V1 reagents, and the sequenced data were processed using the Cell Ranger Software (10X Genomics) mapped to mm10 (mouse) or GRCh38 (cell line). Poor quality and outlier cells were identified and removed. Analysis downstream of acNMF was conducted on normalized read counts (SCTransform, Seurat R package) of the resulting cells.

#### Automatic consensus non-negative matrix factorization (acNMF)

For each dataset, raw read counts were merged into a single matrix containing all samples. 50,000 cells were subsampled per dataset, and then randomly split into two subsets containing roughly equal numbers of cells. cNMF [20] (https://github.com/dylkot/ cNMF/) was applied to each split independently by performing 200 NMF iterations per rank (k), spanning a range of k=2 to k=200. Outlier replicates, defined by Euclidean distance, were filtered at a rate of 10%. The consistency of gene expression programs between the data splits was assessed by calculating the Jaccard similarity index of Z-score normalized (see Kotlier et al. [20] for details) gene weight coefficients at each value of k. P-values for all pairwise comparisons between the columns of the component matrices were calculated using the jaccard.test.mca function from the "jaccardtest" R package [48] followed by Bonferroni multiple testing correction. This was carried out over a range of Jaccard lengths. All significant pairs (P < 0.05) of components between data splits were then represented as node pairs in an undirected network graph using the R package *igraph* [49]. Community detection was performed on the underlying graph using edge betweenness centrality, and the number of distinct communities was recorded. These communities represent replicated gene expression programs between data splits, and these were used as the basis of comparison to determine optimal parameters for rank (k) and Jaccard length on each dataset. For each Jaccard length, the number of discrete communities was plotted against all values of k, and a loess regression was performed to facilitate curve smoothing. The inflection point of these concave curves was calculated using the "elbow" R package (https://nicolascasajus.fr/elbow/). Cost values, representing residual values after the inflection point, were used to evaluate the stability of the solution. A linear regression was performed on cost values of each Jaccard length curve, and the slope was recorded. The optimal Jaccard length was established by determining which slope was closest to -1.0, which represents a solution whereby the number of reproducible programs remains approximately constant for all values of kgreater than ground truth (Additional file 1: Fig. S1d). Using the established parameters for rank (k) and Jaccard length, final reproducible programs for each dataset were calculated by averaging over all nodes (i.e., cNMF gene loading scores (i.e., weights)) per community in the network graph.

### Benchmarking acNMF against other methods

We benchmarked acNMF against 3 different published latent factor models, iNMF, ScVI, and SignatureAnalyzer, using our simulated dataset of 15,000 cells, encompassing 13 cell types plus 1 activity program. We benchmarked all models using the same 2000 highly variable genes. iNMF was run with miniBatch\_size = 5000, max.epochs = 5, where we first ran the suggestK function at lambda = 5, thresh = 1e - 4 and max.iters = 100 with values of k ranging from 5 to 100 (from 5:60 in intervals of 5, from 70:100 in intervals of 10). We then determined the optimal value of k by LOESS (same approach as in acNMF) on the resulting median KL divergence data. ScVI was run with max\_epochs = 200, gene\_likelihood = "nb" and latent\_distribution = "ln". k was chosen based on the marginal log-likelihood obtained with the dimensionality of the bottleneck layer ranging from 2 to 100 (from 3:60 in intervals of 1, from 70:100 in intervals of 10), where the encoder and decoder were both structured with 2 layers (note that the marginal

log-likelihood fluctuated with increasing dimensionality and we picked the smallest dimensionality with a comparable marginal log-likelihood). SA-GPU was run with  $K_0 = 100$ , max\_iter = 100,000. For ARI (adjusted rand index) calculation, we labeled each cell with the program associated with the highest program expression score (h) and ARI was calculated by the ARI function in R library aricode.

# Identifying gene expression programs replicated across different datasets using a network graph

To determine which gene expression programs were replicated across datasets, or between human tumors and preclinical models, all pairwise comparisons between programs from all datasets were performed using an asymmetric Jaccard similarity test, fixing the Jaccard length to that tuned for each dataset above, followed by Bonferroni correction (keeping connections at *FWER* < 0.05). We visually represented connected nodes in a network graph (e.g., Fig. 4a) — the layout of which was established using the force-directed Fruchterman-Reingold algorithm to minimize overlapping of edges. The network structure facilitated the interpretation of these programs, with communities detected by modularity scores calculated from random walks of the graph.

## Web-based platform for annotation, exploration, and cross-dataset integration of acNMF output

For each gene expression program, an interactive web-based report was created, which was used to support annotation and interpretation. To estimate variability between subjective annotators, the GOSH dataset was independently annotated by co-authors P.G. and Y.K, and a consensus annotation was subsequently reached by discussing and merging these annotations, including subjective assignment of confidence levels. The web-based reports were created using the R package *knitr* [50], which for each program summarized a rich catalog of biologically relevant information/enrichments, including 282 marker gene lists we curated from the literature. The interactive plots were created using *plotly* [51]. The broader network-based representation comparing gene expression programs across datasets, as well as the tools for organizing the reports and cataloging/ displaying relevant metadata was created using *Shiny* [52]. The complete web platform is accessible at http://pscb.stjude.org.

#### RNA in situ hybridization (RNA ISH) and HALO analysis

RNA ISH was performed in fresh frozen mouse tissue samples (NB837, NB839, NB853, NB855, NB856, NB864, NB883, NB887) using the RNAscope HiPlex V2 assay Reagent Kit. These data were generated by Advanced Cell Diagnostics (7707 Gateway Blvd., Newark, CA 94560, USA). Briefly, fresh frozen tissue sections were pretreated with 10% NBF and protease prior to hybridization with the target oligo probes (Mm-Tagln, Mm-Acta2, Mm-C3, Mm-Sox10, Mm-Plp1, Hs-MYCN, Mm-Twist1, and Mm-Ddc). Immediately following hybridization, the RNAscope Fluorescent V2 Assay was performed with the preamplifier, amplifier, and RNAscope HiPlex FFPE Reagent to reduce autofluorescence. Fluorophores T1-T4 were then hybridized sequentially, followed by RNAscope DAPI counterstain. After imaging, the first group of multiplexed fluorophores was then cleaved, and the process was repeated for each additional group of fluorophores.

Fluorescence images were acquired using a Polaris 40X full-slide digital slide scanner. The resulting multispectral images were then uploaded into the HALO Image Analysis platform v3.5.3577.140 (Indica Labs), and the High-Plex FL v2.0 module was used to construct the image analysis algorithms. These included nuclei detection, cytoplasmic segmentation, and FISH detection criteria based on intensity thresholds for each probe in each sample.

## CNV Inference in scRNA-seq data

We used InferCNV [37] to identify regions of copy number variation from single-cell RNA-seq, which we used as evidence to differentiate between cancer and non-cancerous "normal" cells. InferCNV was run on each patient/sample independently. Reference normal cells were selected from clear immune cells, identified by acNMF (selecting cells expressing an immune program at h > 100). In the case of the GOSH dataset, we used the author's original immune cell annotations, which were essentially identical to the acNMF annotations. In cell lines, we defined the reference normal using immune cells from the Dong dataset. InferCNV's six-state Hidden Markov Model (i6) predicted CNV regions and states, with posterior probabilities of CNV regions assigned to a given state using a Bayesian network at a value of P(Normal) = 0.3 (all other parameters were used at default settings).

# Machine learning classifiers for predicting cancer/normal status of mesenchymal-like cells using inferred copy number profiles

To predict the cancer/normal status of mesenchymal-like cells on a per-cell basis, we tested 3 classification models, a regularized logistic generalized linear model (GLM), a random forest (RF), and a support vector machine (SVM), which all achieved comparable performance. These were implemented in the R packages glmnet, randomForest, and SVM (linear kernel) respectively. We identified cancer cells, endothelial cells, or ambiguous mesenchymal-like cells if an appropriate program was expressed in that cell at h > 100. We randomly split clear cancer cells into a 75% training set and a 25% test set. Cell-specific copy number estimates, which were treated as the model's input features, were obtained from inferCNV's predicted regions of copy number variation (inferCNVfeatures) or were obtained manually, by taking the average standardized TPM expression level in groups of 50 collocated genes along the genome (manual-features). For each sample, a classifier was then trained using sample-specific cancer cells and immune cells (representing normal cells). For each sample, these trained models were then applied to the held-out reference normal endothelial cells, or the held-out ambiguous mesenchymal-like cells, outputting a predicted class of 0 (normal) or 1 (cancer) for each cell. These results were then assembled into a  $2 \times 2$  contingency table, where we applied a Fisher's exact test to compare the frequency with which the model classified mesenchymal-like cells as cancer cells, against the frequency it misclassified reference normal endothelial cells as cancer cells. Note that for model training/testing in the GEMM data, because the mice were genetically identical, we pooled the immune cells and endothelial cells in the drug-treated and vehicle-treated groups, which increased the size of the reference normal pool of cells and should improve power.

# Differential expression, gene set enrichment analysis, and RNA-velocity analysis of cisplatin-treated GEMM

We performed differential expression analysis of our cisplatin-treated vs vehicle-treated GEMM using the R package DESeq2 [53] by "pseudobulking" cells from each mouse using the aggregate.Matrix function in the R package Matrix.utils. All genes were ranked by logFC between vehicle and cisplatin-treated mice and then were subjected to GSEA [54] for functional enrichments of the mSigDB Hallmarks gene set, using default parameter settings. Trajectory inference and pseudotime analysis were performed with the R package Monocle3 [55]. RNA velocity was assessed by applying the dynamical module of scVelo [55] (v0.2.5) under default settings.

### Spatial pathology analyses and immunohistochemistry

For H&E staining, the harvested mouse tumor tissue was embedded in Tissue-Tek O.C.T. embedding medium (Sakura Finetek) and flash frozen on a metal block in liquid nitrogen then preserved at -80 °C until further processing. Each tissue block was equilibrated to - 20 °C in a cryostat chamber (CM3050S, Leica BioSciences) for 30 min before making 10-µm sections collected onto Superfrost slides. The slides were incubated at 37 °C for 1 min and transferred to a container containing pre-chilled methanol for 30 min at -20 °C in the upright position. After methanol fixing, the excess methanol was wiped out from the bottom of the slides without disrupting the tissue section. After methanol fixation, the slides were immersed into water 10 times gently and incubated in hematoxylin solution for 1 min followed by immersing slides 10 times into 800 ml water. The slides were then submerged 10 times into Bluing buffer and immersed into water to remove the excess Bluing buffer. Next, slides were dipped into 96% ethanol 10 times followed by incubation with Eosin for 30 s. The excess Eosin was removed by immersing slides 10 times into 3 different containers containing 100% ethanol. After that, tissue slides were mounted, and images were acquired using Axioscan slide scanner (Zeiss, Oberkochen, DE) up to a  $20 \times$  scalable magnification. For our immunohistochemistry analysis of fresh frozen slides used for the RNA ISH experiments, serial unstained sections of murine NB-like tumors were fixed in acetone for 20 min. Fixed slides were then wet loaded on the Ventana Discovery Ultra autostainer (Roche, Basel, CH) using the Ventana Discovery Ultra antigen retrieval CC1 (catalog #950-500) for 64 min followed by the application of anti-PHOX2B antibody (Abcam, ab183741) at a 1:100 dilution for 60 min. OmniMap anti-rabbit HRP (catalog #760-4311) for 16 min, followed by the ChromoMap DAB kit (catalog #760-159) for 8 min, followed by counterstained with hematoxylin, and the post-counterstain Bluing Reagent (catalog #760-2037) for 8 min was used for the detection and visualization of PHOX2B in tissue sections.

Xenograft tissue was fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin and processed as paraffin-embedded samples. Tissues were sectioned at a 4-µm thickness and mounted onto positively charged glass slides (Superfrost Plus; ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Unstained slides were baked for 60 min at 60 °C. After deparaffinization, slides underwent immunohistochemical staining for anti-COL1A1 (clone E3E1X, Cell Signaling, #66,948) was performed on the Leica BOND-MAX automated stainer (Leica Biosytems, Buffalo Grove, IL) with IHC Protocol F. Heat-induced epitope retrieval was carried out with Bond Epitope Retrieval Solution 2 (ER2) for 20 min followed by incubation of the primary antibody at 1:200 for 30 min, and visualization with Bond Polymer Refine Detection kit (DS9800). Negative and positive tissue controls included xenografts defined as having either negative or high expression of COL1A1 as determined by sequencing data. Species cross-reactivity was not observed in wild-type B6 murine-haired skin, which served as another negative tissue control. An iso-type control (Mouse BALB/c IgG2a, BD Pharmingen, 349050) was used to confirm the specificity of immunoreactivity for the monoclonal antibody.

#### **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-024-03309-4.

Additional file 1: Supplementary Figures. Contains all supplementary figures and figure legends.

Additional file 2: Table S1. Contains all marker gene lists used for enrichment analyses in this manuscript.

Additional file 3: Table S2. Author annotations for acNMF GEPs in the GOSH dataset.

Additional file 4: Table S3. Dataset specific metadata.

Additional file 5: Table S4. Network and Dataset-specific GEP annotation.

Additional file 6: Table S5. Details of how high confidence cancer/normal cells were defined in Dong, GEMM, and GOSH datasets.

Additional file 7: Table S6. Final annotations of GEMM gene expression programs.

Additional file 8: Table S7. GSEA analysis of drug-induced transcriptional response in GEMM.

Additional file 9: Table S8. P-values relevant to Figure 6 (panels f-j).

Additional file 10. Review history.

#### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

#### Peer review information

Wenjing She was the primary editor of this article and managed its editorial process and peer review in collaboration with the rest of the editorial team.

#### **Review history**

The review history is available as Additional file 10.

#### Authors' contributions

RHC and PG developed the methods, analyzed the data, and drafted the paper. XL developed the CNV calling method and acNMF benchmarking. SN and JE generated the single-cell data. MIMA, CWL, WCW, and YZ performed additional analytical work. YK, MP, HML, ML, SCK, CL, JH, CS, MDJ, TC, and WJA performed additional experimental and mouse work. HS performed the pathology work. AGP and MD generated the PDX data and provided analytical support.

#### Funding

PG is supported by an NIGMS R35 award [R35GM138293] an R01 grant from NCI [R01CA260060]; K99/R00 [R00HG009679] from NHGRI; PG also receives support from ALSAC. CWL received funding from the St. Jude Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences. MA was supported by R25CA023944 from the National Cancer Institute. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

#### Availability of data and materials

Simulated scRNA-seq datasets were obtained through CodeOcean (https://doi.org/10.24433/CO.9044782e-cb96-4733-8a4f-bf42c21399e6) using the Step1\_Simulate.ipynb Jupyter Notebook. Default parameters, defined by the implementation of the R package "splatter" on a published scRNA-seq dataset, were used to generate five replicate simulations across three conditions of varying signal:noise levels. The raw readcounts from each of these simulations were subjected to the acNMF approach described below (https://chapple2.github.io/acNMF/) [56] and Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.11194218) [57]. The gene and cell parameters generated from this code were used to evaluate the recovery of programs following the acNMF analysis. Human datasets were downloaded via the following accession numbers. Dong: GSE137804 [16]. Jansky: EGAS00001004388 [19]. Kildisiute: EGAD00001008345 [17]. Cell line and mouse data are deposited in NCBI with the accession numbers: GSE229224 [58] and GSE229226 [59], respectively. PDX data are deposited in NCBI with the accession number GSE228957 [60].

### Declarations

#### **Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.

**Consent for publication** Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Computational Biology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN 38105, USA. <sup>2</sup>Department of Developmental Neurobiology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN 38105, USA. <sup>3</sup>Department of Oncology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN 38105, USA. <sup>4</sup>Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN 38105, USA. <sup>6</sup>Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN 38105, USA. <sup>6</sup>Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN 38105, USA. <sup>6</sup>Department of Pathology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN 38105, USA. <sup>6</sup>Center for In Vivo Imaging and Therapeutics, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN 38105, USA. <sup>9</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Texas Southwestern Medical School, Dallas, TX 75390, USA. <sup>10</sup>Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase, MD 20815, USA.

### Received: 26 November 2023 Accepted: 14 June 2024 Published online: 19 June 2024

#### References

- 1. Johnsen JI, Dyberg C, Wickström M. Neuroblastoma—a neural crest derived embryonal malignancy. Front Mol Neurosci. 2019;12:9.
- Armstrong GT, et al. Reduction in late mortality among 5-year survivors of childhood cancer. N Engl J Med. 2016;374:833–42.
- Moreno L, et al. Accelerating drug development for neuroblastoma: summary of the second neuroblastoma drug development strategy forum from innovative therapies for children with cancer and International Society of Paediatric Oncology Europe Neuroblastoma. Eur J Cancer. 2020;136:52–68.
- Ma X, et al. Pan-cancer genome and transcriptome analyses of 1,699 paediatric leukaemias and solid tumours. Nature. 2018;555:371–6.
- 5. Oren Y, et al. Cycling cancer persister cells arise from lineages with distinct programs. Nature. 2021;596:576–82.
- Boeva V, et al. Heterogeneity of neuroblastoma cell identity defined by transcriptional circuitries. Nat Genet. 2017;49:1408–13.
- 7. Chen X, Pappo A, Dyer MA. Pediatric solid tumor genomics and developmental pliancy. Oncogene. 2015;34:5207–15.
- 8. Flavahan WA, Gaskell E, Bernstein BE. Epigenetic plasticity and the hallmarks of cancer. Science. 2017;357:eaal2380.
- Shaffer SM, et al. Rare cell variability and drug-induced reprogramming as a mode of cancer drug resistance. Nature. 2017;546:431–5.
- 10. Van Groningen T, et al. Neuroblastoma is composed of two super-enhancer-associated differentiation states. Nat Genet. 2017;49:1261–6.
- 11. Gartlgruber M, et al. Super enhancers define regulatory subtypes and cell identity in neuroblastoma. Nature Cancer. 2021;2:114–28.
- Schubert NA, et al. Loss of p16INK4a in neuroblastoma cells induces shift to an immature state with mesenchymal characteristics and increases sensitivity to EGFR inhibitors. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.27.465137.
- Olsen TK, et al. Malignant Schwann cell precursors mediate intratumoral plasticity in human neuroblastoma. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.04.077057.
- 14. Yuan X, et al. Single-cell RNA-sequencing of peripheral neuroblastic tumors reveals an aggressive transitional cell state at the junction of an adrenergic-mesenchymal transdifferentiation trajectory. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05. 15.097469.
- Kameneva P, et al. Single-cell transcriptomics of human embryos identifies multiple sympathoblast lineages with potential implications for neuroblastoma origin. Nat Genet. 2021;53:694–706.
- 16. Dong R, et al. Single-cell characterization of malignant phenotypes and developmental trajectories of adrenal neuroblastoma. Cancer Cell. 2020;38:716-733. e716.
- Kildisiute G, et al. Tumor to normal single-cell mRNA comparisons reveal a pan-neuroblastoma cancer cell. Sci Adv. 2021;7:eabd3311.
- Bedoya-Reina O, et al. Single-nuclei transcriptomes from human adrenal gland reveal distinct cellular identities of low and high-risk neuroblastoma tumors. Nat Commun. 2021;12:1–15.
- Jansky S, et al. Single-cell transcriptomic analyses provide insights into the developmental origins of neuroblastoma. Nat Genet, 2021;53:683–93.
- Kotliar D, et al. Identifying gene expression programs of cell-type identity and cellular activity with single-cell RNA-Seq. Elife. 2019;8:e43803.
- 21. Aran D, et al. Reference-based analysis of lung single-cell sequencing reveals a transitional profibrotic macrophage. Nat Immunol. 2019;20:163–72.
- 22. Cao J, et al. A human cell atlas of fetal gene expression. Science. 2020;370:eaba7721.

- 23. Domínguez Conde C, et al. Cross-tissue immune cell analysis reveals tissue-specific features in humans. Science. 2022;376:eabl5197.
- 24. Hanemaaijer ES, et al. Single-cell atlas of developing murine adrenal gland reveals relation of Schwann cell precursor signature to neuroblastoma phenotype. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2021;118:e2022350118.
- 25. Hsiao CJ, et al. Characterizing and inferring quantitative cell cycle phase in single-cell RNA-seq data analysis. Genome Res. 2020;30:611–21.
- Kildisiute G, Young MD, Behjati S. Pitfalls of applying mouse markers to human adrenal medullary cells. Cancer Cell. 2021;39:132–3.
- 27. Kinker GS, et al. Pan-cancer single-cell RNA-seq identifies recurring programs of cellular heterogeneity. Nat Genet. 2020;52:1208–18.
- Lavie D, Ben-Shmuel A, Erez N, Scherz-Shouval R. Cancer-associated fibroblasts in the single-cell era. Nat Cancer. 2022;3:793–807.
- Veglia F, Sanseviero E, Gabrilovich DI. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells in the era of increasing myeloid cell diversity. Nat Rev Immunol. 2021;21:485–98.
- 30. Zeineldin M, Patel AG, Dyer MA. Neuroblastoma: when differentiation goes awry. Neuron. 2022;110:2916–28.
- Bedoya-Reina OC, Schlisio S. Chromaffin cells with sympathoblast signature: too similar to keep apart? Cancer Cell. 2021;39:134–5.
- 32. Kameneva P, et al. Evolutionary switch in expression of key markers between mouse and human leads to mis-assignment of cell types in developing adrenal medulla. Cancer Cell. 2021;39:590–1.
- 33. Klöppel G. Neuroendocrine neoplasms: dichotomy, origin and classifications. Visc Med. 2017;33:324–30.
- 34. Wang C, et al. The concordance between RNA-seq and microarray data depends on chemical treatment and transcript abundance. Nat Biotechnol. 2014;32:926–32.
- 35. Verhoeven BM, et al. The immune cell atlas of human neuroblastoma. Cell Rep Med. 2022;3:100657.
- Althoff K, et al. A Cre-conditional MYCN-driven neuroblastoma mouse model as an improved tool for preclinical studies. Oncogene. 2015;34:3357–68.
- Patel AP, et al. Single-cell RNA-seq highlights intratumoral heterogeneity in primary glioblastoma. Science. 2014;344:1396–401.
- 38. Stewart E, et al. Orthotopic patient-derived xenografts of paediatric solid tumours. Nature. 2017;549:96-100.
- Zhu Q-Q, Ma C, Wang Q, Song Y, Lv T. The role of TWIST1 in epithelial-mesenchymal transition and cancers. Tumor Biol. 2016;37:185–97.
- 40. Boen J, Wagner JP, Di Nanni N. Inferring copy number variation from gene expression data: methods, comparisons, and applications to oncology. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.18.463991.
- Patel AG, et al. A spatial cell atlas of neuroblastoma reveals developmental, epigenetic and spatial axis of tumor heterogeneity. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.01.07.574538.
- Du B, Shim JS. Targeting epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) to overcome drug resistance in cancer. Molecules. 2016;21:965.
- Shibue T, Weinberg RA. EMT, CSCs, and drug resistance: the mechanistic link and clinical implications. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2017;14:611–29.
- 44. Mañas A, et al. Clinically relevant treatment of PDX models reveals patterns of neuroblastoma chemoresistance. Sci Adv. 2022;8:eabq4617.
- 45. Teitz T, et al. Preclinical models for neuroblastoma: establishing a baseline for treatment. PLoS One. 2011;6:e19133.
- Stewart E, et al. The Childhood Solid Tumor Network: a new resource for the developmental biology and oncology research communities. Dev Biol. 2016;411:287–93.
- 47. Slyper M, et al. A single-cell and single-nucleus RNA-Seq toolbox for fresh and frozen human tumors. Nat Med. 2020;26:792–802.
- Chung NC, Miasojedow B, Startek M, Gambin A. Jaccard/Tanimoto similarity test and estimation methods for biological presence-absence data. BMC Bioinformatics. 2019;20:1–11.
- 49. Csardi G, Nepusz T. The igraph software package for complex network research. InterJ Complex Syst. 2006;1695:1–9.
- Xie Y. knitr: A General-Purpose Package for Dynamic Report Generation in R. R package version 1.47. 2024. https://yihui. org/knitr/.
- 51. Sievert C. Interactive Web-Based Data Visualization with R, plotly, and shiny. Florida: Chapman and Hall/CRC; 2020.
- 52. Chang W, Cheng J, Allaire J, Xie Y, McPherson J. Shiny: web application framework for R. R package version 1, 2017. 2017.
- 53. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15:1–21.
- 54. Subramanian A, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2005;102:15545–50.
- Trapnell C, et al. The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are revealed by pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. Nat Biotechnol. 2014;32:381–6.
- 56. Chapple R, et al. acNMF notebook. Github. 2023. https://rchapple2.github.io/acNMF/.
- 57. Chapple R, Geeleher P. acNMF method. Zenodo. 2024.https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11194218.
- Chapple R, et al. scRNAseq in a panel of neuroblasoma cell lines. Gene Expression Omnibus. 2023. https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE229224.
- Chapple R, et al. scRNAseq in Dbh-iCre;LSL-MYCN mouse model of neuroblasoma. Gene Expression Omnibus. 2023. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE229226.
- Chapple R, et al. scRNA-seq on neuroblastoma PDXs. Gene Expression Omnibus. 2023. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE228957.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.