RESEARCH



Open Access

Evolution of extensively drug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from a susceptible ancestor in a single patient

Vegard Eldholm^{1*}, Gunnstein Norheim¹, Bent von der Lippe², Wibeke Kinander¹, Ulf R Dahle¹, Dominique A Caugant¹, Turid Mannsåker¹, Anne Torunn Mengshoel¹, Anne Ma Dyrhol-Riise^{2,3} and Francois Balloux⁴

Abstract

Background: *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* is characterized by a low mutation rate and a lack of genetic recombination. Yet, the rise of extensively resistant strains paints a picture of a microbe with an impressive adaptive potential. Here we describe the first documented case of extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis evolved from a susceptible ancestor within a single patient.

Results: Genome sequences of nine serial *M. tuberculosis* isolates from the same patient uncovered a dramatic turnover of competing lineages driven by the emergence, and subsequent fixation or loss of single nucleotide polymorphisms. For most drugs, resistance arose through independent emergence of mutations in more than one clone, of which only one ultimately prevailed as the clone carrying it expanded, displacing the other clones in the process. The vast majority of mutations identified over 3.5 years were either involved in drug resistance or hitchhiking in the genetic background of these. Additionally, RNA-sequencing of isolates grown in the absence of drug challenge revealed that the efflux-associated *iniBAC* operon was up-regulated over time, whereas down-regulated genes include those involved in mycolic acid synthesis.

Conclusions: We observed both rapid acquisitions of resistance to antimicrobial compounds mediated by individual mutations as well as a gradual increase in fitness in the presence of antibiotics, likely driven by stable gene expression reprogramming. The rapid turnover of resistance mutations and hitchhiking neutral mutations has major implications for inferring tuberculosis transmission events in situations where drug resistance evolves within transmission chains.

Introduction

The evolution of drug resistance is a major impediment to current anti-tuberculosis efforts. Despite the low *in vitro* mutation rate of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* [1,2], cases of extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis (XDR-TB) are now frequently reported [3,4]. Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) is defined as isolates resistant to at least the first-line drugs isoniazid (INH) and rifampicin (RIF), whereas XDR-TB requires an MDR phenotype with additional resistance to any fluoroquinolone (FLQ) and at least one of the second-line injectable drugs capreomycin (CPR), kanamycin (KAN or amikacin (AMK) [5]. By the end of 2011, 77 countries had reported at least one case of

¹Division of Infectious Disease Control, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Lovisenberggata 8, 0456 Oslo, Norway



Emergence of drug resistance is generally attributed to poor patient compliance with the standard multi-drug regimen, yet the stepwise evolution of drug resistance despite stringent adherence to a directly observed treatment (DOT) protocol has been reported in a HIV coinfected mining community [7]; in fact, the introduction of the DOT and DOT plus programs in the absence of drug susceptibility testing (DST) might have been instrumental for the evolution of XDR-TB [8]. Adding to this, clinical trial simulations with a hollow fiber tuberculosis (TB) model demonstrated that approximately 1% of TB patients with perfect adherence would still develop MDR-



© 2014 Eldholm et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: v.eldholm@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

TB due to pharmacokinetic variability alone [9]. Emergence of drug resistance is a stepwise process and the evolution of MDR-TB from a susceptible isolate or from MDR-TB to XDR-TB in a single patient is not entirely uncommon (for example, [10-12]).

Here we report a detailed investigation of what to our knowledge is the first published case of XDR-TB evolved from a susceptible ancestor in a single patient, highlighting the impressive adaptive potential of *M. tuberculosis*. We analyzed the genomes of nine consecutive M. tuberculosis isolates from the same patient, recovered over a 42 month period (Figure 1), and found that resistance mutations were acquired multiple times by individual clones. The strain developed resistance to INH, RIF, streptomycin (STR), FLO, ethionamide (ETH) and AMK as well as low-level resistance to ethambutol (EMB). Surprisingly high genetic divergence was detected between isolates collected over short time spans, reflecting the rapid expansion and collapse of different clones evolving in parallel. Finally, RNA-sequencing revealed that transcriptional regulation of drug efflux and mycolic acid synthesis may be involved in increased growth efficiency in the presence of antibiotics.

Results and discussion

Microevolution of *M. tuberculosis* serial isolates

The genomes of the nine clinical isolates from the same patient, representing various levels of phenotypic drug resistance, from susceptible to XDR-TB were sequenced at a median depth of $210 \times$ coverage. We applied SNP-calling parameters appropriate for the detection of multiple clones present in any given clinical isolate. Over the course of 42 months from diagnosis, we identified 35 mutations with a SNP frequency of >25% in at least

one isolate (Additional file 1), of which 20 were transient and 15 went to fixation. Twenty-four mutations reached a frequency of >70% in least one isolate, which is a more typical threshold for SNP calling. Among the full set of 35 mutations, 12 could be assigned a role in conferring drug-resistance (Table 1).

Phenotypic resistance to INH, RIF, FLQ, AMK and ETH perfectly overlapped with the emergence of corresponding known or high-probability resistance-conferring mutations (Figure 2). For STR, however, predictions of drug resistance from the genomic data contradicted the phenotypic drug resistance profiling in one of the serial isolates which had been typed as susceptible. This isolate (SF4) harbored a gid nonsense mutation introducing a stop at codon 37. Disruption of *gid* and its 16S RNA-methylase activity is associated with low-level STR resistance [18]. We retested the isolates for STR susceptibility and found that isolate SF4 was indeed STR resistant, but grew less efficiently when challenged with STR than subsequent isolates harboring the rrs A514C mutation (Figure 3). The last four isolates (SF6 to SF9) were originally typed as EMB resistant, but re-testing did not confirm this. At a lower EMB concentration (2 µg/ml versus 5 µg/ml), however, we found that the last three isolates, harboring non-synonymous embB mutations, did indeed grow well, whereas the other isolates did not (Figure 3).

The isolates in the current study never evolved resistance to pyrazinamide (PZA), despite continuous treatment over 3.5 years. Simulations built on an *in vitro* pharmacokineticpharmacodynamic model of TB found that the currently recommended PZA dosage (15 to 30 mg/kg body weight/ day) would result in sterilizing minimum inhibitory concentration in only 15.1 to 53.3% of patients [22]. It is thus possible that pharmacokinetic factors could explain the



Figure 1 Time-line of clinical interventions and drug resistance acquisition. Drug regimen is indicated by horizontal bars. Black dashed lines indicate available clinical isolates. Above the dashed lines, the first instances of resistance-conferring mutations identified in the corresponding clinical isolates are indicated. The mutations are colored to match the drug to which it confers resistance. Black boxes indicate mutations that were ultimately fixed (>85% of reads in SF9), whereas non-boxed mutations indicate transient mutations. INH, isoniazid; RIF, rifampicin; PZA, pyrazinamide; EMB, ethambutol; STR, streptomycin; OFX, ofloxacin; ETH, ethionamide; CFZ, clofazimine; AMK, amikacin; AMC, amoxicillin/clavulanate; LVX, levofloxacin; GFB, gemfibrozil; IFN_Y, gamma interferon; CPR, capreomycin; LZD, linezolid. Frameshift mutations are denoted as fs.

 Table 1 Resistance mutations acquired over time in serial isolates from patient

Gene	Protein/RNA change	Resistance	Reference
gyrB	S447F	FLQ	[13]
gyrB	T500N	FLQ	[14]
mshA	V238 frameshift	ETH	[15]
mshA	A342E	ETH	[15]
гроВ	S450L	RIF	[16]
rrs	A514C	STR	[17]
gid	G37 Stop	STR	[18]
rrs	A1401G	AMK/KAN	[19]
katG	W300R	INH	[20]
katG	P7 frameshift	INH	[21]
embB	N644I	EMB	
embB	D1024N	EMB	

Mutations eventually reaching fixation are highlighted in bold.

inefficacy of PZA in the patient. Clofazimine (CFZ) and CPR were also used together with PZA towards the end of the therapy, and failed to clear the infection despite continued susceptibility to these drug as deemed by DST. By the time these drugs were added to the drug regimen, the patient had developed cavitary TB, a disease state known to be associated with treatment failure [23], presumably due

to reduced penetration of drugs in cavities [24]. The development of cavitary TB over the course of infection has most certainly played a role in rendering the infecting strain extremely resilient to antibiotic challenge. Addition of linezolid to the regimen, a drug that has been shown to be effective against cavitary MDR-TB [25,26], finally cleared the infection.

For five out of seven drugs to which the bacillus developed resistance, two independent resistance-conferring mutations emerged, ultimately resulting in fixation of one of the two mutations (Figures 2 and 4B). Only RIF (*rpoB* S450L) and AMK (*rrs* A1401G) resistance were found to result from a single mutation; in both instances the resistance-conferring mutation was among the most commonly observed, and the *rpoB* S450L mutation has previously been shown to carry little or no fitness cost [27].

Extended DST following development of an MDR phenotype revealed that all isolates were resistant to paraaminosalicylic acid (PAS), but as this drug is not part of the standard anti-TB drug regimens nor relevant to the WHO's definition of MDR and XDR, we refer to the initial isolate (SF1) as susceptible. To place the serial isolates in phylogenetic context and to identify mutations that could be responsible for the pre-existing PAS resistance common to all isolates, we identified single nucleotide variants in a published global collection of *M. tuberculosis* lineage 4 isolates as well as the patient isolates. The analysis







resulted in 2,801 synonymous SNPs that were used to construct a maximum likelihood tree (Figure 4A; Figure S1 in Additional file 2; Additional file 3). Next, we investigated all non-synonymous SNPs to identify mutations that could be involved in drug resistance in this patient. Among 94 nonsynonymous SNPs unique to the patient isolates (Additional file 3), we found a *thyA* P17L mutation that could explain the observed PAS resistance [28]. Interestingly, the patient isolates also harbored a unique *uvrB* A582V mutation. In *Escherichia coli*, the Uvr(A)BC complex is responsible for excision of a wide variety of DNA lesions. The *uvrB* A582V mutation is localized in a region which in the *E. coli* homologue displays binding affinity towards both UvrA and UvrC [29], and could potentially lead to a reduction in DNA repair capability in the patient isolates.

Increased fitness in the presence of antibiotics drives clonal expansions

To investigate whether fitness in the presence of antibiotics was driving the expansion of successful clones at the expense of disappearing clones, we assayed growth efficiency of the isolates at a fixed concentration of antibiotics relative to untreated controls for each sample. Challenged with INH and STR, isolates carrying the ultimately fixed INH or STR resistance mutation grew significantly better than isolates harboring the transient mutation, clearly indicating that increased fitness in the presence of antibiotics was driving the expansion of individual clones (Figure 3). For EMB, the picture was similar, but complicated by the fact that EMB treatment was discontinued prior to fixation of any resistance-conferring SNP. The embB D1024N mutation was present in almost 5% of the reads in SF7 and was ultimately fixed in SF9. Interestingly, SF8 grew better than SF9 in EMB, indicating that the transient embB N644I mutation conferred a higher fitness in the presence of low concentrations of EMB relative to embB D1024N. As EMB treatment was discontinued prior to fixation of either mutation, this probably indicates that the embB D1024N mutation imparts a lower fitness cost in the absence of drug challenge,





and was thus driven to fixation in the population following removal of EMB from the treatment scheme. EMB susceptibility testing has repeatedly been shown to be challenging [30,31]. The *embB* D1024N mutation has previously been described in one isolate characterized as EMB susceptible [32]. However, our results indicate that this mutation confers low-level EMB resistance, illustrating that characterizing resistance mutations conferring borderline resistance remains problematic in a clinical setting. Intriguingly, isolates harboring the same resistance mutations also differed in growth efficiency in the presence of INH and STR, with a clear trend of increasing fitness (Figure 3).

Recent studies have documented the presence of transient genotypes and multiple concomitantly occurring drug-resistance mutations in serial *M. tuberculosis* isolates [11,33,34]. However, our results allowed for an even finer characterization of within-patient microbial dynamics than previous studies thanks to the large number of serial isolates. Taken together, these results point to 'battles among clones' being central to the evolution of drug-resistant *M. tuberculosis*. Clonal expansions seem driven by the increased fitness of mutant strains in the presence of antibiotics relative to the clones they replace.

Mutation rates are inflated by drug-induced selection

In a recent study of longitudinal *M. tuberculosis* isolates, including all the major lineages, the substitution rate was estimated to 0.5 SNPs per genome per year (95% confidence interval (CI) 0.3 to 0.7) and the divergence was rarely found to be higher than five SNPs per three years [35]. In another study of transmission chains the substitution rate was found to be 0.4 mutations per genome per year [36]. After exclusion of transient mutations in the patient isolates, 4.3 mutations were acquired per year from SF1 to SF9, or 2.3 mutations per year when excluding resistance mutations.

Antibiotic-induced expansion of resistant clones could potentially distort mutation rate estimates as random SNPs in the genetic background of resistant clones sweep to fixation together with the resistance mutation. Our data set allowed us to directly test for this possibility, as a large number of resistance mutations emerged over time and as the frequency of all identified SNPs were known over nine time points. We plotted SNP frequencies over time, from which it became apparent that SNPs not involved in resistance were changing in frequency in concert with the resistance mutation. These SNPs are located in the genetic background of expanding and contracting drug-resistant clones and their frequency changes over time closely mirror those of the resistance SNP due to the absence of genetic recombination in M. tuberculosis (Figure 4C). We refer to such SNPs whose allele frequency change is driven solely by linkage to a resistance mutation under natural selection as hitchhiking SNPs.

To investigate the effect of drug-driven selective sweeps on the substitution rate, we calculated pairwise SNP frequency correlation coefficients for all SNPs together with each of the 12 resistance mutations. Hitchhiking SNPs were defined as SNPs not involved in resistance but present at frequencies correlating closely (correlation coefficient >0.9) with any one of the 12 identified resistance mutations over the nine time points. Indels were excluded from these analyses, leaving only true SNPs. Subsequently, pairwise substitution rates were calculated for all possible pairs of isolates, a *de facto* simulation of a situation in which only two random isolates would be available for study, which is the case more often than not in a clinical setting.

Pairwise substitution rates were calculated for the following SNP sets: I) including all SNPs; II) excluding resistance mutations; and III) excluding resistance mutations and hitchhiking SNPs. The calculated mean pairwise substitution rates were found to differ substantially between the three sets (Figure 4D), with a mean mutation rate per genome per year of 7.0 (95% CI 4.5 to 9.4) for set I, 4.3 (95% CI 2.4 to 6.1) for set II and 1.1 (95% CI 0.7 to 1.6) for set III (Figure 4D), demonstrating a massive influence of selection for resistance mutations on substitution rates.

The existence of multiple clonal lineages within a single patient has been well documented in recent reports [11,33,34] and it has also been shown that the diversity of *M. tuberculosis* isolates from a single patient can rival that of different isolates from a transmission chain [33]. In the current work we demonstrate that clinical *M. tuberculosis* isolates descending from a single transmission event can in fact reach a level of divergence within a patient that exceeds the levels normally found between isolate pairs from a transmission chain. Our findings demonstrate that SNPs hitchhiking with resistance mutations can drive a surprisingly large number of SNPs to fixation over a short time span.

By coupling whole genome sequencing and epidemiological information, a recent study found that 96% of paired isolates differed by no more than five SNPs [35]. In the current study, the most extreme SNP divergence over time was found between isolates SF5 and SF6, separated by only three months, yet differing by 11 SNPs. It should be noted that most of the SNPs differentiating SF5 and SF6 were present in both isolates, but the frequency of the SNPs changed dramatically over the three months separating the isolates, reflecting the rapid expansion and collapse of two different clones.

In a typical SNP-calling scheme, mutations at low frequencies are not picked up, and if these were the only isolates available from the patient, it might well have been interpreted as an exogenous reinfection. However, based on the analysis of nine serial isolates, we can safely conclude that the dominant clones in isolates SF5 and SF6 shared a common ancestor that existed in the very patient they were isolated from. After removal of resistance mutations as well as hitchhiking mutations, the total number of mutations separating the nine isolates decreased from 24 to 4, a clear indication that antibiotics-induced selection was the main driver of the observed diversification over time. The high number of hitchhiking SNPs may suggest that the rapid emergence of resistance is facilitated by large populations within the host harboring considerable standing variation (many variants at very low allele frequency), which might not be picked up even when sequencing at $>100 \times$ coverage.

Genes involved in mycolic acid synthesis and drug efflux are differentially expressed among serial isolates

We selected four isolates, SF1, SF4, SF5 and SF6, for RNA sequencing, as these represented the initial isolate, as well as the isolates in which the main bulk of resistance development took place. Hierarchical clustering of total gene expression levels revealed that transcription patterns roughly reflected the phylogeny of the isolates (Figures 4B and 5A). Analyses of differential expression were performed for SF4, SF5 and SF6 independently with SF1 as the reference.

A total of 139 genes were found to be differentially regulated between at least one of the later isolates (SF4, SF5 and SF6) and SF1 (Figure 5B; Additional file 4). None of the mutations emerging over time in the clinical isolates were located in genes or promoter regions of genes for which significant differential transcription was observed, demonstrating that differential expression was not directly attributable to mutations in corresponding gene regions (Additional files 1 and 4). Clusters of orthologous groups (COG) analyses were carried out for up- and down-regulated genes (Table S1 in Additional file 2). In all the later isolates, significantly down-regulated genes relative to SF1 were enriched for the COG category 'Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism' (*P*-values of 0.057, 0.019 and 0.016, respectively, for SF4, SF5 and SF6 relative to SF1). Among the down-regulated genes were *pks13* and *fadD32*, members of the *pks13-fadD32-accD* operon, encoding enzymes that are responsible for the final steps of mycolic acid synthesis [37]. Down-regulation of this operon could possibly be involved in adaptation to INH and ETH treatment, both of which target steps in mycolic acid synthesis.

Transcription of *iniA*, a member of the *iniBAC* operon, involved in drug efflux and previously shown to confer increased resistance to both INH and EMB [38], was significantly up-regulated in SF6 relative to SF1. To investigate transcription levels of the *iniBAC* operon in more detail, we assayed *iniB* expression by quantitative PCR, which confirmed upregulation of the gene in SF4 to SF6 relative to SF1 (Figure 5). Concomitant with *iniBAC* up-regulation, we observed a slight down-regulation of *lsr2*, a known negative regulator of *iniBAC* expression [39].

We observed an increase in growth efficiency over time that was independent of the specific resistance-conferring mutations (Figure 3). This trend was most striking when the isolates were grown in the presence of INH and the



most parsimonious explanation would be that transcriptional reprogramming serves as an additional layer for boosting fitness in the presence of drugs. We did indeed observe patterns of differential gene expression that could be involved in a systemic adaptation to challenge with multiple compounds, namely an upregulation of *iniBAC* expression combined with the down-regulation of *pks13* and *fadD32*, encoding proteins responsible for the final steps of mycolic acid synthesis.

Down-regulation of mycolic acid synthesis could potentially alleviate detrimental effects of accumulated intermediaries upon inhibition in InhA. The isolates in which pks13 and fadD32 were down-regulated harbored a katG P7 frameshift mutation resulting in a premature stop codon. However, katG has an alternative start codon in position +76 in the same frame as the annotated start codon. Inspection of RNA-seq reads aligned to the H37Rv reference genome revealed that katG was transcribed in full length also in the isolates harboring the frameshift mutation, despite a rather steep decline in transcription levels downstream of the new stop codon (Figure S2 in Additional file 2). It is thus plausible that some KatG activity remained to activate INH. WhiB7 was previously found to orchestrate a transcriptional response to diverse classes of antibiotics, conferring increased drug tolerance [40]. Our results support a role of transcriptional responses in modulating drug susceptibility. As RNA was isolated from bacteria grown in the absence of antibiotics, this finding indicates that stable transcriptional changes had taken place.

Another possible explanation for the increased fitness in the presence of INH and STR over time could be a cumulative effect of multiple mutations. An ahpC N126D mutation was common to isolates SF5 to SF9 and might have increased the resistance to INH conferred by the katG frameshift mutation. Promoter mutations resulting in *ahpC* overexpression have been linked to compensation for loss of *katG*-associated catalase activity [41]. However, the *ahpC* N126D mutation is located in the body of the gene, and as gain-of-function mutations are rare, it seems unlikely that this mutation played a significant role in INH resistance or fitness compensation. It is also possible that the rrs A1401G mutation conferring KAN/AMK resistance could yield increased resistance to STR in combination with the rrs A514C mutation. However, this scenario also seems relatively unlikely as such an effect has never been reported despite these being common and well-studied mutations. We did not identify other obvious candidate mutations that could explain this trend. Recent in vitro studies have shown that the efflux inhibitor verapamil potentiates the antitubercular effect of bedaquiline and CFZ and that efflux contributes to the emergence of MDR-TB [42,43]. Our findings suggest that drug efflux could be an important mechanism effectuating drug resistance also within patients.

Conclusions

The availability of nine serial isolates combined with deep sequencing gave us unprecedented insights into the dynamics of the emergence of drug resistance in *M. tuber-culosis*. A surprisingly large number of SNPs were found to differentiate serial *M. tuberculosis* isolates evolving resistance to multiple drugs within a single patient. Most of the mutations were either resistance SNPs or hitchhiking SNPs in the genetic background of resistance mutations. This finding has major implications for the reconstruction of transmission chains between patients under treatment. In particular, the large number of SNPs we detected between isolates sampled only a few months apart suggests that using a simple threshold of a maximal number of mutations between pairs of isolates to rule out direct transmission may often be inaccurate.

Mutations underlying antibiotic resistance evolving over the course of infection were easily identifiable. However, over the course of infection, the M. tuberculosis isolates exhibited increased fitness in the presence of antibiotics that was independent of any obvious resistance-associated mutations. We did, however, identify patterns of differential gene expression that could explain the observed systemic adaptation to challenge by multiple compounds, including an up-regulation of the efflux-associated iniBAC operon over time. This observation suggests that drug efflux could be an important mechanism effectuating drug resistance within patients. Drugs targeting either drug efflux or transcriptional regulators that coordinate the response of M. tuberculosis to antimicrobial compounds could thus prove valuable in combination with conventional anti-TB drugs.

Materials and methods

Patient clinical information and phenotypic resistance

An immigrant from Eastern Europe was diagnosed with non-cavitary pulmonary TB at an outpatient clinic in Norway. M. tuberculosis isolates from sputum were sensitive to the conventional TB drugs. The patient was treated with a standard anti-tuberculous regimen consisting of INH, RIF and PZA. It is not known if the patient received DOT at the time. After eight months live bacilli were still isolated from the sputum, a cavity in the right upper lobe had developed and by this time the patient had developed MDR-TB resistant to INH and RIF. The patient was then transferred to Oslo University Hospital where the patient received DOT. The treatment was changed to STR and EMB in addition to PZA. Ofloxacin (OFX) and ETH were added to the scheme shortly after. During the following year tubercle bacilli were not isolated from the patient. However, two years after initiation of the first therapy regimen, M. tuberculosis was again isolated and had now acquired resistance to STR, OFX, ciprofloxacin and ETH. STR, ETH and

OFX treatment was thus discontinued whereas PZN and EMB were continued and AMK and CFZ were added to the scheme. Three months later the bacterium had developed resistance to EMB and AMK, and thus fulfilled the diagnosis of XDR-TB. These drugs were discontinued and replaced with CPR, amoxicillin/clavulanate, levofloxacin, gemfibrozil and interferon gamma-1b inhalations. The three latter experimental drugs were discontinued after a short period, leaving PZN, CFZ and CPR as the regimen. The cavity in the right lung persisted and upper lung lobectomy was performed on the infected lung but failed to clear the infection. Finally linezolid was added to the therapy and the sputum became culture-negative three weeks later. A full pneumectomy was performed shortly after due to massive chronic tissue damage.

Isolates and ethics approval

Ethics approval for this study was obtained from the Norwegian Regional Ethics committee (reference number 2014/191). Primary sputum cultures were collected at Oslo University Hospital Ullevål and final DST and molecular epidemiological typing performed at the Norwegian Institute of Public Health (NIPH). All isolates were typed by IS*6110* RFLP and 24-locus MIRU-VNTR [44], both methods yielding nine identical profiles.

Drug susceptibility testing and growth experiments

DST was performed on the BD BACTEC 460 (BD Diagnostics) radiometric system with the following critical concentrations: 6 µg/ml STR, 0.2 µg/ml INH, 2 µg/ml RIF, 7.5 µg/ml EMB, 2.0 µg/ml OFX, 2.0 µg/ml ciprofloxacin, 5 μg/ml ETH, 100 μg/ml PZA, 4.0 μg/ml AMK, 4.0 μg/ml KAN, 4 µg/ml PAS and 10 µg/ml CPR. Re-testing of STR and EMB susceptibility was carried out on the BD MGIT[™]960 fluorometric system with standard concentrations: 1 μ g/ml and 4 μ g/ml for STR and 5 μ g/ml for EMB. To assay fitness under antibiotic challenge, experiments were performed in triplicates with the following treatments: no drug (control experiments); 1 µg/ml STR, $0.4 \ \mu g/ml$ INH or $2 \ \mu g/ml$ EMB. Colonies were picked from solid Löwenstein-Jensen (LJ) medium, adjusted to McFarland turbidity 0.5 and inoculated in MGIT tubes following standard procedures. To quantify growth efficiency under drug challenge, growth unit (GU) read-outs of the corresponding drug-treated sample at the time when the growth control reached 400 GU was used.

Genome sequencing and analysis

Genomic DNA was isolated from a full loop of colonies growing on LJ medium using a Bacterial DNA Kit (Omega Bio-tek, Norcross, Georgia, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions with the following modifications. A 20 minute incubation at 94°C to inactivate the bacteria was added after resuspension of bacteria in TE buffer. Lysozyme incubation was performed for 60 minutes at 37°C followed by bead beating $3 \times 6,800$ rpm for 30 seconds in Precellys Tough micro-organism lysing tubes in a Precellys 24 biological grinder (Bertin Technologies, Ampère, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France) Genomic DNA (500 ng) was used to generate sequencing libraries. DNA was fragmented with NEBNext dsDNA fragmentase (NEB, Ipswich, Massachusetts, USA) for 45 minutes according to the supplied protocol. Fragmented DNA was purified with Agencourt AMPure beads and Illumina sequencing libraries generated with the High Throughput Library Preparation Kit (KAPA Biosystems, Wilmington, Massachusetts, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. Individual libraries were indexed with NEXTflex barcodes (Bioo [SIC] Scientific, Austin, Texas, USA) and sequenced on both the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms with 50 bp single end and 150 bp paired-end run modes, respectively. Fastq reads were aligned to the H37Rv genome with SeqMan NGen (DNASTAR), resulting in a median coverage of $210 \times (189 \times \text{ to } 246 \times).$

We first called SNPs in SeqMan Pro (DNASTAR) that were present at a minimum depth of 50 and at a minimum frequency of 25% reads in any one sample. Second, we re-called SNPs at these positions in all samples, allowing for a SNP frequency as low as 4%. Fixed mutations were defined as being present in \geq 85% of the reads in the final isolate (SF9). Only SNPs found at a frequency of >70% in at least one sample were used to construct a neighborjoining phylogeny of SF isolates. In any isolate, variants at these positions were called as SNPs if the frequency was above 50%. For comparative genomic analyses of SF isolates with a global collection of lineage 4 isolates (Additional file 3), paired-end reads were downloaded from the NCBI Short Read Archive (ERP001731). Reads were aligned as above. SNPs were called using a haploid Bayesian approach in SeqMan NGen with the following thresholds: SNP% 95, depth 20, Q60. Repetitive regions including PE/PPE genes and SNPs occurring at a distance of 10 bp or less relative to these or each other were excluded from all analyses. A PhyML phylogeny was constructed using SeaView [45].

Selected SNPs were verified by mutation-specific PCR using primers specifically amplifying either the wild-type or mutant allele (Table S2 in Additional file 2). PCR was performed on a Roche LightCycler^{\circ} real-time PCR machine using KAPA SYBR FAST master mix (KAPA) and 20 ng template DNA in 20 µl PCR reactions with the following cycling parameters: preincubation 95°C 1 minute; cycling 3 s at 95°C, 30 s at 70°C (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). For each primer pair, thermal cycling was terminated when the earliest amplification reaction was in late

logarithmic phase, and amplicons were visualized on ethidium bromide-stained agarose gels.

RNA sequencing and analysis of gene expression

For each of the three replicates per isolate, a full loop of bacterial colonies picked from solid LJ medium was resuspended in 1 ml Tri reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, Missouri, USA) in Precellys tubes. The bacteria were bead-beaten twice at 6,800 rpm for 30 s and cooled on ice between and after beating steps. After beating, 0.2 ml of chloroform was added directly to the Precellys tubes, vortexed and centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 15 minutes at 4°C. RNA was precipitated from the aqueous phase with 0.5 ml isopropyl alcohol, incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes and centrifuged at $12,000 \times g$ for 10 minutes at 4°C. The RNA precipitate was washed once with 75% ethanol, air dried and dissolved in 30 µl nuclease-free water, followed by DNAse treatment of the RNA with the DNA-free RNA kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, California, USA). For each replicate, rRNA was partially depleted from 3 µg RNA using the Ribominus Transcriptome Isolation Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA). Enriched mRNA was purified on Zymo RNA Clean & Concentrator columns (Zymo) and RNA-sequencing libraries constructed with NEBNext® Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit (NEB) and indexed with NEBNext multiplex oligos for Illumina (NEB). Libraries were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform with 50 bp single end run mode.

Reads were aligned to the M. tuberculosis H37rv reference genome in SeqMan NGen. BAM assemblies were imported into QSeq (DNASTAR). Experiments were normalized by assigning reads per kilobase template per million mapped reads (RPKM) and scaled to have a common mean. Significant differential expression was defined as P < 0.05 after adjustment for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery correction. Complementary DNA was synthesized from RNA using a Proto-Script II First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit with random primers (NEB) according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA diluted 1:5 was used as template in 20 µl reactions. The primers used for amplification of iniB and rpoB are listed in Table S1 in Additional file 2. Standard curve templates were generated by preparation of 10-fold dilution series of pooled cDNA from all samples (undiluted to 10⁻⁴ dilution). Expression levels of *iniB* were normalized to rpoB expression across samples. COG analyses were performed using the TB database [46].

Data access

Sequence reads were deposited at the European Nucleotide Archive with the following accession numbers: [EMBL: PRJEB5899] (genome sequences) and [EMBL:PRJEB5865] (RNA sequences).

Additional files

Additional file 1: SNPs differentiating the nine clinical TB isolates. Additional file 2: Supplementary Tables and Figures.

Additional file 3: Synonymous SNPs identified in the nine serial TB isolates and isolates from a global collection of TB isolates and non-synonymous SNPs unique to the serial isolates.

Additional file 4: Differentially regulated genes identified by RNA-seq.

Abbreviations

AMK: amikacin; bp: base pair; CFZ: clofazimine; CI: confidence interval; COG: clusters of orthologous groups; CPR: capreomycin; DOT: directly observed treatment; DST: drug susceptibility testing; EMB: ethambutol; ETH: ethionamide; FLQ: fluoroquinolone; GU: growth unit; INH: isoniazid; KAN: kanamycin; LJ: Löwenstein-Jensen; MDR-TB: multidrug-resistant tuberculosis; OFX: ofloxacin; PAS: para-aminosalicylic acid; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; PZA: pyrazinamide; RIF: rifampicin; SNP: single-nucleotide polymorphism; STR: streptomycin; TB: tuberculosis; XDR-TB: drug-resistant tuberculosis.

Competing interests

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interests.

Authors' contributions

Designed research: VE, GN, URD, DAC, FB. Performed experiments: VE, WK. Analyzed the data: VE, FB. Contributed reagents, materials or analytic tools: BvL, AMD, ATM, TM. Wrote the paper: VE. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge Gregor Gilfillan and the staff at the Norwegian Sequencing Centre (www.sequencing.uio.no) for valuable advice and sequencing of the libraries, Per Sandven for help with patient isolates, the personnel at the TB lab at OUS Ullevål for their essential contribution and Janne Oseberg Rønning and Bente Forsdahl for MIRU and RFLP typing of the isolates, respectively. The study was funded by a postdoctoral fellowship to VE from the Norwegian Research Council (grant 221562) and an ERC grant to FB (ERC 260801 - BIG_IDEA) and supported by the National Institute for Health Research University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre.

Author details

¹Division of Infectious Disease Control, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Lovisenberggata 8, 0456 Oslo, Norway. ²Department of Infectious Diseases, Oslo University Hospital, 0450 Oslo, Norway. ³Institute of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, 0450 Oslo, Norway. ⁴UCL Genetics Institute, Department of Genetics, Evolution and Environment, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, UK.

Received: 31 July 2014 Accepted: 8 October 2014 Published online: 07 November 2014

References

- David HL: Probability distribution of drug-resistant mutants in unselected populations of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Appl Microbiol 1970, 20:810–814.
- Ford CB, Shah RR, Maeda MK, Gagneux S, Murray MB, Cohen T, Johnston JC, Gardy J, Lipsitch M, Fortune SM: *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* mutation rate estimates from different lineages predict substantial differences in the emergence of drug-resistant tuberculosis. *Nat Genet* 2013, 45:784–790.
- Klopper M, Warren RM, Hayes C, van Pittius NCG, Streicher EM, Müller B, Sirgel FA, Chabula-Nxiweni M, Hoosain E, Coetzee G, David van Helden P, Victor TC, Trollip AP: Emergence and spread of extensively and totally drug-resistant tuberculosis, South Africa. Emerg Infect Dis 2013, 19:449–455.
- Udwadia ZF, Amale RA, Ajbani KK, Rodrigues C: Totally drug-resistant tuberculosis in India. Clin Infect Dis 2012, 54:579–581.

- 5. WHO: Weekly Epidemiological Record No 45. Volume 81. 2006, 425–432 [http://www.who.int/wer/2006/wer8145/en/]
- 6. WHO: Global Tuberculosis Control 2011. Geneva, Switzerland: 2011 [http://www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report/2011/en/]
- Calver AD, Falmer AA, Murray M, Strauss OJ, Streicher EM, Hanekom M, Liversage T, Masibi M, Van Helden PD, Warren RM, Victor TC: Emergence of Increased Resistance and Extensively Drug-Resistant Tuberculosis Despite Treatment Adherence, South Africa. Emerg Infect Dis 2010, 16:264–271.
- Pillay M, Sturm AW: Evolution of the extensively drug-resistant F15/LAM4/ KZN strain of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007, 45:1409–1414.
- Srivastava S, Pasipanodya JG, Meek C, Leff R, Gumbo T: Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis not due to noncompliance but to between-patient pharmacokinetic variability. J Infect Dis 2011, 204:1951–1959.
- Chatterjee A, Saranath D, Bhatter P, Mistry N: Global transcriptional profiling of longitudinal clinical isolates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* exhibiting rapid accumulation of drug resistance. *PLoS One* 2013, 8:e54717.
- Merker M, Kohl TA, Roetzer A, Truebe L, Richter E, Rüsch-Gerdes S, Fattorini L, Oggioni MR, Cox H, Varaine F, Niemann S: Whole genome sequencing reveals complex evolution patterns of multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Beijing strains in patients. *PLoS One* 2013, 8:e82551.
- Saunders NJ, Trivedi UH, Thomson ML, Doig C, Laurenson IF, Blaxter ML: Deep resequencing of serial sputum isolates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* during therapeutic failure due to poor compliance reveals stepwise mutation of key resistance genes on an otherwise stable genetic background. J Infect 2011, 62:212–217.
- Duong DA, Nguyen THD, Nguyen TNL, Dai VH, Dang TMH, Vo SK, Do DAT, Nguyen VVC, Nguyen HD, Dinh NS, Farrar J, Caws M: Beijing genotype of Mycobacterium tuberculosis is significantly associated with high-level fluoroquinolone resistance in Vietnam. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009, 53:4835–4839.
- Pantel A, Petrella S, Veziris N, Brossier F, Bastian S, Jarlier V, Mayer C, Aubry A: Extending the definition of the GyrB quinolone resistance-determining region in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* DNA gyrase for assessing fluoroquinolone resistance in M. tuberculosis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012, 56:1990–1996.
- Vilchèze C, Av-Gay Y, Attarian R, Liu Z, Hazbón MH, Colangeli R, Chen B, Liu W, Alland D, Sacchettini JC, Jacobs WR: Mycothiol biosynthesis is essential for ethionamide susceptibility in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Mol Microbiol* 2008, 69:1316–1329.
- Morlock GP, Plikaytis BB, Crawford JT: Characterization of spontaneous, in vitro-selected, rifampin-resistant mutants of Mycobacterium tuberculosis strain H37Rv. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2000, 44:3298–3301.
- Ballif M, Harino P, Ley S, Coscolla M, Niemann S, Carter R, Coulter C, Borrell S, Siba P, Phuanukoonnon S, Gagneux S, Beck H-P: Drug resistanceconferring mutations in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from Madang, Papua New Guinea. *BMC Microbiol* 2012, **12**:191.
- Okamoto S, Tamaru A, Nakajima C, Nishimura K, Tanaka Y, Tokuyama S, Suzuki Y, Ochi K: Loss of a conserved 7-methylguanosine modification in 16S rRNA confers low-level streptomycin resistance in bacteria. *Mol Microbiol* 2007, 63:1096–1106.
- Jugheli L, Bzekalava N, de Rijk P, Fissette K, Portaels F, Rigouts L: High level of cross-resistance between kanamycin, amikacin, and capreomycin among *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates from Georgia and a close relation with mutations in the *rrs* gene. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009, 53:5064–5068.
- 20. Dalla Costa ER, Ribeiro MO, Silva MSN, Arnold LS, Rostirolla DC, Cafrune PI, Espinoza RC, Palaci M, Telles MA, Ritacco V, Suffys PN, Lopes ML, Campelo CL, Miranda SS, Kremer K, da Silva PEA, Fonseca Lde S, Ho JL, Kritski AL, Rossetti MLR: Correlations of mutations in *katG*, *oxyR-ahpC* and *inhA* genes and in vitro susceptibility in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* clinical strains segregated by spoligotype families from tuberculosis prevalent countries in South America. *BMC Microbiol* 2009, **9**:39.
- 21. Rouse DA, Li Z, Bai GH, Morris SL: Characterization of the *katG* and *inhA* genes of isoniazid-resistant clinical isolates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1995, **39:**2472–2477.
- Gumbo T, Dona CSWS, Meek C, Leff R: Pharmacokinetics-pharmacodynamics of pyrazinamide in a novel in vitro model of tuberculosis for sterilizing effect: a paradigm for faster assessment of new antituberculosis drugs. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009, 53:3197–3204.

- 23. Kritski AL, de Jesus LSR, Andrade MK, Werneck-Barroso E, Vieira MA, Haffner A, Riley LW: **Retreatment tuberculosis cases. Factors associated with drug resistance and adverse outcomes.** *Chest* 1997, **111**:1162–1167.
- Kjellsson MC, Via LE, Goh A, Weiner D, Low KM, Kern S, Pillai G, Barry CE, Dartois V: Pharmacokinetic evaluation of the penetration of antituberculosis agents in rabbit pulmonary lesions. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012, 56:446–457.
- 25. Xu H-B, Jiang R-H, Li L, Xiao H-P: Linezolid in the treatment of MDR-TB: a retrospective clinical study. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2012, 16:358–363.
- Schecter GF, Scott C, True L, Raftery A, Flood J, Mase S: Linezolid in the treatment of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010, 50:49–55.
- Gagneux S, Long CD, Small PM, Van T, Schoolnik GK, Bohannan BJM: The competitive cost of antibiotic resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Science* 2006, 312:1944–1946.
- Mathys V, Wintjens R, Lefevre P, Bertout J, Singhal A, Kiass M, Kurepina N, Wang X-M, Mathema B, Baulard A, Kreiswirth BN, Bifani P: Molecular genetics of para-aminosalicylic acid resistance in clinical isolates and spontaneous mutants of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009, 53:2100–2109.
- 29. Hsu DS, Kim S-T, Sun Q, Sancar A: Structure and Function of the UvrB Protein. *J Biol Chem* 1995, **270**:8319–8327.
- Said HM, Kock MM, Ismail NA, Baba K, Omar SV, Osman AG, Hoosen AA, Ehlers MM: Comparison between the BACTEC MGIT 960 system and the agar proportion method for susceptibility testing of multidrug resistant tuberculosis strains in a high burden setting of South Africa. BMC Infect Dis 2012, 12:369.
- López-Roa P, Ruiz-Serrano MJ, Alcalá L, García-Escribano Ráez N, García de Viedma D, Bouza E: Susceptibility testing to second-line drugs and ethambutol by GenoType MTBDRsl and Bactec MGIT 960 comparing with agar proportion method. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)* 2012, 92:417–421.
- Sekiguchi J, Miyoshi-Akiyama T, Augustynowicz-Kopeć E, Zwolska Z, Kirikae F, Toyota E, Kobayashi I, Morita K, Kudo K, Kato S, Kuratsuji T, Mori T, Kirikae T: Detection of multidrug resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J Clin Microbiol 2007, 45:179–192.
- Pérez-Lago L, Comas I, Navarro Y, González-Candelas F, Herranz M, Bouza E, García-de-Viedma D: Whole genome sequencing analysis of intrapatient microevolution in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: Potential impact on the inference of tuberculosis transmission. J Infect Dis 2014, 209:98–108.
- Sun G, Luo T, Yang C, Dong X, Li J, Zhu Y, Zheng H, Tian W, Wang S, Barry CE, Mei J, Gao Q: Dynamic population changes in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* during acquisition and fixation of drug resistance in patients. *J Infect Dis* 2012, 206:1724–1733.
- Walker TM, Ip CLC, Harrell RH, Evans JT, Kapatai G, Dedicoat MJ, Eyre DW, Wilson DJ, Hawkey PM, Crook DW, Parkhill J, Harris D, Walker AS, Bowden R, Monk P, Smith EG, Peto TEA: Whole-genome sequencing to delineate Mycobacterium tuberculosis outbreaks: a retrospective observational study. Lancet Infect Dis 2013, 13:137–146.
- Roetzer A, Diel R, Kohl TA, Rückert C, Nübel U, Blom J, Wirth T, Jaenicke S, Schuback S, Rüsch-Gerdes S, Supply P, Kalinowski J, Niemann S: Whole genome sequencing versus traditional genotyping for investigation of a *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* outbreak: a longitudinal molecular epidemiological study. *PLoS Med* 2013, 10:e1001387.
- Portevin D, de Sousa-D'Auria C, Montrozier H, Houssin C, Stella A, Lanéelle M-A, Bardou F, Guilhot C, Daffé M: The acyl-AMP ligase FadD32 and AccD4-containing acyl-CoA carboxylase are required for the synthesis of mycolic acids and essential for mycobacterial growth: identification of the carboxylation product and determination of the acyl-CoA carboxylase components. J Biol Chem 2005, 280:8862–8874.
- Colangeli R, Helb D, Sridharan S, Sun J, Varma-Basil M, Hazbón MH, Harbacheuski R, Megjugorac NJ, Jacobs WR, Holzenburg A, Sacchettini JC, Alland D: The *Mycobacterium tuberculosis iniA* gene is essential for activity of an efflux pump that confers drug tolerance to both isoniazid and ethambutol. *Mol Microbiol* 2005, 55:1829–1840.
- Colangeli R, Helb D, Vilchèze C, Hazbón MH, Lee C-G, Safi H, Sayers B, Sardone I, Jones MB, Fleischmann RD, Peterson SN, Jacobs WR, Alland D: Transcriptional regulation of multi-drug tolerance and antibiotic-induced responses by the histone-like protein Lsr2 in M. tuberculosis. *PLoS Pathog* 2007, 3:e87.
- 40. Morris RP, Nguyen L, Gatfield J, Visconti K, Nguyen K, Schnappinger D, Ehrt S, Liu Y, Heifets L, Pieters J, Schoolnik G, Thompson CJ: Ancestral antibiotic

resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005, **102:**12200–12205.

- Sherman DR, Mdluli K, Hickey MJ, Barry CE, Stover CK: AhpC, oxidative stress and drug resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Biofactors* 1999, 10:211–217.
- 42. Gupta S, Cohen KA, Winglee K, Maiga M, Diarra B, Bishai WR: Efflux inhibition with verapamil potentiates bedaquiline in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2014, **58**:574–576.
- Machado D, Couto I, Perdigão J, Rodrigues L, Portugal I, Baptista P, Veigas B, Amaral L, Viveiros M: Contribution of efflux to the emergence of isoniazid and multidrug resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *PLoS One* 2012, 7:e34538.
- 44. Vadwai V, Shetty A, Supply P, Rodrigues C: Evaluation of 24-locus MIRU-VNTR in extrapulmonary specimens: Study from a tertiary centre in Mumbai. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)* 2012, 92:264–272.
- Gouy M, Guindon S, Gascuel O: SeaView version 4: A multiplatform graphical user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. *Mol Biol Evol* 2010, 27:221–224.
- Reddy TBK, Riley R, Wymore F, Montgomery P, DeCaprio D, Engels R, Gellesch M, Hubble J, Jen D, Jin H, Koehrsen M, Larson L, Mao M, Nitzberg M, Sisk P, Stolte C, Weiner B, White J, Zachariah ZK, Sherlock G, Galagan JE, Ball CA, Schoolnik GK: TB database: an integrated platform for tuberculosis research. Nucleic Acids Res 2009, 37:D499–D508.

doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0490-3

Cite this article as: Eldholm *et al.*: **Evolution of extensively drug-resistant** *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from a susceptible ancestor in a single patient. *Genome Biology* 2014 **15**:490.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit