Method

Open Access

Identification of ciliated sensory neuron-expressed genes in *Caenorhabditis elegans* using targeted pull-down of poly(A) tails Hirofumi Kunitomo^{*}, Hiroko Uesugi[†], Yuji Kohara[†] and Yuichi Iino^{*}

Addresses: *Molecular Genetics Research Laboratory, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan. †Genome Biology Laboratory, National Institute of Genetics, Mishima 411-8540, Japan.

Correspondence: Yuichi Iino. E-mail: iino@gen.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Published: 31 January 2005

Genome Biology 2005, 6:R17

The electronic version of this article is the complete one and can be found online at http://genomebiology.com/2005/6/2/R17

Received: 17 September 2004 Revised: 29 November 2004 Accepted: 21 December 2004

© 2005 Kunitomo et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

It is not always easy to apply microarray technology to small numbers of cells because of the difficulty in selectively isolating mRNA from such cells. We report here the preparation of mRNA from ciliated sensory neurons of *Caenorhabditis elegans* using the mRNA-tagging method, in which poly(A) RNA was co-immunoprecipitated with an epitope-tagged poly(A)-binding protein specifically expressed in sensory neurons. Subsequent cDNA microarray analyses led to the identification of a panel of sensory neuron-expressed genes.

Background

Recent advances in technologies for analyzing whole-genome gene-expression patterns have provided a wealth of information on the complex transcriptional regulatory networks and changes in gene-expression patterns that are related to phenotypic changes caused by environmental stimuli or genetic alterations. Changes in gene expression are also fundamental during development and cellular differentiation, and differences in gene expression lead to different cell fates and eventually determine the structural and functional characteristics of each cell type. Comparative analyses of gene-expression patterns in various cell types will therefore provide a framework for understanding the molecular architecture of these cells as cellular systems.

Caenorhabditis elegans is an ideal model organism for investigating development and differentiation at high resolution, because adult hermaphrodites only have 959 somatic nuclei, whose cell lineages are all known. About 19,000 genes were identified by determination of the *C. elegans* genome sequence [1]. Functional genomic approaches, including systematic inhibition of gene functions by RNA interference [25], large-scale identification of interacting proteins [6], systematic generation of deletion mutants [7-9], and determination of the time and place of transcription [10-12], are currently in progress to accumulate information on all genes in the genome.

Genome-wide gene-expression profiling using DNA or oligonucleotide microarray technology has also been applied to this organism. Microarrays containing more than 90% of *C. elegans* genes have been constructed and used in global geneexpression analyses under a wide variety of developmental, environmental and genetic conditions [13-15]. Genome-wide gene expression analyses of the germline have also been carried out [16,17]. Mutants lacking functional gonads and those with masculinized or feminized gonads were used in these studies to identify germline-expressed genes and genes correlated with the germline sexes.

To analyze gene-expression patterns in various cells, particularly those forming small tissues, selective isolation of mRNA from these cells is necessary. As an example of this approach, mRNA was prepared from mechanosensory neurons after cell



Figure I

Principle of the mRNA-tagging method. Step 1, FLAG-tagged poly(A)binding protein (PABP) is expressed from a transgene using a cell-specific promoter. Step 2, PABP and poly(A)⁺ RNA are crosslinked *in situ* by formaldehyde. Step 3, poly(A)-RNA/FLAG-PABP complexes are purified by anti-FLAG affinity purification. Step 4, RNA-PABP crosslinks are reversed and RNA is isolated. Step 5, purified RNA is used for microarray analysis.

culture of their embryonic precursors followed by selection of the cells by flow cytometry [18]. Although embryonic cell cultures allow the collection of cells at early stages of development, methods for the separation, culture and collection of fully developed tissues have not been established and might be technically difficult.

C. elegans modifies its behavior by sensing environmental cues such as food, chemicals, temperature or pheromones. These cues are recognized by approximately 50 sensory neurons positioned in the head and tail. Although the overall functions of the chemosensory or thermosensory neurons have been examined by laser-killing experiments, the molecular mechanisms that underlie the functions of each sensory neuron have not yet been fully explored. Profiling of genes that are expressed in sensory neurons might therefore provide insights into the genes required for the specific functions of neurons.

To identify sensory neuron-expressed genes, we adopted the mRNA-tagging method [19]. In this method, poly(A)-binding protein (PABP), which binds the poly(A) tails of mRNA, is utilized to specifically pull-down poly(A) RNA from the target tissues. By employing this method, we successfully identified

novel genes that are expressed in the ciliated sensory neurons of *C. elegans*.

Results

Preparation of mRNA from particular types of neurons using mRNA tagging

To isolate sensory neuron-expressed transcripts, we devised a method that utilizes PABP. This approach involves the generation of transgenic animals that express an epitope-tagged PABP using cell-specific promoters. Since PABP binds the polv(A) tails of mRNA [20], in situ crosslinking of RNA and proteins, followed by affinity purification of the tagged PABP from lysates of these animals, is expected to co-precipitate all the poly(A)+ RNA from cells expressing the tagged PABP (Figure 1). This method was independently devised by Roy et al. and used to identify muscle-expressed genes [19], but whether the procedure was applicable to smaller tissues, such as neurons, was unknown. We applied this technology, mRNA tagging [19], to the ciliated sensory neurons of C. elegans; these comprise approximately 50 cells whose cell bodies are typically 2 µm in diameter compared to the approximate animal body length of 1 mm.

PABP is encoded by the *pab-1* gene in *C. elegans*. Nematode strains expressing FLAG-tagged PAB-1 from transgenes were generated using tissue-specific promoters. To prepare mRNA from sensory neurons, we generated the JN501 strain (hereafter called che-2::PABP) in which the transgene was expressed in most of the ciliated sensory neurons using a che-2 gene promoter [21]. A second strain, JN502 (acr-5::PABP), was generated to prepare mRNA from another subset of neurons using an acr-5 promoter, which is active in B-type motor neurons, as well as unidentified head and tail neurons [22]. A third strain, JN503 (myo-3::PABP), which expressed the transgene in non-pharyngeal muscles using the myo-3 promoter [23], was generated to serve as a non-neuronal control. Expression of FLAG-PAB-1 was confirmed by western blotting analyses, and immunohistochemistry using an anti-FLAG antibody (data not shown). Expression patterns were essentially the same as those reported for the promoters used, but we note that expression of FLAG-PAB-1 in ventral cord motor neurons was weak in the acr-5::PABP strain compared to that in sensory neurons in the che-2::PABP strain. As a measure of the functional integrity of FLAG-PAB-1-expressing cells, responses of the che-2::PABP strain to the volatile repellent 1-octanol, which is sensed by ASH amphid sensory neurons was tested. The sensitivity of the che-2::PABP animals was indistinguishable from the wild type (data not shown). The ability of the exposed sensory neurons to absorb the lipophilic dye diQ was also tested. Amphid sensory neurons in the head stained normally, whereas phasmid neurons, PHA and PHB, in the tail showed weak defects in dye-filling (90% staining of PHA and 91% staining of PHB, compared to 100% in wild type for both neurons). The acr-5::PABP and myo-3::PABP strains appeared to move normally, suggesting



Quantification of tissue-specific transcripts in RNA prepared by mRNA tagging. The transcript indicated on the left of each row was amplified by RT-PCR using gene-specific primers. Poly(A)+RNA from wild-type (WT) animals was used as a template in lane 1. RNA prepared by mRNA tagging from *che-2*::PABP (JN501), *acr-5*::PABP (JN502) and *myo-3*::PABP (JN503) was used in lanes 2, 3 and 4, respectively.

overall functional integrity of motor neurons and body-wall muscles, respectively.

Poly(A) RNA/FLAG-PAB-1 complexes were pulled-down from whole lysates of these transgenic worms using anti-FLAG monoclonal antibodies. Poly(A) RNA was then extracted and concentrated. The amounts of known tissuespecific transcripts were examined by reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) (Figure 2). The mRNA for *tax-2*, which is expressed in a subset of sensory neurons [24], was enriched in RNA from *che-2*::PABP. The mRNA for *odr-10*, which is expressed in only one pair of sensory neurons [25], was also highly enriched in *che-2*::PABP. On the other hand, mRNA for *acr-5* and *del-1*, both of which are expressed in B-type motor neurons [22], was enriched in RNA from acr-5::PABP. The mRNA for *unc-8*, which is expressed in motor neurons and ASH and FLP sensory neurons in the head [26], was contained in RNA from both che-2::PABP and acr-5::PABP. The mRNA for unc-54, which is expressed in muscles [23], was enriched in RNA from myo-3::PABP. Representatives of housekeeping genes, eft-3 [27] and lmn-1 [28], were detected in RNA from all transgenic strains. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed to estimate the relative amounts of neuron typespecific transcripts. The amount of the odr-10 transcript in RNA from che-2::PABP was 39-fold higher than that from acr-5::PABP, and mRNA for gcy-6, which is expressed in only a single sensory neuron [29], was enriched 10-fold. On the other hand, the mRNA for acr-5 was enriched eightfold in RNA from acr-5::PABP compared with that from che-2::PABP. mRNA for the pan-neuronally expressed gene snt-1 [30] was equally represented in RNA from both acr-5::PABP and che-2::PABP. Therefore, selective enrichment of sensory neuron-, motor neuron- and muscle-expressed genes in RNA from che-2::PABP, acr-5::PABP and myo-3::PABP strains, respectively, have been achieved as intended. Of these, the enrichment of motor neuron-expressed genes appeared less efficient, because weak bands were sometimes seen for these genes in RT-PCR from che-2::PABP or myo-3::PABP RNA.

cDNA microarray experiments

We used a cDNA microarray to compare the properties of mRNA prepared from che-2-expressing ciliated sensory neurons with that from acr-5-expressing cells. RNA purified from che-2::PABP was labeled with Cy5 and that from acr-5::PABP was labeled with Cy3. The two types of labeled RNA were mixed and hybridized to the cDNA microarray and the che-2::PABP/acr-5::PABP (Cy5/Cy3) ratio was calculated for each cDNA spot. The cDNA microarray contained 8,348 cDNA spots corresponding to 7,088 C. elegans genes. Two sets of independently prepared RNA samples were hybridized to two separate arrays. The logarithm of the hybridization intensity ratio for each spot, log₂(che-2::PABP/acr-5::PABP), was calculated and values from the two experiments were averaged. This calculation allowed us to order the genes represented on the microarrays according to the log₂(che-2::PABP/acr-5::PABP) value (see Additional data file 1). Genes specifically expressed in che-2-expressing cells should have higher rank orders in this list, whereas those expressed in acr-5-expressing cells should have lower rank orders.

To evaluate the results of the microarray experiments, we searched for genes that are known to be expressed in amphid sensory neurons, but not in ventral cord motor neurons, or vice versa, using the WormBase database (WS94). Of these, 20 sensory neuron-specific genes and five motor neuron-specific genes were present on the arrays (see Additional data files 1 and 2). These genes showed a highly uneven distribution, with sensory neuron-specific genes concentrated in the highest rank orders and motor neuron-specific genes



Rank orders of *che-2*::PABP/*acr-5*::PABP values for specific genes in the microarray analyses. (a) Distribution of genes with known expression patterns. Genes known to be specifically expressed in sensory neurons, motor neurons, muscles or the intestine, respectively, were collected from WormBase (see Materials and methods) and the rank orders of their *che-2*::PABP/*acr-5*::PABP signal ratios were plotted. Vertical bars indicate the medians. Genes expressed in sensory neurons are specifically enriched in the *che-2*::PABP RNA preparations, while motor neuron- and intestine-expressed genes are enriched in the *acr-5*::PABP RNA preparations. Note that although only five genes were found as motor neuron-expressed genes, nine data points were plotted in (a), because multiple cDNA clones were present on the microarray for three of the genes (see Additional data file 2). (b) Distribution of genes with X-boxes in their promoter regions. Genes that carry one or more X-boxes in their promoter regions were collected from the genome database (see Materials and methods) and their rank orders of *che-2*::PABP/*acr-5*::PABP signal ratios were plotted. These genes, which are expected to be expressed in ciliated sensory neurons under the control of the DAF-19 transcription factor, are also enriched in the *che-2*::PABP RNA preparations.

distributed in lower rank orders (Figure 3a). Muscleexpressed genes (also found using WormBase) were almost evenly distributed. However, intestine-expressed genes were concentrated in the lower rank orders. These results demonstrate that our mRNA isolation procedure specifically enriched ciliated sensory neuron- and motor neuronexpressed genes as intended. The unexpected distribution of the intestine-expressed genes will be discussed later.

daf-19 encodes a transcription factor similar to mammalian RFX2. Several genes expressed in ciliated sensory neurons and essential for ciliary morphogenesis, such as *che-2* and *osm-6*, are under the control of *daf-19* and have one or more copies of the *cis*-regulatory element X-box in their promoter regions [31]. We therefore examined the distribution of genes that harbor X-boxes in their promoter regions. Again, the distribution of X-box-containing genes was highly uneven (Figure 3b, see also Additional data files 1 and 2), further demonstrating the successful enrichment of ciliated neuron-expressed genes.

Expression analysis of candidate sensory neuronexpressed genes by reporter fusions

The above analyses showed that sensory neuron-expressed genes were enriched in the mRNA population purified from *che-2*::PABP. However, only a few genes were previously known to be expressed in these tissues. In fact, the expression patterns for most top-ranked genes in our list were not known. To determine which of these genes were actually expressed in sensory neurons, we examined the expression patterns of 17 genes with the highest rank orders using translational green fluorescent protein (GFP) fusions. The expression patterns for these genes had not been reported previously.

We did not observe any GFP fluorescence for two clones, Ko7B1.8 and C13B9.1, probably because the promoter region we selected did not contain all the functional units or expression was below the level of detection. GFP-expressing cells were identified for all the remaining 15 genes (Figure 4, Table 1). For 13 of these GFP fusions, expression was observed in





Expression patterns of newly identified sensory neuron-expressed genes. The genes indicated were each fused to GFP in-frame, and the reporters introduced into wild-type animals. Overlaid images of the Nomarski and GFP fluorescence images of transgenic worms between larval stages I and 3 are shown. Gene expression is indicated by the green fluorescence. Scale bar, 50 μ m. See Table I for the identity of the expressing cells.

ciliated sensory neurons, namely amphid, labial and/or phasmid sensory neurons. Of these, expression in the intestine, in addition to the sensory neurons, was observed for Y55D5A.1a and T07C5.1c, whereas expression of K10D6.2a was also observed in seam cells and the main body hypodermis (hyp7). Expression of K10G6.4 was observed in many other neurons in addition to sensory neurons. Expression in the intestine and coelomocytes, but not in sensory neurons, was observed for two other clones, C35E7.11 and F10G2.1, respectively. In summary, of the 15 genes whose expression patterns could be determined, 13 (87%) were expressed in sensory neurons. These results showed that most of the genes with the highest rank orders were expressed in ciliated sensory neurons.

We also examined the expression patterns of two genes with the lowest rank orders (Y44A6D.2 and T08A9.9/*spp-5*). Expression in the ventral nerve cord was observed for Y44A6D.2, while only weak expression in the intestine was observed for T08A9.9 (data not shown). These results also suggested that our procedure was somewhat less effective in enriching motor neuron-expressed genes than sensory neuron-expressed genes (Figure 3a).

Categorization of che-2::PABP-enriched genes reveals specific features

In an attempt to characterize ciliated sensory neuronexpressed genes as a set, we first referred to functional annotations of each gene generated by the WormBase. It was noted that the fraction of genes with functional annotations was smaller for the highest ranked genes (Figure 5a). BLASTP searches of the nonredundant (nr) protein sequence database and proteome datasets for several representative animal and yeast species showed that nematode-specific genes were enriched, while those with homologs in yeast and other animals tended to be under-represented in the top-ranked genes (Figure 5b,c).

Among the genes with Gene Ontology (GO) annotations, topranked genes showed a significantly larger fraction with a 'nucleic acid binding' functional capacity (P = 0.004, Figure 6). Protein motifs found to be enriched among the *che*-2::PABP-enriched genes included 'cuticle collagen', 'chromo domain', 'linker histone' and 'laminin G domain'.

Another prominent characteristic of the *che-2*::PABP-derived mRNA fraction was enrichment of genes homologous to nephrocystins. Nephrocystins are responsible for a hereditary cystic kidney disease, nephronophthisis, and to date, nephrocystin 1 (*NPHP1*) through nephrocystin 4 (*NPHP4*) have been identified [32-35]. *C. elegans* homologs of *NPHP1* and *NPHP4* were ranked at positions 15 and 25 in our list, suggesting a link between these disease genes and the functions of worm sensory neurons.

Discussion

Preparation of mRNA from a subset of neurons in C. elegans

We prepared poly(A) RNA from a subset of neurons using the mRNA-tagging technique. The genome-wide identification of muscle-expressed genes demonstrated that mRNA tagging is a powerful technique for collecting tissue-specific transcripts in C. elegans [19]. The method is especially useful in this organism because dissection and separation of the tissues are difficult because of the worm's small size and the presence of cuticles. However, it was not known whether this method was applicable to smaller tissues, such as subsets of neurons. In this study, we attempted to isolate mRNA from ciliated sensory neurons using mRNA tagging. Although the volume of target neurons was much smaller than that of muscles, transcripts of various sensory neuron-expressed genes, ranging from those expressed in many sensory neurons to those expressed in only one or two sensory neurons, were successfully enriched.

The procedure of mRNA tagging is based on immunoprecipitation of poly(A)-RNA/FLAG-PAB-1 complexes. A potential problem with this technique is that once the cells are broken, poly(A) RNA released from non-target cells might bind





Figure 5 (see previous page)

Sensory neuron-specific genes are less likely to be classified into Gene Ontology categories and more likely to be worm-specific. (a) All genes on the microarray were ordered by descending *che-2::PABP/acr-5::PABP* value and the fraction of GO-annotated genes in each bin is indicated for a bin width of 50 rank orders. Only the top 1,500 genes are shown in (a)-(c). (b) The fraction of genes with homologs in *C. briggsae*, and not in humans, mice, flies, fission yeast or budding yeast (cutoff BLASTP score $E = I \times 10^{-20}$) in each bin is indicated as in (a). (c) The fraction of genes with homologs in both animals and yeasts, namely in humans, mice or flies and in fission yeast or budding yeast (cutoff BLASTP score $E = I \times 10^{-20}$) in each blue dotted lines indicate the 95% confidence limits assuming a random binominal distribution.

Table I

Rank	Clone	Gene	Locus	Expression pattern
I	yk380a6	R102.2		ADF, ADL, ASH, ASI, ASJ, ASK, PHA, PHB
2	yk305a7	C33A12.4		ADF, ADL, ASE, ASH, ASI, ASJ, ASK, AVJ, AWA, AWB, PHA, PHB, labial neurons
3	yk139b4	C34D4.1		ADL, ASH, ASI, ASJ, ASK, PHA
4	yk534e12			
5	yk91d12	C02H7.1		ADF, ADL, AFD, ASG, ASH, ASI, ASJ, ASK, AWB, PHA, PHB, URX
6	yk261h1	Y43F8C.4		
7	yk538c3	K07C11.10		ADF, ADL, ASE, ASG, ASH, ASI, ASJ, ASK, AWA, AWB, AWC, PHA, PHB
8	yk561gl	F40H3.6		
9	yk267a7	ZK938.2		ADL, ASE, ASG, ASH, ASI, ASJ, ASK, AWB, AWC, PHB, URX
10	yk509b4	Y55D5A.1a		ADF, ADL, AFD, ASE, ASG, ASH, ASI, ASJ, ASK, AWA, AWB, AWC, BAG, PHA, PHB, URX, intestine
11	yk609e11	T27E4.3	hsp-16.48	
12	yk561gl	F40H3.6		
13	yk341h9	F53A9.4		ADL, ASE, ASH, ASI, ASJ, ASK, AWC, PHA, PHB, labial neurons
14	yk604g4	C35E7.11		Intestine, RMF, RMH
15	yk467b4	M28.7		ADF, ADL, AFD, ASE, ASG, ASH, ASI, ASJ, ASK, AWA, AWB, AWC, PHA, PHB, URX, labial neurons
16	yk284g4	K10D6.2a		ADL, ASE, ASI, ASJ, ASK, PHB, URX, labial neurons, seam cells, hypodermis
17	yk610e5	Y9DIA.I		
18	yk295d7	K07B1.8		No GFP
19	yk252h2	C29H12.3a	rgs-3	
20	yk225f3	C27A7.4	che-11	
21	yk488h9	C13B9.1		No GFP
22	yk373g4	T07C5.1c		AFD, ASG, AUA, PVQ, intestine
23	yk305c8	F10G2.1		Coelomocytes
24	yk450c2	K10G6.4		ADF, ADL, AFD, ASE, ASG, ASH, ASI, ASJ, ASK, AVA, AVD, AWA, AWB, AWC, PHB, RMD, ventral nerve cord neurons, many other neurons
25	yk76f1	R13H4.1		ADF, ADL, ASE, ASG, ASH, ASI, ASJ, ASK, PHA, PHB, URX, labial neurons

The expression patterns of the genes indicated in bold were examined. Only the cells and tissues in which GFP expression was consistently observed are listed. It is therefore possible that the genes are weakly expressed in cells or tissues other than those listed here. Cells and cell groups in bold are ciliated sensory neurons.

unoccupied FLAG-PAB-1. To reduce this possibility, we adopted stringent washing conditions in addition to *in situ* formaldehyde crosslinking. Although this procedure reduced the recovery of immunocomplexes, it ensured minimal contamination by mRNA from non-target cells. As there are many characterized promoters that can deliver FLAG-PAB-1 to small numbers of neurons in *C. elegans*, profiling of the gene-expression pattern of each type of neuron should be possible with this technique.

Another potential problem with this method is that PABP might have different binding affinities for different transcript species, rendering some tissue-specific transcripts difficult to recover. Although PABP binds tightly to the poly(A) tails of most mRNA [36], RNA species co-immunoprecipitated with PABP from cultured cells do not represent the total RNA of the cells [37]. This might also cause another problem in that transcripts with strong PABP affinity might be undesirably enriched in the precipitates and cause unexpected biases.



Categories of genes enriched in the sensory neuron fraction. Genes were categorized according to the GO molecular function categories. (a) Categorization of all the genes on the microarray; (b) categorization of genes within the top 500 *che-2::PABP/acr-5::PABP* ranks. In both panels, the fraction of genes in each category in respect of all annotated genes is shown.*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 (binominal distribution).

Analysis of purified mRNA using a cDNA microarray

Preparation and characterization of EST clones led to the identification of more than 10,000 cDNA groups corresponding to different genes of C. elegans ([12,38] and Y.K., unpublished results). We used a cDNA microarray on which such cDNA clones were spotted to identify the genes expressed in ciliated sensory neurons. Using a cDNA microarray rather than a genome DNA microarray has the advantage that genes on the array have guaranteed expression, and hybridization to the corresponding mRNA species is efficient. The microarray we used contained 7,088 genes of C. elegans, representing 40% of the predicted genes on the genome [1]. On the other hand, there are also genes that were not represented in our cDNA collection, including characterized sensory neuron-specific genes such as osm-6 [39] and most seven-transmembrane receptor genes including odr-10; this might be a disadvantage of using a cDNA microarray. Acquisition of cDNA clones for rare mRNA species and use of wholegenome microarrays are complementary approaches for improving the applicability of the method described here.

Evaluation of microarray experiments

Previously known sensory neuron- and motor neuronexpressed genes were used to evaluate the results of our microarray analyses. Most genes were enriched in our *che*-2::PABP-derived mRNA preparations or in the *acr-5*::PABPderived mRNA preparations depending on their expression patterns. However, several genes were not enriched as expected. Furthermore, enrichment of motor neuronexpressed genes in the *acr-5*::PABP-derived mRNA preparations appeared less efficient. The reasons for these occurrences are unknown, but the expression of FLAG-PAB-1 in motor neurons were low in the *acr-5*::PABP strain, which could account for the low efficiency of enrichment for this tissue. Another potential problem is that the expression pattern of the *acr-5* promoter has not been fully characterized [22], and both the che-2 and acr-5 promoters are active in labial neurons, where expression of the acr-5 promoter was relatively strong compared to motor neurons (data not shown). Genes expressed in the intestine were enriched in the acr-5::PABP-derived mRNA preparations. FLAG-PAB-1 was weakly expressed in both the che-2::PABP and acr-5::PABP strains in intestine, with the latter showing higher level of expression (data not shown). Low-level expression of artificially manufactured genes in the intestine seems to be quite common, either due to readthrough transcription from the vector or the 3' regulatory sequences. Our results may suggest that in future applications one must be very careful about this type of low-level expression of FLAG-PAB-1.

We determined the expression patterns of genes highly enriched in the che-2::PABP-derived mRNA preparations. Thirteen of 15 genes that showed clear expression patterns of GFP reporters were expressed in multiple sensory neurons. None of these genes has previously been characterized. In addition, quantitative PCR analysis shows that genes expressed in only one or two neurons, gcy-6 and odr-10, respectively, can be enriched. Therefore, our procedure is effective for identifying genes that are preferentially expressed in a particular subset of cells. On the other hand, the presence of small fractions of genes that are predominantly expressed in tissues other than sensory neurons was also evident. Therefore, mRNA-tagging technology should be regarded as enrichment of candidate cell-specific genes and the real expression pattern of each gene should be verified independently.

Characterization of the sensory neuron-expressed gene set

Since most of the genes enriched in the *che-2*::PABP-derived mRNA preparations proved to be sensory neuron-expressed, characterization of the enriched genes as a set should lead to molecular characterization of the sensory neurons of C. elegans. A prominent feature of the genes enriched in the che-2::PABP-derived mRNA preparations is that they include nematode-specific genes more often than the rest of the genes, as judged from inter-species BLASTP comparisons, suggesting that many of the genes identified have functions unique to nematode sensory neurons. The existence of many nematode-specific gene families has previously been noted, and was proposed to be related to the nematode-specific body plan [40]. Since we were obviously counter-selecting for ubiquitously expressed genes that serve common cellular functions, a lower representation of highly conserved genes is expected. In addition, these observations indicate that our approach is effective for identifying hitherto uncharacterized genes that might be important for specific functions of differentiated cell types.

Identification of panels of genes expressed in particular cells will also be useful for understanding the regulatory network of gene expression. In this context, it is of interest to examine whether we can identify cis-acting elements commonly found in the promoter regions of the sensory neuron-expressed genes. The enrichment of X-boxes in the che-2::PABP fraction suggests that this might be plausible. In addition, other reports have identified *cis*-acting elements in genes expressed during particular developmental stages or in particular neurons (see, for example [41,42]). However, searches for common sequences using the MEME program did not reveal any motifs that were enriched in the che-2::PABP fraction. This is likely to be due to the heterogeneity of our sensory neuron-expressed gene collection (see the expression patterns in Table 1). Further refinement of our gene sets by expression analysis of each gene will be required to identify *cis*-acting elements that regulate cell-specific gene expression.

By surveying GO annotations and protein motifs, genes whose predicted functions are related to nucleic acids and/or chromatin were found to be enriched in the *che-2*::PABP gene set. This might indicate that *C. elegans* sensory neurons have specialized regulatory mechanisms for gene expression, although it remains to be seen which of these 'chromatin' genes are actually expressed in a sensory neuron-specific manner. It was also apparent from visual inspection or computer searches that two homologs of nephrocystins are included in the highest rank orders. It has recently been shown that nephrocystin 1 and nephrocystin 4 interact with each other and are both components of cilia. These studies have led to the hypothesis that the kidney disease nephronophthisis is caused by malfunctions of cilia on the tubular epithelium [33-35,43]. *C. elegans* ciliated sensory neurons also have prominent ciliary structures [44], but none of the other cell types in this organism has any cilia. It has also been found that all *C. elegans* homologs (*bbs-1, 2, 7* and *8*) of the human genes responsible for Bardet-Biedl syndrome, which is also thought to be a ciliary disease, are specifically expressed in ciliated sensory neurons [45]. It is therefore likely that the gene set revealed by our analysis includes *C. elegans* homologs of as yet unidentified ciliary disease genes.

Conclusions

The present study demonstrates that a combination of mRNA tagging and microarray analysis is an effective strategy for identifying genes expressed in subsets of neurons. Systematic reporter expression analyses following this approach will facilitate the accumulation of information regarding gene expression patterns. In particular, profiling of the gene expression patterns of subsets of neurons, in combination with analyses of neural functions, might provide insights into understanding the distinct roles of cells within the neural network.

Materials and methods Generation of strains expressing FLAG-PAB-I in a tissue-specific manner

The initiation codon of a cDNA for pab-1, yk28d10, was replaced with a linker composed of two complementary oligo-5'-AATTGCTAGCATGGATTACAAGGATGATnucleotides, GACGATAAGT-3 and 5'-CTAGACTTATCGTCATCATCCTTGTAATCCATGCTAGC-3', in which the underlined sequence encodes an initiation codon followed by a FLAG peptide. The resulting epitope-tagged gene was cloned into the pPD49.26 vector (donated by Andy Fire, Stanford University). The promoter of che-2 [21], acr-5 [22] or myo-3 [23] was inserted 5' upstream to the fusion gene to generate the FLAG-PAB-1 expression plasmids pche2-FLAG-PABP(FL), pacr5-FLAG-PABP(FL) and pmyo3-FLAB-PABP(FL), respectively. Wild-type animals were transformed with each expression construct, along with the pRF4 plasmid, which carries a dominant rol-6 allele, as a marker [46]. Stable integrated transgenic strains were generated from unstable transgenic lines as described [47]. Each integrated strain was outcrossed twice with wild-type N2. The genotypes of these strains were: JN501: Is [che-2p::flag-pab-1 pRF4]; JN502: Is [acr-5p::flag-pab-1 pRF4]; and JN503: Is [*myo-3*p::*flag-pab-1* pRF4].

mRNA tagging

To purify poly(A)-RNA/FLAG-PAB-1 complexes from subsets of neurons, we modified a protocol for chromosome immunoprecipitation [48]. Transgenic animals were grown in liquid as described previously [49]. The worms were then harvested and washed twice with M9 [50]. To crosslink poly(A) RNA with FLAG-PAB-1 *in vivo*, worms were treated with 1% formaldehyde in M9 for 15 min at 20°C with gentle agitation. The formaldehyde was then inactivated by 125 mM glycine for 5 min at 20°C and washed out by replacing the buffer with four changes of TBS (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl). At this point, worms were dispensed into 0.4 g aliquots, placed in 2-ml microtubes and stored frozen until lysate preparation.

Worms were resuspended in 0.45 ml lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.3, 1 mM EDTA, 140 mM KCl, 10% glycerol, 0.5% Igepal CA-630 (Sigma), 1 mM DTT, 0.2 mM PMSF, protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete-EDTA, Roche) at the recommended concentration) supplemented with 20 mM ribonucleoside vanadyl complexes (RVC, Sigma) and 1000 U/ ml of human placental ribonuclease inhibitor (Takara). Animals were disrupted by vigorous shaking with 2 g acidwashed glass beads (Sigma), and worm debris was removed by centrifugation at 18,000 g for 20 min. Five hundred microliters of supernatant, with the protein concentration roughly adjusted to 20 mg/ml, was incubated with 50 µl of anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel beads (Sigma) for 2 h. The affinity beads were sequentially washed three times with lysis buffer supplemented with PMSF, twice with wash buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.3, 1 mM EDTA, 1 M KCl, 10% glycerol, 0.5% Igepal CA-630, 1 mM DTT) and once with TE (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 0.5 mM EDTA). Lysate preparation and purification of RNA-protein complexes were performed at 4°C. Precipitated materials were eluted with 100 µl elution buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 20 mM RVC) by incubation for 5 min at 65°C. Elution was repeated and the two supernatant fractions were combined. The eluted RNA/ FLAG-PAB-1 complexes were incubated for 6 h at 65°C to reverse the formaldehyde crosslinks. Proteins were digested with proteinase K and removed by phenol-chloroform extraction. Nucleic acid was recovered by ethanol precipitation. Typically, 100 ng nucleic acid was obtained from 0.5 ml cleared lysate of myo-3::PABP. Under the above washing conditions, binding of free poly(A) RNA to PABP was severely impaired (data not shown).

Examination of the functional integrity of FLAG-PAB-I-expressing cells in the *che-2*::PABP strain

For staining of living animals with lipophilic dye, we followed the procedure described before [51] except that diQ (Molecular Probes) was used instead of FITC. Forty-six wild type and 56 *che-2*::PABP worms at L4 to young adult were observed. Cells were identified by their positions and the percentage of stained cells was scored. Responses of the *che-2*::PABP strain to 1-octanol was assessed as described [52] except that Eppendorf Microloader (Eppendorf) was used to deliver 1octanol to animals' noses.

RT-PCR

Fifty nanograms of RNA was converted to cDNA using an RNA PCR Kit (AMV) Ver. 2.1 (Takara) according to the manufacturer's protocol. One-tenth of the cDNA from each sample was subjected to a gene-specific PCR reaction in a total

volume of 20 µl. Quantification of the PCR products was performed using a FastStart DNA Master SYBR Green I Kit (Roche) with the Light Cycler system (Roche). Serial dilutions of cDNA prepared from poly(A) RNA of wild-type worms were used to generate a standard curve. The ratio of expression levels for each gene was calculated using the amount of eft-3 as a reference, and the results of three independent experiments were averaged. The primers used for the amplification of each gene were: lmn1-52: 5'-CGTTCACCACCCAC-CAGAA-3' and lmn1-32: 5'-CAAGACGAGCTGATGGGTTATCT-3' for lmn-1; eft3-52: 5'-ATTGCCACACCGCTCACA-3' and eft3-32: 5'-CCGGTAC-GACGGTCAACCT-3' for eft-3; tax2-54: 5'-GATTAATCCAA-GACAAGTTCCTAAATTGAT-3' and tax2-34: 5'-TTCAATTCTTGAACTCCTTTGTTTTC-3' for tax-2; unc8-52: 5'-TCTCAGATTTTGGAGGTAATATTGGA-3', and unc8-32: 5'-GATCTCGCAGAAAAGTTCTGCAA-3' for unc-8; unc54-52: 5'-AACAGAAGTTGAAGACCCAGAAGAA-3', and unc54-32: 5'-TGGTGGGTGAGTTGCTTGTACT-3' for unc-54; snt1-51: 5'-GAGCTGAGGCATTGGATGGA-3' and snt1-31: 5'-CCAAGTGTATGCCATTGAGCAA-3' for snt-1; acr5-52: 5'-AATCGATTTATGGACAGAATTTGGA-3' and acr5-32: 5'-ATGTTGCAAAAGAAGTGGGTCTAGA-3' for *acr-5*; odr10-51: 5'-TCATTGTGTTTTGCTCATTTCTGTAC-3' and odr10-31: 5'-ATATTGTTCTTCGGAAATCACGAAT-3' for *odr-10*; del1-51: 5'-TAAACTGCCTCACGACAGAAG-3' and del1-31: 5'-GCCAT-CAAGTTGAACCAAGAAT-3' for del-1. All primers were designed to include one intron in the PCR product amplified from the genomic DNA for each gene, such that the length and melting point were different from the product amplified from the cDNA. In Figure 2, eft-3 was amplified for 25 cycles, *lmn-1*, *snt-1* and *unc-54* for 30 cycles and *tax-2*, *unc-8*, *odr-*10, del-1 and acr-5 for 35 cycles. Amplified DNA was visualized by electrophoresis followed by staining with ethidium bromide.

cDNA microarray analysis

Microarrays were prepared using a 16-pin arrayer constructed according to the format of Patrick Brown (Stanford University [53]) on CMT-GAPS-coated glass slides. Two micrograms of RNA prepared from JN501 was reverse-transcribed using oligo(dT) primers and SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Lifetech) with the addition of Cy5-dCTP to generate Cy5-labeled probes. RNA prepared from JN502 was similarly used for the generation of Cy3-labeled probes. Equal amounts of the two probes were mixed and hybridized to a single array overnight at 42°C in Gene TAC Hyb Buffer (Genomic Solutions). Each array was then washed in 1× SSC/ 0.03% SDS at 42°C, followed by successive washes in 0.2× SSC and 0.05× SSC at room temperature. The fluorescence intensity of each spot was scanned using a ScanArray Lite (Perkin Elmer) and analyzed by QuantArray (GSI Lumonics).

Reporter constructs for determination of expression patterns

A genomic DNA fragment for each gene was amplified by PCR such that it contained an upstream promoter region followed by a partial or full-length predicted coding region. The 3' PCR primers were designed to introduce a restriction site in-frame with GFP in the vectors. The 5' PCR primers were designed to anneal to a sequence 0.6-5.0 kilobases (kb) upstream of the predicted coding region of each gene. The upstream-predicted gene was essentially not included in the promoter fragment. The amplified PCR fragments were cloned into pPD95.70, pPD95.75 (donated by A. Fire) or a Gateway vector (Invitrogen) to create GFP fusions (see Additional data file 3 for details). The resulting reporter plasmid for each gene was introduced into wild-type animals. Transgenic worms at all developmental stages were observed under a differential interference contrast (DIC)-fluorescence microscope. Cells were identified according to their positions [54] by comparing the fluorescence images of GFP and DiQ staining with Nomarski images of the same animal. At least two independent transgenic lines were observed to confirm the expression patterns. Images were obtained as described previously [17].

Bioinformatics

cDNA clones were mapped to the *C. elegans* genome using BLAT [55] and BLASTN programs, corresponding gene models were identified in the WormBase annotations [56] and protein sequences were obtained from WormPep122. The gene ontology annotation dataset for *C. elegans* was obtained from the Gene Ontology Consortium [57].

For Figure 3, genes known to be expressed in specific tissues were searched for using the expression pattern search interface of WormBase [58] or using the AcePerl AceDB server [59]. The gene set 'Sensory neurons' was defined as genes expressed in all or some ciliated sensory neurons (including amphid neurons), but not in motor neurons or the ventral nerve cord, according to WormBase. The gene set 'Motor neurons' was genes expressed in VB or DB ventral cord motor neurons and no more than one type of ciliated sensory neuron, or those expressed in cholinergic neurons. The gene set 'Muscles' was genes expressed in some muscles, but not in neurons or the intestine, while 'Intestine' was genes expressed in the intestine, but not in neurons or muscles. Xboxes were searched for using MEME and MAST [60] based on the definition matrix deduced from Swoboda *et al.* [31]. Only between 60 and 160 base-pairs (bp) upstream of the initiation codon of each gene were considered, since Swoboda et al. observed that X -boxes were present about 100 bp upstream of the initiation codons [31].

The proteome data set for *Caenorhabditis briggsae*, whose draft genome sequence has recently been released, was down-loaded from WormBase [61]. Proteome data sets for humans, mice, *Drosophila melanogaster*, *Schizosaccharomyces*

WormPep protein sequence as a query for each C. elegans

Volume 6, Issue 2, Article R17

Protein motifs that preferentially appeared in genes at the higher rank orders were searched for as follows. For all genes represented in our microarrays, the protein motifs contained in each gene product were obtained from the AcePerl server. For each motif, deviation of the average log₂(*che-2*::PABP/*acr-5*::PABP) value for all genes that carried the motif was calculated. To avoid artifactual results due to gene families with close sequence similarities (such as major sperm proteins), groups of genes whose mRNA are expected to cross-hybridize were treated as a single imaginary gene with the average log₂(*che-2*::PABP/*acr-5*::PABP) value.

Additional data files

Genome Biology 2005,

gene.

The following additional data are available with the online version of this article. Additional data file 1 is a table listing the results of the microarray experiments. Additional data file 2 lists genes expressed in sensory neurons, motor neurons, muscles and the intestine, and those with X-boxes shown in Figure 3. Additional data file 3 lists the primers and vectors used for reporter constructions. Additional data file 4 contains the legends to the above three tables.

Acknowledgements

We thank Andrew Fire (Stanford University) for providing vectors, Jim Kent for the BLAT program, and R.C. Chan (UC Berkeley) for sharing the chromatin IP protocol with us before publication. We thank Toshiko Tanaka for technical assistance. We also thank Takayo Hamanaka for information on microarray experiments.

References

- The C. elegans Sequencing Consortium: Genome sequence of the nematode C. elegans: a platform for investigating biology. Science 1998, 282:2012-2018.
- Fraser AG, Kamath RS, Zipperlen P, Martinez-Campos M, Sohrmann M, Ahringer J: Functional genomic analysis of C. elegans chromosome I by systematic RNA interference. Nature 2000, 408:325-330.
- Gonczy P, Echeverri C, Oegema K, Coulson A, Jones SJ, Copley RR, Duperon J, Oegema J, Brehm M, Cassin E, et al.: Functional genomic analysis of cell division in C. elegans using RNAi of genes on chromosome III. Nature 2000, 408:331-336.
- Kamath RS, Fraser AG, Dong Y, Poulin G, Durbin R, Gotta M, Kanapin A, Le Bot N, Moreno S, Sohrmann M, et al.: Systematic functional analysis of the Caenorhabditis elegans genome using RNAi. Nature 2003, 421:231-237.
- Maeda I, Kohara Y, Yamamoto M, Sugimoto A: Large-scale analysis of gene function in Caenorhabditis elegans by high-throughput RNAi. Curr Biol 2001, 11:171-176.
- Li S, Armstrong CM, Bertin N, Ge H, Milstein S, Boxem M, Vidalain PO, Han JD, Chesneau A, Hao T, et al.: A map of the interactome network of the metazoan C. elegans. Science 2004, 303:540-543.
- Jansen G, Hazendonk E, Thijssen KL, Plasterk RH: Reverse genetics by chemical mutagenesis in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nat Genet 1997, 17:119-121.
- Edgley M, D'Souza A, Moulder G, McKay S, Shen B, Gilchrist E, Moerman D, Barstead R: Improved detection of small deletions in complex pools of DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 2002, 30:e52.

Kunitomo et al. RI7.11

- Gengyo-Ando K, Mitani S: Characterization of mutations 9. induced by ethyl methanesulfonate, UV, and trimethylpsoralen in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2000, 269:64-69.
- 10. McKay SJ, Johnsen R, Khattra J, Asano J, Baillie DL, Chan S, Dube N, Fang L, Goszczynski B, Ha E, et al.: Gene expression profiling of cells, tissues, and developmental stages of the nematode C. elegans. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 2003, 68:159-69.
- Dupuy D, Li QR, Deplancke B, Boxem M, Hao T, Lamesch P, Sequerra II. R, Bosak S, Doucette-Stamm L, Hope IA, et al.: A first version of the Caenorhabditis elegans promoterome. Genome Res 2004, 14:2169-75
- 12. NEXTDB (The Nematode Expression Pattern Database) [http://nematode.lab.nig.ac.jp]
- 13. Jiang M, Ryu J, Kiraly M, Duke K, Reinke V, Kim SK: Genome-wide analysis of developmental and sex-regulated gene expression profiles in Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001, 98:218-223.
- 14. Kim SK, Lund J, Kiraly M, Duke K, Jiang M, Stuart JM, Eizinger A, Wylie BN, Davidson GS: A gene expression map for Caenorhabditis elegans. Science 2001, 293:2087-2092.
- Romagnolo B, Jiang M, Kiraly M, Breton C, Begley R, Wang J, Lund J, 15. Kim SK: Downstream targets of let-60 Ras in Caenorhabditis elegans. Dev Biol 2002, 247:127-136.
- Reinke V, Smith HE, Nance J, Wang J, Van Doren C, Begley R, Jones 16. SJ, Davis EB, Scherer S, Ward S, et al.: A global profile of germline gene expression in C. elegans. Mol Cell 2000, 6:605-616
- 17. Hanazawa M, Mochii M, Ueno N, Kohara Y, lino Y: Use of cDNA subtraction and RNA interference screens in combination reveals genes required for germ-line development in Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2001, 98:8686-8691.
- Zhang Y, Ma C, Delohery T, Nasipak B, Foat BC, Bounoutas A, Busse-18. maker HI, Kim SK, Chalfie M: Identification of genes expressed in C. elegans touch receptor neurons. Nature 2002, 418:331-335.
- Roy PJ, Stuart JM, Lund J, Kim SK: Chromosomal clustering of 19. muscle-expressed genes in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature 2002, 418:975-979
- Gallie DR: A tale of two termini: a functional interaction 20. between the termini of an mRNA is a prerequisite for efficient translation initiation. Gene 1998, 216:1-11.
- 21. Fujiwara M, Ishihara T, Katsura I: A novel WD40 protein, CHE-2, acts cell-autonomously in the formation of C. elegans sensory cilia. Development 1999, 126:4839-4848.
- Winnier AR, Meir JY, Ross JM, Tavernarakis N, Driscoll M, Ishihara T, 22. Katsura I, Miller DM 3rd: UNC-4/UNC-37-dependent repression of motor neuron-specific genes controls synaptic choice in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genes Dev 1999, 13:2774-2786.
- 23. Okkema PG, Harrison SW, Plunger V, Aryana A, Fire A: Sequence requirements for myosin gene expression and regulation in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 1993, 135:385-404.
- 24 Coburn CM, Bargmann CI: A putative cyclic nucleotide-gated channel is required for sensory development and function in C. elegans. Neuron 1996, 17:695-706.
- 25. Sengupta P, Chou JH, Bargmann CI: odr-10 encodes a seven transmembrane domain olfactory receptor required for responses to the odorant diacetyl. Cell 1996, 84:899-909.
- Tavernarakis N, Shreffler W, Wang S, Driscoll M: unc-8, a DEG/ 26. ENaC family member, encodes a subunit of a candidate mechanically gated channel that modulates C. elegans locomotion. Neuron 1997, 18:107-119.
- 27. Mitrovich QM, Anderson P: Unproductively spliced ribosomal protein mRNAs are natural targets of mRNA surveillance in C. elegans. Genes Dev 2000, 14:2173-2184.
- 28. Liu J, Ben-Shahar TR, Riemer D, Treinin M, Spann P, Weber K, Fire A, Gruenbaum Y: Essential roles for Caenorhabditis elegans lamin gene in nuclear organization, cell cycle progression, and spatial organization of nuclear pore complexes. Mol Biol Cell 2000, 11:3937-3947.
- Yu S, Avery L, Baude E, Garbers DL: Guanylyl cyclase expression 29. in specific sensory neurons: a new family of chemosensory receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1997, 94:3384-3387.
- 30. Nonet ML, Grundahl K, Meyer BJ, Rand JB: Synaptic function is impaired but not eliminated in C. elegans mutants lacking synaptotagmin. Cell 1993, 73:1291-1305.
- Swoboda P, Adler HT, Thomas JH: The RFX-type transcription 31 factor DAF-19 regulates sensory neuron cilium formation in C. elegans. Mol Cell 2000, 5:411-421
- 32. Hildebrandt F, Otto E, Rensing C, Nothwang HG, Vollmer M,

Adolphs J, Hanusch H, Brandis M: A novel gene encoding an SH3 domain protein is mutated in nephronophthisis type 1. Nat Genet 1997. 17:149-153.

- 33. Mollet G, Salomon R, Gribouval O, Silbermann F, Bacq D, Landthaler G, Milford D, Nayir A, Rizzoni G, Antignac C, et al.: The gene mutated in juvenile nephronophthisis type 4 encodes a novel protein that interacts with nephrocystin. Nat Genet 2002, 32:300-305.
- Olbrich H, Fliegauf M, Hoefele J, Kispert A, Otto E, Volz A, Wolf MT, 34. Sasmaz G, Trauer U, Reinhardt R, et al.: Mutations in a novel gene, NPHP3, cause adolescent nephronophthisis, tapeto-retinal degeneration and hepatic fibrosis. Nat Genet 2003, 34:455-459.
- 35. Otto EA, Schermer B, Obara T, O'Toole JF, Hiller KS, Mueller AM, Ruf RG, Hoefele J, Beekmann F, Landau D, et al.: Mutations in INVS encoding inversin cause nephronophthisis type 2, linking renal cystic disease to the function of primary cilia and leftright axis determination. Nat Genet 2003, 34:413-420.
- 36. Gorlach M, Burd CG, Dreyfuss G: The mRNA poly(A)-binding protein: localization, abundance, and **RNA**-binding specificity. Exp Cell Res 1994, 211:400-407.
- Tenenbaum SA, Carson CC, Lager PJ, Keene JD: Identifying mRNA 37. subsets in messenger ribonucleoprotein complexes by using cDNA arrays. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000, 97:14085-14090.
- Reboul J, Vaglio P, Tzellas N, Thierry-Mieg N, Moore T, Jackson C, Shin-i T, Kohara Y, Thierry-Mieg D, Thierry-Mieg J, et al.: **Open**-38 reading-frame sequence tags (OSTs) support the existence of at least 17,300 genes in C. elegans. Nat Genet 2001, 27:332-336.
- 39. Collet J, Spike CA, Lundquist EA, Shaw JE, Herman RK: Analysis of osm-6, a gene that affects sensory cilium structure and sensory neuron function in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 1998, 148:187-200.
- Blaxter M: Caenorhabditis elegans is a nematode. Science 1998, 40. 282:2041-2046.
- Beer MA, Tavazoie S: Predicting gene expression from 41. sequence. Cell 2004, 117:185-198.
- Wenick AS, Hobert O: Genomic cis-regulatory architecture and trans-acting regulators of a single interneuron-specific gene battery in C. elegans. Dev Cell 2004, 6:757-770. Watnick T, Germino G: From cilia to cyst. Nat Genet 2003,
- 43. 34:355-356.
- 44. Ward S, Thomson N, White JG, Brenner S: Electron microscopical reconstruction of the anterior sensory anatomy of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. J Comp Neurol 1975, 160(3):13-37.
- Ansley SJ, Badano JL, Blacque OE, Hill J, Hoskins BE, Leitch CC, Kim JC, Ross AJ, Eichers ER, Teslovich TM, et al.: Basal body dysfunction is a likely cause of pleiotropic Bardet-Biedl syndrome. Nature 2003, 425:628-633.
- Mello CC, Kramer JM, Stinchcomb D, Ambros V: Efficient gene transfer in C. elegans : extrachromosomal maintenance and integration of transforming sequences. EMBO J 1991, 10:3959-3970.
- [http://cobweb.dartmouth.edu/ CPC: C. elegans protocols -ambros/worms/index.html]
- 48. Chu DS, Dawes HE, Lieb JD, Chan RC, Kuo AF, Meyer BJ: A molecular link between gene-specific and chromosome-wide transcriptional repression. Genes Dev 2002, 16:796-805.
- Lewis JA, Fleming JT: Basic culture methods. Methods Cell Biol 49. 1995, 48:3-29
- Brenner S: The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 1974, 50. 77:71-94
- 51. Hedgecock EM, Culotti JG, Thomson JN, Perkins LA: Axonal guidance mutants of Caenorhabditis elegans identified by filling sensory neurons with fluorescein dyes. Dev Biol 1985, 111:158-170.
- 52. Chao MY, Komatsu H, Fukuto HS, Dionne HM, Hart AC: Feeding status and serotonin rapidly and reversibly modulate a Caenorhabditis elegans chemosensory circuit. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004, 101:15512-15517.
- 53. Pat Brown's Lab [http://cmgm.stanford.edu/pbrown]
- White J, Southgate E, Thomson J, Brenner S: The structure of the nervous system of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Phil Trans R Soc Lond Ser B 1986, 314:1-340.
- 55. Kent WJ: BLAT - the BLAST-like alignment tool. Genome Res 2002, **12:**656-664.
- 56. WormBase - bulk downloads (C. elegans) [ftp://ftp.worm base.org/pub/wormbase/elegans]

- 57. Gene Ontology Consortium Current Annotations Worm-Gene Ontology Consortium Current Annotations - Worm-Base [http://www.geneontology.org/cgi-bin/GO/download GOGA.pl/gene_association.wb.gz]
 WormBase: expression pattern search [http://www.worm base.org/db/searches/expr_search]
 WormBase [http://aceserver.cshl.org]
 MEME -introduction [http://meme.sdsc.edu/meme/website]
 WormBase - bulk downloads (C. briggsae) [ftp://ftp.worm base.org/ub/wormbase/briggsae]

- base.org/pub/wormbase/briggsae]
 62. NCBI genome assembly/annotation projects ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/genomes] [ftp://